

Form SSA-8202-OCR-SM (Optical Character Recognition-Self Mailer) collects information similar to that

collected on Form SSA-8202-F6. However it is used exclusively in LEP RZ cases on a 6-year cycle. The

respondents are recipients of SSI benefits or their representative payees.

	Respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated annual burden (hours)
SSA-8202-F6 .....	920,000	1	18	276,000.
SSA-8202-OCR-SM .....	800,000	1	9	120,000.
Total Burden .....				396,000.

#### Statement for Determining Continuing Eligibility for Supplemental Security Income Payments—0960-0416

SSA uses the information collected on form SSA-8203-BK for high-error-profile (HEP) redeterminations of disability to determine whether SSI recipients have met and continue to meet all statutory and regulatory requirements for SSI eligibility and whether they have been, and are still receiving, the correct payment amount. The information is normally completed in field offices by personal contact (face-to-face or telephone interview) using the automated Modernized SSI Claim System (MSSICS). The respondents are recipients of title XVI SSI benefits.

*Number of Respondents:* 920,000.

*Frequency of Response:* 1.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 18 minutes.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 276,000 hours.

Dated: June 12, 2002.

**Elizabeth A. Davidson,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-15397 Filed 6-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### [Public Notice 3984]

#### Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation will meet in the Department of State, 2201 "C" Street NW, Washington, DC, July 22-23, 2002, in Conference Room 1205. Prior notification and a valid photo are mandatory for entrance into the building. One week before the meeting, members of the public planning to attend must notify Gloria Walker, Office of the Historian (202-663-1124) to provide relevant dates of birth, Social Security numbers, and telephone numbers.

The Committee will meet in open session from 1:30 p.m. through 3:00

p.m. on Monday, July 22, 2002, to discuss declassification and transfer of Department of State electronic records to the National Archives and Records Administration and the status of the *Foreign Relations* series. The remainder of the Committee's sessions from 3:15 p.m. until 4:30 p.m. on Monday, July 22, 2002, and 9:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 23, 2002, will be closed in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463). The agenda calls for discussions of agency declassification decisions concerning the *Foreign Relations* series. These are matters not subject to public disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and the public interest requires that such activities be withheld from disclosure.

Questions concerning the meeting should be directed to Marc J. Susser, Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation, Department of State, Office of the Historian, Washington, DC, 20520, telephone (202) 663-1123, (e-mail [history@state.gov](mailto:history@state.gov)).

Dated: May 23, 2002.

**Marc J. Susser,**

*Executive Secretary of the Advisory Committee on Historical Diplomatic Documentation, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 02-15469 Filed 6-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-11-P

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### [Docket No. WTO/DS-244]

#### WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Brought by Japan Regarding the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duties on Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice of the request by the Government of Japan for the

establishment of a dispute settlement panel under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO) to examine certain aspects of the final determinations of both the United States Department of Commerce (DOC) and the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) in the full sunset review of antidumping duties on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan, issued on August 2, 2000, and November 21, 2000, respectively. USTR is also providing notice that a dispute settlement panel to examine the same matter has been established. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

**DATES:** Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before July 12, 2002, to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be submitted (i) electronically, to [japancrsteel@ustr.gov](mailto:japancrsteel@ustr.gov), or (ii) by mail, to Sandy McKinzy, Attn: Japan Corrosion-Resistant Steel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508, with a confirmation copy sent electronically or by fax to (202) 395-3640.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katharine J. Mueller, Assistant General Counsel, (202) 395-0317.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)), USTR is providing notice that on April 4, 2002, the Government of Japan submitted a request for the establishment of a dispute settlement panel to examine certain aspects of the final determinations of DOC and ITC in the full sunset review of antidumping duties on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan, and that, on May 22, 2002, a WTO dispute settlement panel was established at the request of the Government of Japan to examine the same matter.

### Major Issues Raised and Legal Basis of the Complaint

Japan alleges that the DOC and ITC final determinations in the full sunset review of antidumping duties on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan, issued on August 2, 2000, and November 21, 2000, respectively, are erroneous and based on WTO-inconsistent provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 and related regulations. Japan points in particular to:

- The automatic initiation of the sunset review without sufficient evidence;
- The likelihood standard used in determining whether to revoke or terminate an order, including the “good cause” provision determining whether the DOC may consider other relevant factors;
- The use of original (pre-WTO) dumping margins to determine the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury;
- The determination of the likelihood of continued or recurrent dumping on an order-wide basis rather than a company-specific basis;
- The treatment as “zero” of negative dumping amounts in the margins of dumping likely to prevail in the event of revocation;
- The decision of DOC not to accept certain information submitted by a Japanese respondent;
- The application of a *de minimis* standard of 0.5 percent in sunset reviews;
- The determination of ITC to cumulate imports without considering whether imports were negligible.

Japan contends that these aspects of the final determinations are inconsistent with Articles VI and X of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994; Articles 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, and 18 of the Antidumping Agreement; and Article XVI:4 of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization.

### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments must be in English. Commenters should send either one copy by U.S. mail, first class, postage prepaid, to Sandy McKinzy at the address listed above, or transmit a copy electronically to [japancrsteel@ustr.gov](mailto:japancrsteel@ustr.gov). For documents sent by U.S. mail, USTR requests that the submitter provide a confirmation copy, either electronically or by fax to (202) 395-3640. USTR

encourages the submission of documents in Adobe PDF format, as attachments to an electronic mail.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the commenter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy. For any document containing business confidential information submitted by electronic transmission, the file name of the business confidential version should begin with the characters “BC”, and the file name of the public version should begin with the characters “P”. The “P” or “BC” should be followed by the name of the commenter. Interested persons who make submissions by electronic mail should not provide separate cover letters; information that might appear in a cover letter should be included in the submission itself. Similarly, to the extent possible, any attachments to the submission should be included in the same file as the submission itself, and not as separate files.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

- (1) Must so designate the information or advice;
- (2) Must clearly mark the material as “SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE” in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy, or appropriately name the electronic file submitted containing such material; and
- (3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, which is located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened, the U.S. submissions to that panel, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the

panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body.

An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/DS-244, Japan Corrosion-Resistant Steel Dispute) may be made by calling the USTR Reading Room at (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public at 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**Bruce R. Hirsch,**

*Acting Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 02-15359 Filed 6-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement for the Los Angeles Union Station Run-Through Track Project

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to assess potential environmental impacts of the proposed Los Angeles Union Station Run-Through Track Project. The EIS is being prepared with the California Department of Transportation (Department) in conjunction with an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that will address the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.

This EIS will address the potential environmental impacts of a reasonable range of alternative alignments for the proposed project and will provide a meaningful opportunity for the public to comment on this project. This notice informs the public of the proposed project, announces the dates, times, and places for scoping meetings, and solicits public comment. The scoping process will include notifying the general public and Federal, State, and local agencies of the proposed project. The purpose of scoping is to identify public and agency concerns, and alternatives to be considered in the EIS and EIR.

**DATES:** *Written Comments:* Written comments on the scope of the EIS for the proposed project will be accepted and should be received no later than July 29, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered to the