

**ESTIMATION OF THE TOTAL NUMBERS OF HOURS NEEDED TO PREPARE THE INFORMATION COLLECTION INCLUDING  
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS, FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES, AND HOURS OF RESPONSE**

Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Frequency of responses	Number of responses	Hours per response	Estimated annual burden (in hours)
*11 .....	**749	Monthly	8,240	0.50	4,120

\*The number of parties: 4 lenders have volunteered to participate in this Demonstration and 7 Housing Counseling Agencies. Each will have dedicated staff assigned to work on this Demonstration.

\*\*The actual burden on respondents varies widely because of the different levels of activity and size of FHA portfolio held by respondents.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: May 29, 2002.

**Wayne Eddins,**

*Departmental Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-13922 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-27-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-4734-N-19]

**Notice of Submission of Proposed  
Information Collection to OMB,  
Quarterly Loan Level Reporting**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

**DATES:** *Comments Due date:* July 5, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB

approval number (2503-0026) should be sent to: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr., OMB Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; Fax number (202) 395-6974; E-mail *Joseph F. Lackey\_Jr@OMB.EOP.GOV*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Wayne Eddins, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest, Washington, DC 20419; e-mail *Wayne Eddins@HUD.gov*; telephone (202) 708-2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of the proposed forms and other available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department has submitted the proposal for the collection of information, as described below, to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Notice lists the following information: (1) The title of the information collection proposal; (2) the Office of the agency to collect the information; (3) the OMB approval number, if applicable; (4) the description of the need for the information and its proposed use; (5) the agency form number, if applicable; (6) what members of the public will be affected by the proposal; (7) how frequently information submissions will

be required; (8) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information submission including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response; (9) whether the proposal is new, an extension, reinstatement, or revision of an information collection requirement; and (10) the name and telephone number of an agency official familiar with the proposal and the OMB Desk Officer for the Department.

This Notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* Quarterly Loan Level Reporting

*OMB Approval Number:* 2503-0026

*Form Numbers:* None

*Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use:*

Ginnie Mae issuers are required to submit loan level data quarterly for all pooled loans and loan packages. The report contains all loans that were not liquidated as of the close of the month for which data is presented. As of 1999, this data has been submitted by electronic data interchange (EDI), and is processed through a program module in the Mortgage-Backed Securities Information System (MBISIS).

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, Federal Government.

*Frequency of Submission:* Quarterly.

*Reporting Burden:*

Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
296	4		4		4,736

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 4,736.

*Status:* Reinstatement, without change.

**Authority:** Sec. 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: May 29, 2002.

**Wayne Eddins,**

*Departmental Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Office.*

[FR Doc. 02-13924 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-72-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Office of the Secretary**

**Central Arizona Project, Arizona; Water Allocations**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed modification to the Secretary of the Interior's record of decision

**SUMMARY:** The Department proposes to modify the 1983 Central Arizona Project (CAP) Water Allocation Decision to delete the mandatory effluent pooling provision. The Department now views that provision as an impediment to effluent exchanges and effective water management in central Arizona.

If the proposed decision is implemented, the Department would amend water service subcontracts for the cities of Chandler and Mesa to remove the mandatory effluent pooling provision. The mandatory effluent pooling provision would be deleted from other M&I water service subcontracts upon request.

**DATES:** All comments and material relevant to this proposed decision that are received by July 5, 2002 will be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments concerning the proposed decision to Paul Nelson, Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 81169, Phoenix, Arizona, 85069-1169.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Nelson at (602) 216-3878.

*Proposed Decision:* The following sentence is proposed for deletion from the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision (see page 12447 of the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision): "This allocation is subject to the adoption of a pooling concept whereby all M&I allottees share in the benefits of effluent exchanges."

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Previous Notices Related to CAP Water
- II. Background
- III. Rationale for Proposed Decision
- IV. Compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)

**I. Previous Notices Related to CAP Water**

Previous notices related to CAP water were published in the **Federal Register** (FR) at 37 FR 28082, December 20, 1972; 40 FR 17297, April 18, 1975; 41 FR 45883, October 18, 1976; 45 FR 52938, August 8, 1980; 45 FR 81265, December 10, 1980; 48 FR 12446, March 24, 1983; 56 FR 29704, June 28, 1991; 57 FR 4470, February 5, 1992; and 57 FR 48388, October 23, 1992. These notices and decisions were made pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary by the Reclamation Act of 1902 as amended and supplemented (32 Stat. 388, 43 U.S.C. 391), the Boulder Canyon Project Act of December 21, 1928 (45 Stat. 1057), the Colorado River Basin Project Act of September 30, 1968 (82 Stat. 885,

43 U.S.C. 1501) and in recognition of the Secretary's trust responsibility to Indian tribes.

**II. Background**

Following the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Central Arizona Water Conservation District (CAWCD), and each of the non-Indian CAP water allottees desiring CAP water entered into three-party water service subcontracts providing for the delivery of CAP water. In order to ensure implementation of the mandatory effluent pooling provision, M&I water service subcontractors who choose to circumvent the effluent pooling provision and directly exchange their effluent with Indian tribes are subject to a reduction in their entitlement to CAP water under their subcontracts by the amount of CAP water received from the effluent exchange.

The Department indicated in the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision that CAP M&I water allocations could be made more firm by execution of feasible non-potable effluent exchanges with Indian tribes. The 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision also implemented a pooling provision whereby all M&I water service subcontractors share in the benefits of effluent exchanges. In a time of shortage of CAP water under the effluent pooling provision, the additional CAP water made available as a result of any effluent exchanges with Indian tribes would be shared by all M&I subcontractors, thereby reducing the amount of shortage for each subcontractor. The pooling provision was included in the CAP M&I water service subcontracts.

