A greeting by the Board's incoming chairman, Dr. Michael Corradini, will open the morning session followed by remarks by outgoing chairman, Dr. Jared Cohon. Four additional new Board members will be introduced, and four members departing the Board will be recognized. The greeting and introductions will be followed by a general overview of the DOE program and a briefing on the Yucca Mountain project's plans. The DOE will then provide an update on its science and engineering activities followed by a report on the proposed science and technology program. The final presentation before lunch will be given by a representative of Inyo County, California, who will report on the County's regional ground water monitoring program. Immediately following the lunch break, the chair of the DOE's Igneous Consequences Peer Review Panel will present an interim report from the Panel. This presentation will be followed by updates on corrosion testing and repository design. The final session of the afternoon will focus on performance assessment and barrier analysis, during which representatives of the DOE and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) will make presentations. A round-table discussion of these topics will follow the presentations. Round-table participants will include representatives from the State of Nevada, the DOE, the EPRI, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste, and others. Roundtable participants will discuss underlying causes for differences in TSPA estimates, the significance of those differences, and issues related to different repository-system barrier analyses.

A public comment period has been scheduled for 5:30–6:00 p.m. However, if members of the public are unable to comment at that time, time will be provided on as-needed basis before the lunch break. Those wanting to speak during the public comment period are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

A detailed agenda will be available approximately one week before the meeting. Copies of the agenda can be requested by telephone or obtained from the Board's Web site at www.nwtrb.gov. Beginning October 10, 2002, transcripts of the meeting will be available on the Board's Web site, via e-mail, on computer disk, and on a library-loan

basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board staff.

A block of rooms has been reserved at the Alexis Park Hotel. To obtain the meeting rate, reservations must be made by August 21, 2002. When making a reservation, please state that you are attending the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board meeting. For more information, contact the NWTRB; Karyn Severson, External Affairs; 2300 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300; Arlington, VA 22201–3367; (tel) 703–235–4473; (fax) 703–235–4495; (e-mail) info@nwtrb.gov.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987. The Board's purpose is to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the Secretary of Energy related to the disposal of the nation's spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. In the same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, to determine its suitability as the location of a potential repository for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

Dated: July 26, 2002.

William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 02–19146 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–AM–M

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIMES AND DATES: 1 p.m, Monday, August 5, 2002; 8:30 a.m., Tuesday, August 6, 2002.

PLACE: Washington, DC, at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

STATUS: August 5–1 p.m. (Closed); August 6–8:30 a.m. (Open).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Monday, August 5-1 p.m. (Closed)

- 1. Financial Performance.
- Fiscal Year 2003 Establish/Deploy Report.
- 3. Preliminary Fiscal Year 2003 Integrated Financial Plan.
- 4. Strategic Planning.
- 5. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

Tuesday, August 6-8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Minutes of the Previous Meeting, July 1-2, 2002.

- 2. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO.
- 3. National Postal Forum Report.
- 4. Briefing on Pipe Bomb Investigation.
- 5. Fiscal Year 2002 Borrowing Resolution.
- 6. Financial the Postal System.
- 7. Capital Investments.
 - a. Fairfax, Virginia, Main Post Office.
 - b. Office of Inspector General—Office Space Additional Funding Request.
 - c. 76 automated Package Processing Systems.
- 8. Tentative Agenda for the September 5–6, 2002, meeting in Washington, D.C.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

William T. Johnstone, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260– 1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

William T. Johnstone,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–19275 Filed 7–25–02; 4:32 pm] **BILLING CODE 7710–12–M**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46238; File No. SR–BSE–2002–07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Competing Specialists and Objections to Competing Specialist Competition

July 19, 2002.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 25, 2002, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend certain sections of its rules related to competing specialists (as defined in BSE Rules, Chapter XV, *Dealer Specialists*, section 18, *Procedures for Competing Specialists*) and objections to competition that may be raised by

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

regular specialists when competing specialists apply for the right to compete with regular specialists. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in brackets.

Chapter XV

Dealer Specialists Procedures for Competing Specialists Sec. 18 * *

* 2. Objections to Competition

a. A specialist may object to competition.3 After notice of such objection to permit competition is provided by the regular specialist, the specialist must reduce his objection, and the reason(s) therefore, to writing [Any objection by the regular specialist to permit competition in one or more of such specialist's stocks must be in writing on a form designated by the Exchange] and file it [filed] with the Exchange within 48 hours 4 of notice 5 of the competing specialist's application.

b. Once a specialist has objected to competition, the reasons for objection must be set forth in writing and delivered to the Exchange within 24

hours of the objection.]

