

IL020010 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 IL020020 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 IL020065 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 Minnesota
 MN020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 Wisconsin
 WI020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WI020009 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WI020017 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WI020046 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WI020047 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WI020048 (Mar. 1, 2002)

Volume V

None

Volume VI

Alaska
 AK020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 AK020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 AK020006 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 Colorado
 CO020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020008 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020009 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020010 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020011 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CO020012 (Mar. 1, 2002)

Oregon

OR020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)

Utah

UT020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)

Washington

WA020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020003 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020005 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020007 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020008 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 WA020011 (Mar. 1, 2002)

Volume VII

California

CA020001 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020002 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020004 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020009 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020019 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020023 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020025 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020028 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020029 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020030 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020031 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020032 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020033 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020035 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020036 (Mar. 1, 2002)
 CA020037 (Mar. 1, 2002)

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon And Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50

Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically at no cost on the Government Printing Office site at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/davisbacon>. They are also available electronically by subscription to the Davis-Bacon Online Service (<http://davisbacon.fedworld.gov>) of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1-800-363-2068. This subscription offers value-added features such as electronic delivery of modified wage decisions directly to the user's desktop, the ability to access prior wage decisions issued during the year, extensive Help desk Support, etc.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Document, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate Volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of July 2002.

Carl J. Poleskey,

Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 02-17953 Filed 7-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket No. 2002-7 DD 99-01]

Ascertainment of Controversy for the Distribution of the 1999, 2000, and 2001 Digital Audio Recording Royalty Funds

AGENCY: Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects an error in the notice requesting that interested parties file Notices of Intention to participate in the proceeding to distribute the 1999, 2000 and 2001 Musical Works Funds.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tanya M. Sandros, Senior Attorney,

Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel, P.O. Box 70977, Southwest Station, Washington, DC 20024. Telephone: (202) 707-8380. Telefax: (202) 252-3423.

Correction

In document 02-17897 beginning on page 46698 in the issue of July 16, 2002, make the following correction, in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section:

On page 46698, in the third column, the first sentence in the last paragraph which reads, "Each claimant who intends to participate in the distribution of the 1995, 1996, 1997, and 1998 Musical Works Funds must also file a Notice of Intention to participate." is corrected to read as follows: "Each claimant who intends to participate in the distribution of the 1999, 2000 and 2001 Musical Works Funds must also file a Notice of Intention to participate."

Dated: July 17, 2002.

Marilyn J. Kretsinger,

Assistant General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 02-18277 Filed 7-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-31-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 30—Rules of General Applicability to Domestic Licensing of Byproduct Material.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0017.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur. There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a license. Renewal applications are submitted every 10 years. Information submitted in previous applications may be referenced without being resubmitted. In addition, recordkeeping must be performed on an on-going basis.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* All persons applying for or holding a license to manufacture, produce, transfer, receive, acquire, own, possess, or use radioactive byproduct material.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 21,583 (4,678 NRC licensee and 16,905 Agreement State licensees).

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 199,389 (NRC licensees 44,613 hours (19,955 reporting + 24,658 recordkeeping) and Agreement State licensees 154,776 hours (67,439 reporting + 87,337 recordkeeping)).

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 30 establishes requirements that are applicable to all persons in the United States governing domestic licensing of radioactive byproduct material. The application, reporting and recordkeeping requirements are necessary to permit the NRC to make a determination whether the possession, use, and transfer of byproduct material is in conformance with the Commission's regulations for protection of the public health and safety.

Submit, by September 17, 2002, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E 6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at (301) 415-7233, or by Internet electronic mail at INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of July 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-18241 Filed 7-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectives, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

ACTION: Draft notice for comment.

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued government wide guidelines (OMB Guidelines) as required by Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Pub. L. 106-554; H.R. 5658) to ensure and maximize the quality of information disseminated by Federal agencies. The OMB Guidelines were published on September 28, 2001, (66 FR 49718) and on January 3, 2002, (67 FR 369) and reprinted in their entirety on February 22, 2002, (67 FR 8452): Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectively, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by Federal Agencies. Each Federal agency is required to issue its own set of guidelines to comply with Section 515 requirements.

The U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (Board) is making its draft information guidelines available for public comment both in the **Federal Register** and on its Web site at www.nwtrb.gov. The Board welcomes public comment on the guidelines. Please send comments by e-mail to info@nwtrb.gov. or in writing to Joyce M. Dory, Director of Administration; U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board; 2300 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201.

Comments may be submitted on the draft NWTRB guidelines or on the proposed complaint and review process for addressing public requests for correcting such information. When commenting, please bear in mind that the purpose of the complaint and review process is to deal with information quality, not to resolve underlying substantive policy or legal issues or factual disputes.

Comments received will be reviewed and may be included in the Board's request to OMB for approval of final

NWTRB guidelines. To be considered for inclusion in the final guidelines, comments must be received by July 26, 2002.

Nothing in the guidelines set forth in this notice is intended to confer any legal right on any individual. The Board's predissemination review under these guidelines applies to information first disseminated by the Board on or after October 1, 2002.

Draft Guidelines for Disseminating Information

Board Mandate

The U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was established by Public Law 100-203, Part E, to "evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the Secretary [of Energy] after the date of the enactment of the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, including: (1) [Yucca Mountain] site characterization activities; and (2) activities relating to the packaging or transporting of high-level radioactive waste or spent nuclear fuel."

To carry out its mandate, the Board strives for a high standard of quality in reviewing the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) technical and scientific activities. The Board holds open meetings, routinely schedules time for public comment at its meetings, and actively solicits the opinions of experts in fields allied with topics under review.

The Board also makes every effort to ensure the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information that it disseminates. In developing these guidelines, the Board has followed the requirements set out by the OMB.

Information Disseminated by the Board

The Board was charged by Congress with providing technical and scientific advice to Congress and the Secretary of Energy based on the expert opinion of Board members. In accordance with its congressional mandate, the Board performs an evaluation of the technical and scientific validity of factual information provided by the DOE. The Board does not originate technical and scientific research or data. Consequently, information disseminated by the Board is almost without exception based on Board-member opinion. Like all expert judgments, Board opinions have a subjective element. Thus, they are not easily subjected to the tests of objectivity, reproducibility, and transparency described in the OMB guidelines.

The Board has developed the following proposed guidelines for the