The 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision also provided that the Department could require Indian tribes located in close proximity to metropolitan areas to take delivery of effluent in lieu of CAP water. This requirement was eliminated by a Secretarial decision published in the **Federal Register** on October 23, 1992, so that any effluent exchanges involving Indian tribes would occur on a voluntary basis.

The major cities in Maricopa County, which are the sources of most of the exchangeable effluent, prefer to exchange effluent on their own, incur all related treatment and transportation expenses, and receive any benefits from the exchange.

**III. Rationale for Proposed Decision**

The Department favors elimination of the mandatory effluent pooling provision from the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision for the following reasons:

(1) In response to public comments submitted by the City of Phoenix in 1992 concerning the mandatory effluent pooling provision, the Department committed to re-evaluate this provision at a later date after consultation with the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) (see 57 FR 48389). In pertinent part, the City of Phoenix stated " \* \* \* The City of Phoenix agrees with the reasons for deleting the mandatory substitute water provision from the Indian CAP Contracts and believes that it is equally important to remove the provision from CAP M&I subcontracts that would penalize a subcontractor for entering into a direct effluent exchange with an Indian Community for CAP water." The Department acknowledged the City of Phoenix's concerns that the provisions of the effluent exchange article in the CAP M&I water service subcontracts may no longer be critical to the management of water supplies in central Arizona.

(2) The mandatory effluent pooling provision removes any incentive for a municipality to exchange effluent with an Indian tribe. The Department believes that effluent producing entities, Indian Tribes, the State of Arizona, and other local organizations should be free to pursue local water management decisions that are in the best interest of the local economies, and that they should not be constrained in such water management decisions by the mandatory effluent pooling provision.

(3) ADWR now supports removing the mandatory effluent pooling provision from the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision and the CAP M&I water service subcontracts.

(4) CAWCD, as a party to the CAP M&I water service subcontracts, does not object to deletion of the mandatory effluent pooling provision from the subcontracts.

(5) The Department is aware of two pending effluent exchange agreements that require Departmental approval. The cities of Chandler and Mesa each have a proposed effluent exchange agreement with the GRIC. The benefits resulting from the proposed exchanges to the cities and GRIC will not occur unless and until the mandatory effluent provision is removed from the Cities' CAP water service subcontracts.

**IV. Compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)**

The Department has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) on the impact of modifying the 1983 CAP Water Allocation Decision to delete the

mandatory effluent pooling provision. The draft EA and notice of availability are being published and disseminated to CAP water contractors and subcontractors and other interested parties concurrent with publication of this notice.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: May 24, 2002.

**Gale A. Norton,**

*Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 02-13888 Filed 6-3-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Receipt of Applications for Permit

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of applications for permit.

**SUMMARY:** The public is invited to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species and/or marine mammals.

**DATES:** Written data, comments or requests must be received by July 5, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice to: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 700, Arlington, Virginia 22203; fax 703/358-2281.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Division of Management Authority, telephone 703/358-2104.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Endangered Species

The public is invited to comment on the following application(s) for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, *as amended* (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*). Written data, comments, or requests for copies of these complete applications should be submitted to the Director (address above).

*Applicant:* Daryl Lyn Sittig, Crystal Lake, IL, PRT-056299.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

*Applicant:* Darwin W. Lamb, Cedar City, UT, PRT-057342.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

*Applicant:* Brian Casey Harrison, Kennedale, TX, PRT-057364.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

*Applicant:* Paul B. Wilson, Macungie, PA, PRT-057341.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

*Applicant:* Montana Rocosa Ranch, Utopia, TX, PRT-056130.

The applicant requests a permit to authorize interstate and foreign commerce, export and cull of excess animals for the following species: Arabian oryx (*Oryx leucoryx*), swamp deer (*Cervus duvauceli*), Eld's deer (*Cervus eldi*) and red lechwe (*Kobus leche*) from their captive-raised herd for the purpose of enhancement of the

survival of the species. This notification covers activities conducted by the applicant over a three year period. Permittee must annually apply for renewal.

*Applicant:* Zoological Society of San Diego/San Diego Zoo, San Diego, CA, PRT-056991 and 057398.

The applicant requests a permit to export (PRT-056991) 2.6 captive-born California condors (*Gymnogyps californianus*) for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species through reintroduction to Sierra San Pedro Martir, Baja California, Mexico. The second application is for the possible re-import (PRT-057398) of same animals to Zoological Society of San Diego if the re-import is found to be essential due to emergencies such as a medical condition or behavioral problems.

##### Marine Mammals

The public is invited to comment on the following application(s) for a permit to conduct certain activities with marine mammals. The application(s) was submitted to satisfy requirements of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, *as amended* (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*) and the regulations governing marine mammals (50 CFR part 18). Written data, comments, or requests for copies of the complete applications or requests for a public hearing on these applications should be submitted to the Director (address above). Anyone requesting a hearing should give specific reasons why a hearing would be appropriate. The holding of such a hearing is at the discretion of the Director.

*Applicant:* Lanny S. Rominger, Albuquerque, NM, PRT-057343.

The applicant requests a permit to import a polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) sport hunted from the Lancaster Sound polar bear population in Canada for personal use.

*Applicant:* Garry M. Betrus, Fenton, MI, PRT-057437.

The applicant requests a permit to import a polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*) sport hunted from the Lancaster Sound polar bear population in Canada for personal use.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has information collection approval from OMB through March 31, 2004, OMB Control Number 1018-0093. Federal Agencies may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number.