

The Phlx notes that the proposal is based on the rules of the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX").⁶

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),⁸ in particular, because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Phlx does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and subparagraph (f)(3) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder¹⁰ because it is concerned solely with the administration of the Exchange. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Phlx. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Phlx-2002-27 and should be submitted by July 3, 2002.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-14777 Filed 6-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-46041; File No. SR-PHLX-2002-29]

Self Regulatory Organizations; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Adopting Phlx Rule 757, Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Program

June 6, 2002.

On April 24, 2002, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to adopted Phlx Rule 757, Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Program. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2002.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission has reviewed carefully the Phlx's proposed rule change, and finds, for the reasons set forth below, that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.⁴ Section 6(b)(5) requires the rules of a national

securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission finds that the Phlx's proposed rule change accurately, reasonably, and efficiently implements the requirements of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the USA PATRIOT Act) as it applies to Phlx members. The Commission notes that anti-money laundering compliance programs will evolve over time, and that improvements to anti-money laundering compliance programs are inevitable as Phlx members find new ways to combat money laundering and to detect suspicious activities.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ that the proposed rule change (SR-PHLX-2002-29) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-14780 Filed 6-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3408]

State of West Virginia, Amendment #1; Disaster Loan Areas

In accordance with notices received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated May 20 and June 6, 2002, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to include Kanawha and Raleigh Counties in the State of West Virginia as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms, flooding and landslides, and to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on May 2, 2002 and continuing through May 20, 2002.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified

⁶ See PCX Rule 11.2(a). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43619 (November 27, 2000), 65 FR 75754 (December 4, 2000) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of File No. SR-PCX-00-44).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(3).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45830 (April 26, 2002), 67 FR 22472.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

date at the previously designated location: Clay, Fayette, Jackson, Nicholas, Putnam, and Roane Counties in West Virginia. All other counties contiguous to the above-named primary counties have been previously declared.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.*, the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is July 4, 2002, and for economic injury the deadline is February 5, 2003.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: June 6, 2002.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-14744 Filed 6-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Office of Visa Services

[Public Notice 4049]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Form DS-157, Supplemental Nonimmigrant Visa Application (OMB Control #1405-0134)

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of State has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments should be submitted to OMB within 30 days of the publication of this notice.

The following summarizes the information collection proposal submitted to OMB:

Type of Request: Extension of Currently Approved Collection.

Originating Office: Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State (CA/VO).

Title of Information Collection: Supplemental Nonimmigrant Visa Application

Frequency: Once per respondent.

Form Number: DS-157.

Respondents: All nonimmigrant visa applicants.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 9,600,000.

Average Hours Per Response: 1 hour.
Total Estimated Burden: 9,600,000 hours.

Public comments are being solicited to permit the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the proposed information collection and supporting documents may be obtained from Brendan Mullarkey of the Office of Visa Services, U.S. Department of State, 2401 E ST NW., RM L-703, Washington, DC 20520, who may be reached on 202-663-1163. Public comments and questions should be directed to the State Department Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20530, who may be reached on 202-395-3897.

Dated: May 28, 2002.

Wayne Griffith,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Visa Services, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 02-14822 Filed 6-11-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. OST-2000-7800]

RIN 2105-AC94

Statement of Policy on Alternative Dispute Resolution

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Statement of policy.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation publishes this Statement of Policy to further its commitment to using alternative dispute resolution (ADR) to advance national transportation goals by preventing, minimizing, and resolving disputes among our employees and with external parties, in a mutually acceptable and cost-effective manner. This policy statement announces the Department's continuing interest in collaborative problem-solving.

DATES: This notice is effective June 12, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith S. Kaleta, Senior Counsel for Dispute Resolution and Dispute Resolution Specialist, Room 10428, 400

Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. 202-493-0992.

judy.Kaleta@ost.dot.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statement of Policy on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

ADR is a collaborative, consensual dispute resolution approach. It describes a variety of problem-solving processes that are used in lieu of litigation or other adversarial proceedings to resolve disagreements. ADR encompasses mediation, facilitation, conciliation, factfinding, mini-trials, negotiation, negotiated rulemaking, neutral evaluation, policy dialogues, use of ombuds, arbitration, and other processes that usually involve a neutral third party who assists the parties in preventing, minimizing the escalation of, and resolving disputes. The efficient and effective use of ADR will help us resolve disputes at an early stage, in an expeditious, cost-effective, and mutually acceptable manner.

The Department of Transportation is committed to advancing our national transportation goals through alternative dispute resolution. We will consider using ADR in all areas including workplace issues, formal and informal adjudication, issuance of regulations, enforcement and compliance, issuing and revoking licenses and permits, contract and grant award and administration, litigation brought by or against the Department, and other interactions with the public and the regulated community.

We will ensure that neutrals disclose any actual or potential conflicts of interest.

We will provide learning and development opportunities for our employees so that they will be able to use conflict resolution skills, understand the theory and practice of ADR, and apply ADR appropriately.

We will use a variety of evaluation and assessment strategies to measure and improve our processes and our use of ADR.

We will allocate resources to support the use of ADR.

We will provide confidentiality consistent with the provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act and other applicable Federal laws.

The Department will attempt to incorporate ADR in its dispute resolution, or as appropriate, rulemaking processes. In addition, either on our own initiative or in response to a request, the Department will examine the appropriateness of using ADR on a case-by-case basis. ADR is voluntary and the Department will