

## Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

## Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

## PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Section 117.317(j) is revised to read as follows:

### § 117.317 Okeechobee Waterway

\* \* \* \* \*

(j) Sanibel Causeway bridge, mile 151 at Punta Rassa. The draw shall open on signal, except that from 7 a.m. until 6 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays, the draw need only open on the hour and half hour. On Saturday, Sunday, and Federal holidays

the draw shall open on signal, except that from 7 a.m. until 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the hour, quarter hour, half hour and three-quarter hour. From 10 p.m. until 6 a.m. daily, the draw shall open on signal if at least five minutes advance notice is given to the bridge tender.

Dated: May 26, 2002.

**John E. Crowley, Jr.,**

*Captain, Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 02-14968 Filed 6-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD07-02-047]

RIN 2115-AA97

### Security Zone; San Juan, Puerto Rico

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is extending the effective period for the temporary final rule creating temporary moving security zones 50 yards around all cruise ships entering or departing the Port of San Juan. Temporary fixed security zones are also established 50 yards around all cruise ships that are moored in the Port of San Juan. These security zones are needed for national security reasons to protect the public, ports, and waterways from potential subversive acts. Entry into these zones is prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, San Juan, Puerto Rico or his designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 11:59 p.m. on June 15, 2002 until 11:59 p.m. on October 31, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of [CGD07-02-047] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office San Juan, RODVAL Bldg, San Martin St. #90 Ste 400, Guaynabo, PR 00969 between 7 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Chip Lopez, Marine Safety Office San Juan, Puerto Rico at (787) 706-2444.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM. Publishing a NPRM, which would incorporate a comment period before a final rule could be issued, would be contrary to the public interest since the Captain of the Port of San Juan has determined that immediate action is needed to protect the public, ports and waterways of the United States near San Juan.

For the same reasons, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The Coast Guard will issue a broadcast notice to mariners and written information via facsimile and electronic mail to inform mariners of this regulation.

## Background and Purpose

Based on the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center buildings in New York and the Pentagon in Arlington, Virginia, there is an increased risk that subversive activity could be launched by vessels or persons in close proximity to the Port of San Juan, Puerto Rico, against cruise ships entering, departing and moored within this port. Following these attacks by well-trained and clandestine terrorists, national security and intelligence officials have warned that future terrorists attacks are likely. There may be Coast Guard, local police department or other patrol vessels on scene to monitor traffic and advise mariners of the restrictions in these areas. Entry into these security zones is prohibited, unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

On January 17, 2002 the Coast Guard published a temporary final rule in the **Federal Register** that established temporary moving and fixed security zones 50 yards around all cruise ships entering, departing or moored in the Port of San Juan (67 FR 2330). That rule expired on February 28, 2002. The Captain of the Port issued another temporary final rule extending the security zones around cruise ships until June 15, 2002 (CGD07-02-015). The Captain of the Port has determined that this rule is necessary to protect the Port of San Juan from subversive activity. The Captain of the Port intends to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking in a separate document to be published in the **Federal Register** proposing to create

permanent security zones around cruise ships in the Port of San Juan.

The security zone for a vessel entering the Port of San Juan is activated when the vessel is one mile north of the #1 buoy, at approximate position 18°28.3' N, 66°07.6' W. The zone for a vessel is deactivated when the vessel passes this buoy on its departure from the port. The Captain of the Port will notify the public of these security zones via Marine Safety Radio Broadcast on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 22 (157.1 MHz) and Marine Safety Information Bulletins via facsimile and the Marine Safety Office San Juan website at <http://www.msocaribbean.com>.

### Regulatory Evaluation

This temporary rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979) because vessels may be allowed to transit around these zones or enter the zones on a case-by-case basis with the authorization of the Captain of the Port.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule would have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. “Small entities” include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because small entities may be allowed to transit around these zones or enter the zones on a case by case basis with the authorization of the Captain of the Port. If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule will have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES**. In your comment, explain why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or government jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** for assistance in understanding this rule.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

A rule has implication for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Although this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b) (2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Environmental

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded under Figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationships between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165, as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new temporary § 165.T07–047 is added to read as follows:

### § 165.T07–047 Security Zone; Port of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

(a) *Regulated area.* Temporary moving security zones are established 50 yards around all cruise ships entering or departing the Port of San Juan. These moving security zones are activated when the subject vessel is one mile north of the #1 buoy at approximate position 18°28.3' N, 66°07.6' W when entering the Port of San Juan and deactivated when the vessel passes this buoy on its departure from the Port of San Juan. Temporary fixed security zones are also established 50 yards around all cruise ships when these vessels are moored in the Port of San Juan.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, entry into this zone is prohibited except as authorized by the Captain of the Port, or a Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer designated by him. The Captain of the Port will notify the public of any changes in the status of this zone by Marine Safety Radio Broadcast on VHF Marine Band Radio, Channel 22 (157.1 MHz).

(c) *Dates.* This rule is effective at 11:59 p.m. on June 15, 2002 until 11:59 p.m. on October 31, 2002.

Dated: June 3, 2002.

**J.A. Servidio,**

*Commander, U. S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, San Juan.*

[FR Doc. 02–14972 Filed 6–12–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD09–02–029]

RIN 2115–AA97

### Safety Zone; Buffalo River, Buffalo, NY

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone encompassing the navigable waters of

the Buffalo River. The safety zone is necessary to ensure the safety of persons and vessels from the hazards associated with blasting operations being conducted in the Buffalo River in the vicinity of the Buffalo Naval and Servicemen's Park. This safety zone is intended to restrict vessel traffic from a portion of the Buffalo River in Buffalo, NY.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 3:30 p.m. on May 31, 2002 until 4:30 p.m. on July 31, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD09–02–023 and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Buffalo, 1 Fuhrmann Blvd, Buffalo, New York 14203 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Commander David Flaherty, U. S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Buffalo, at (716) 843–9574.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM, and, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The Coast Guard had insufficient advance notice to publish an NPRM followed by a temporary final rule that would be effective before the necessary date. Publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking and delay of effective date would be contrary to the public interest because immediate action is necessary to prevent possible loss of life, injury, or damage to property. The Coast Guard has not received any complaints or negative comments with regard to this event.

##### Background and Purpose

A temporary safety zone is necessary to ensure the safety of vessels and the general public during blasting operations in the Buffalo River in the vicinity of the Naval and Servicemen's Park. Entry into, transit through or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Buffalo or his designated on-scene representative. The designated on-scene representative will be the Patrol Commander and may be contacted via VHF/FM Marine Channel 16.

## Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

## Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Marine Safety Office Buffalo (see **ADDRESSES**.)

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

## Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).