

M210 Presorted Rates  
 M220 Carrier Route Rates  
 M230 Combining Multiple Editions or Publications of the Same Publisher  
 M500 Express Mail  
 M600 Standard Mail (Nonautomation)  
 M610 Presorted Standard Mail  
 M620 Enhanced Carrier Route Standard Mail  
 M700 Package Services  
 M710 Parcel Post  
 M720 Bound Printed Matter  
 M721 Single-Piece Bound Printed Matter  
 M722 Presorted Bound Printed Matter  
 M723 Carrier Route Bound Printed Matter  
 M730 Media Mail  
 M740 Library Mail  
 M800 All Automation Mail  
 M810 Letter-Size Mail  
 M820 Flat-Size Mail  
 M900 Advanced Preparation Options for Flats  
 M910 Co-Traying and Co-Sacking Package of Automation and Presorted Mailings  
 M920 Merged Containerization of Packages Using the City State Product  
 M930 Merged Palletization of Packages Using a 5% Threshold  
 M940 Merged Palletization of Packages Using the City State Product and a 5% Threshold  
 M950 Co-Packaging Automation Rate and Presorted Rate Pieces

**P POSTAGE AND PAYMENT METHODS**

P000 Basic Information  
 P010 General Standards  
 P011 Payment  
 P012 Documentation  
 P013 Rate Application and Computation  
 P014 Refunds and Exchanges  
 P020 Postage Stamps and Stationery  
 P021 Stamped Stationery  
 P022 Postage Stamps  
 P023 Precanceled Stamps  
 P030 Postage Meters (Postage Evidencing Systems)  
 P040 Permit Imprints  
 P070 Mixed Classes  
 P100 First-Class Mail  
 P200 Periodicals  
 P500 Express Mail  
 P600 Standard Mail  
 P700 Package Services  
 P900 Special Postage Payment Systems  
 P910 Manifest Mailing System (MMS)  
 P920 Optional Procedure (OP) Mailing System  
 P930 Alternate Mailing Systems (AMS)  
 P950 Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS)  
 P960 First-Class or Standard Mail Mailings With Different Payment Methods

**R RATES AND FEES**

R000 Stamps and Stationery  
 R100 First-Class Mail  
 R200 Periodicals  
 R500 Express Mail  
 R600 Standard Mail  
 R700 Package Services  
 R900 Services

**S SPECIAL SERVICES**

S000 Miscellaneous Services  
 S010 Indemnity Claims  
 S020 Money Orders and Other Services  
 S070 Mixed Classes  
 S500 Special Services for Express Mail  
 S900 Special Postal Services  
 S910 Security and Accountability  
 S911 Registered Mail  
 S912 Certified Mail  
 S913 Insured Mail  
 S914 Certificate of Mailing  
 S915 Return Receipt  
 S916 Restricted Delivery  
 S917 Return Receipt for Merchandise  
 S918 Delivery Confirmation  
 S919 Signature Confirmation  
 S920 Convenience  
 S921 Collect on Delivery (COD) Mail  
 S922 Business Reply Mail (BRM)  
 S923 Merchandise Return Service  
 S924 Bulk Parcel Return Service  
 S930 Handling

**I INDEX INFORMATION**

I000 Information  
 I010 Summary of Changes  
 I020 References  
 I021 Forms Glossary  
 I022 Subject Index

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 02-17890 Filed 7-16-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Part 52**

**[CA 247-0347a; FRL-7220-6]**

### **Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from solvent cleaning operations and dry cleaners using solvent other than perchloroethylene. We are approving local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** This rule is effective on September 16, 2002 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by August 16, 2002. If we receive such comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** to notify the public that this rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA 93940-6536

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Dr. Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947-4120.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. The State's Submittal
  - A. What rules did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
  - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. Public comment and final action.
- III. Background Information
  - Why were these rules submitted?
- IV. Administrative Requirements

#### **I. The State's Submittal**

##### *A. What rules did the State submit?*

Table 1 lists the rules we are approving with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agencies and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

| Local agency  | Rule # | Rule title   | Adopted  | Submitted |
|---------------|--------|--|----------|-----------|
| MBUAPCD ..... | 416    | Solvents .....   | 01/17/01 | 05/08/01  |
| SCAQMD .....  | 1102   | Dry Cleaners Using Solvents Other Than Perchloroethylene ..... | 11/17/00 | 05/08/01  |

On July 20, 2001, these rule submittals were found to meet the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

*B. Are There Other Versions of These Rules?*

MBUAPCD adopted a version of Rule 416 on April 20, 1994, which EPA approved into the SIP on February 12, 1996. SCAQMD adopted a version of Rule 1102 on December 7, 1990, which EPA approved into the SIP on March 24, 1992. MBUAPCD adopted revisions to Rule 416 on January 17, 2001 and SCAQMD adopted revisions to Rule 1102 on November 17, 2000. Both rules were submitted to EPA for SIP approval on May 8, 2001.