[c] b. A Market Performance Committee meeting will be scheduled to review the reasons for objection, and to determine whether an entering competitor could jeopardize the fair and orderly market maintained by the regular specialist in relation to the stock at issue. The regular specialist will be permitted to appear before the Committee to give the Committee the opportunity to question the regular specialist in regard to the reasons for objection. The applicant (competitor) will also be permitted to appear before the Committee to respond to any issues raised. After the Market Performance Committee renders its decision, either party may appeal to the Executive Committee and then, if necessary, to the Board of Governors.6

d. [In the event that the Market Performance Committee rules in favor of competition and the regular specialist seeks to appeal that decision Pending Market Performance Committee review

of any objection, competition in the security may be permitted upon the affirmative determination of a majority of the floor members of the Market Performance Committee, based on the standard set forth in Paragraph b. of this section 18. Pending the outcome of any appeal process, competition in the security at issue will [commence] be permitted. The results of such competition may be used by either the regular specialist in support of their objection, or considered by the Market Performance Committee, Executive Committee, or Board of Governors, in their respective determinations. [pending the outcome of the appeal process.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the section of the Exchange's Competing Specialist Initiative ("CSI") procedures relating to objections to competition filed by a regular specialist. The Exchange is seeking to implement a procedure that would permit competition pending a review of any objection to competition filed by a regular specialist.

Under current CSI procedures set forth in Chapter XV, Dealer Specialists, section 18, Procedures for Competing Specialists, any objection to competition by a regular specialist will prevent a competing specialist from trading, and competing with the regular specialist, in the security at issue, until the objection is adjudicated by the Exchange's Market Performance Committee ("MPC"). The result of this procedure is that competition is therefore prohibited during the period between the time a specialist first states an objection, and the time when an MPC meeting can be convened, and the objection fully vetted

and decided upon by the committee. By current design, this process takes several days, as the specialist is permitted 48 hours to formally present his objection and the reason therefore to the Exchange, and a meeting of the 15 person Market Performance Committee is then convened. In the meantime, competition is not permitted in the security in question, regardless of the reasons supporting the regular specialist's objection, and regardless of the volatility or other characteristics of the security.

In order to streamline this process, the Exchange is proposing that a majority of the floor members of the MPC can vote to permit competition in a security pending the formalization of a regular specialist's objection and the subsequent convening of a full meeting of the MPC to review the objection. This will enhance competition to the ultimate benefit of investors, while still offering the regular specialist the opportunity to formalize an objection, and have that objection be heard before the full MPC. At the same time, it will allow competition in instances in which a majority of the floor members of the MPC deem it, after consideration of the pertinent facts, to be warranted.

The standard by which the MPC judges whether competition is warranted is the "fair and orderly maintenance of the market." This standard will be imposed, as it is currently, on the full MPC during their ultimate hearing of any objection, but also on the floor members of the MPC during any interim decisions. By mandating this standard, the Exchange is ensuring that at all stages of objection, each MPC member is consistent in his consideration and decision making. Moreover, the Exchange is preventing a situation whereby a regular specialist may object for competitive or other reasons unrelated to the fair and orderly

maintenance of the market.

It should be noted that nothing in this proposal will affect the MPC's ultimate decision making authority relating to whether competition in a security should be permitted. If competition is permitted based on an affirmative vote of the majority of the floor members of the MPC, it can be withdrawn at a subsequent meeting of the full committee, if the full committee agrees that the fair and orderly maintenance of the market would be adversely affected by continued competition. It should also be noted that, since the establishment of the CSI program at the Exchange in 1996, there have been only three objections to competition by regular specialists, only one of which was upheld by the MPC based on the

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 3}\,\textsc{Only}$ the regular specialist can object to competition in his/her stocks.

⁴ Unless the regular specialist is unavailable, in which case within [48] 24 hours of becoming

⁵ Once an application is received by the Exchange [a written] notification will be issued to the regular specialist(s) in whose stocks competition is being

⁶ All appeals must be submitted within ten (10) business days of the final decision of either the Market Performance Committee or the Executive

standard of the maintenance of a fair and orderly market.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and section 6(b)(5) of the Act,8 in particular, which requires, among other things, that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of

the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BSE-2002-07 and should be submitted by August 20, 2002.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 9

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–19156 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Small Business Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB Review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 29, 2002. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

COPIES: Request for clearance (OMB 83–1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Jacqueline White, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline White, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205–7044.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: New Markets Venture Capital (NMVC) Program. Application Funding and Reporting.

No's: 2184, 2185.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Description of Respondents: Program Applicants and participants; SSBIC's receiving grants under the NMVC program.

Responses: 1,131. Annual Burden: 13,925.

Jacqueline White,

Chief, Administrative Information Branch. [FR Doc. 02–19170 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3364, Amdt #5]

State of New York

In accordance with information received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the above numbered declaration is hereby amended to extend the deadline for filing applications for physical damages as a result of this disaster to January 31, 2003.

The deadline for filing applications for economic injury has also been amended to January 31, 2003. All other information remains the same. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: July 23, 2002.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–19172 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3428, Amdt. #6]

State of Texas

In accordance with a notice received from the Federal Emergency
Management Agency, dated July 22,
2002, the above numbered declaration is hereby amended to include DeWitt and Victoria Counties in the State of Texas as disaster areas due to damages caused by severe storms and flooding occurring on June 29, 2002 and continuing.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

^{9 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).