of the official record without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Offer alternative ways to improve the notice or collection activity.
- 7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this document.
- 8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and Federal Registercitation.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

These documents were developed using the OP public participation process. The process was designed to increase transparency and maximize stakeholder involvement, and provides numerous opportunities for public comment. EPA has also conducted extensive outreach to affected parties. EPA is taking this action without a formal comment period. The public participation process for trichlorfon provided opportunities for public comment as EPA developed these decisions. To read more about the OP public participation process, see http:// www.epa.gov/pesticides/op/ process.htm. Below is a brief summary of EPA's interim decisions, which are fully described in the TRED or interim reregistration eligibility decision (IRED) documents.

A. Trichlorfon Decision

EPA has reassessed risks from nonoccupational exposure to trichlorfon through food, drinking water, and residential uses. Trichlorfon is not expected to pose risk concerns for these exposures provided that risk mitigation measures identified in the TRED for trichlorfon are adopted. Under the FQPA, EPA must review tolerances and tolerance exemptions that were already

in effect when Congress enacted the FQPA in August 1996, to ensure that existing pesticide residue limits for food and feed commodities meet the safety standard of the new law. EPA completed a RED for trichlorfon in September 1995, prior to FQPA enactment, and therefore needed an updated assessment to consider the provisions of the Act. In reviewing these tolerances, the Agency must consider, among other things, aggregate risks from non-occupational sources of pesticide exposure, whether there is increased susceptibility to infants and children, and the cumulative effects of pesticides with a common mechanism of toxicity. Once the Agency has considered the cumulative risks for the OPs, tolerances for trichlorfon will be reassessed in that

The Agency also reevaluated the occupational risks for trichlorfon, based on newly submitted data associated with the current non-agricultural uses. Risk mitigation measures to be implemented for these uses included:

- 1. Limiting application of trichlorfon to tees and greens of golf course turf.
- 2. Cancelling foliar application of trichlorfon to ornamental plants (only direct application to soil is allowed).
- 3. Allowing only truck-drawn spraying of large ponds for ornamentals and bait fish, and requiring an on-off switch inside the truck cab.

B. Next steps

EPA's next step under FQPA is to consider a cumulative risk assessment and risk management decision for the OP pesticides, which share a common mechanism of toxicity. Because the Agency has not yet considered the cumulative risks for the OPs, the Agency's interim decisions do not fully satisfy the reassessment of the existing food residue tolerances as required by FQPA. When the Agency has considered the cumulative risks for the OPs, then the tolerances for OP pesticides will be reassessed in this light. At that time, the Agency will complete the FQPA requirements for the OPs and, where required, make final REDs, which may include further risk mitigation measures.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: July 29, 2002.

Lois A. Rossi,

Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 02–19694 Filed 8–6–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

July 29, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before September 6, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judith Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith Boley Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at *jboley@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0463. Title: Telecommunications Services for Individuals with Hearing and Speech Disabilities and te American with Disabilities Act of 1990, 47 CFR part 64 (Sections 64.601–64.605).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, and state, local, and tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 5,052. Estimated Time Per Response: 5.31 hours (average burden per response).

Frequency of Response: On occasion, annual, and ever five year reporting requirements, recordkeeping requirement, and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 26,831 hours. *Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Commission adopted cost-recovery guidelines to telecommunications relay services (TRS), speech-to-speech relay services (STS), and video relay services (VRS). These guidelines are based, in part, on the recommendation of the Interstate TRS Advisory Council and the TRS Fund Administrator (Advisory Council and Fund Administrator, respectively). In the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that was adopted and released on December 21, 2001, in CC Docket No. 98–67, the Commission solicited comment on the recommendations submitted by the Advisory Council and the Fund Administrator relating to the appropriate permanent cost recovery mechanism for VRS. The Commission is submitting this information collection to extend the OMB to obtain the full threeyear approval with no change.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–19882 Filed 8–6–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

July 29, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that

does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments October 7, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judy Boley Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at <code>jboley@fcc.gov</code>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0683. Title: Direct Broadcast Satellite Service.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 6 respondents; 35 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.5–20 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, one-time, and annual reporting requirements, and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 3,200 hours. Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$50,000.

Needs and Uses: The Commission sought and received emergency clearance of this information collection on 7/26/02. The Commission is now initiating a 60-day comment period to extend this collection with no change for the regular, three-year approval.

This information collection, among other things, previously modified Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) to Part 25 of the Commission's rules, and eliminated Part 100 of the Commission's rules. These revisions were necessary to

simplify the procedures applicable to DBS, to eliminate unnecessary filing requirements, and to harmonize the DBS licensing process with that of other satellite services.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–19883 Filed 8–6–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

July 29, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÁ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before September 6, 2002. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les