*C. What is the Purpose of the Submitted Rule Revisions?*

MBUAPCD Rule 416 has been revised to identify all VOCs as being ozone precursors and subject all emission units to 40 lb/day emission limit unless they are granted a higher limit based upon historical operations or upon Best Available Control Technology or New Source Review requirements.

SCAQMD Rule 1102 has been revised to achieve VOC reductions by phasing out transfer machines that use solvents containing VOC and requiring closed-loop machines instead. The amendments exempt all types of dry cleaning machines that use solvents containing Group II exempt compounds other than perchloroethylene except for certain recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

Other minor changes are made to improve clarity and enhance enforceability.

The TSDs have more information about these rules.

**II. EPA's Evaluation and Action**

*A. How Is EPA Evaluating the Rules?*

Generally, SIP rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act), must require Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for major sources in nonattainment areas (see section 182(a)(2)(A)), and must not relax existing requirements (see sections 110(l) and 193). The MBUAPCD and SCAQMD regulate ozone nonattainment areas (see 40 CFR part 81), so Rules 416 and 1102 must fulfill RACT.

Guidance and policy documents that we used to help evaluate specific enforceability and RACT requirements consistently include the following:

1. Portions of the proposed post-1987 ozone and carbon monoxide policy that concern RACT, 52 FR 45044, November 24, 1987.

2. "Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations; Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 **Federal Register** Document," (Blue Book), notice of availability published in the May 25, 1988 **Federal Register**.

3. U.S. EPA Region IX—Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies, Revised August 21, 2001, (A.K.A., "The Little Blue Book").

*B. Do the Rules Meet the Evaluation Criteria?*

We believe these rules improve the SIP and are consistent with the relevant policy and guidance regarding enforceability, RACT, and SIP relaxations. The TSD has more information on our evaluation.

*C. Public Comment and Final Action.*

As authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving the submitted rules because we believe they fulfill all relevant requirements. We do not think anyone will object to this approval, so we are finalizing it without proposing it in advance. However, in the Proposed Rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are simultaneously proposing approval of the same submitted rules. If we receive adverse comments by August 16, 2002, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** to notify the public that the direct final approval will not take effect and we will address the comments in a subsequent final action based on the proposal. If we do not receive timely adverse comments, the direct final approval will be effective without further notice on September 16, 2002. This will incorporate these rules into the federally enforceable SIP.

Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

**III. Background Information**

Why were these rules submitted?

VOCs help produce ground-level ozone and smog, which harm human health and the environment. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires states to submit regulations that control VOC emissions. Table 2 lists some of the national milestones leading to the submittal of these local agency VOC rules.

TABLE 2.—OZONE NONATTAINMENT MILESTONES

| Date                    | Event   |
|-------------------------|---|
| March 3, 1978 .....     | EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977. 43 FR 8964; 40 CFR 81.305.  |
| May 26, 1988 .....      | EPA notified Governors that parts of their SIPs were inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that they correct the deficiencies (EPA's SIP-Call). See section 110(a)(2)(H) of the pre-amended Act. |
| November 15, 1990 ..... | Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were enacted. Public Law 101-549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.  |
| May 15, 1991 .....      | Section 182(a)(2)(A) requires that ozone nonattainment areas correct deficient RACT rules by this date.   |

#### IV. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority

to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 16, 2002. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 9, 2002.

**Alexis Strauss,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

Accordingly, Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(284)(i)(A)(3) and (c)(284)(i)(B)(4) to read as follows:

#### § 52.220 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(284) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(A) \* \* \*

(3) Rule 416, adopted on September 1, 1974 and amended on January 17, 2001.

(B) \* \* \*

(4) Rule 1102, adopted on January 6, 1978 and amended on November 17, 2000.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 02-17702 Filed 7-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-2002-0112; FRL-7183-6]

#### Extension of Tolerances for Emergency Exemptions (Multiple Chemicals)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation extends time-limited tolerances for the pesticides listed in Unit II. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. These actions are in response to EPA's granting of emergency exemptions under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) authorizing use of these pesticides. Section 408(l)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective July 17, 2002. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket ID number OPP-2002-0112, must be received by EPA on or before August 16, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted by mail, in person, or by courier. Please