

available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The Carson National Forest has contracted with Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC—Albuquerque) to develop the environmental impact statement in cooperation with the staff from the Jicarilla Ranger District and the Forest Supervisor's Office. In addition to conducting the technical analyses and developing the documents, SAIC will assist the Forest Service with public outreach efforts.

Dated: August 5, 2002.

**Martin D. Chavez Jr.,**

*Forest Supervisor, Carson National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 02-20399 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

## CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

### Sunshine Act Meeting: Public Hearing; Motiva Enterprise's Delaware City Refinery (DCR) Incident (July 17, 2001)

**AGENCY:** U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB).

**ACTION:** Notice announcing Sunshine Act public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The CSB is planning to hold a public hearing to review a staff report concerning an incident that occurred at Motiva Enterprise's Delaware City Refinery (DCR) on July 17, 2001. The staff will present its findings and analysis of the incident and submit its report and recommendations to the Board for approval.

**DATES:** The Public Hearing will be held on Wednesday, August 28, 2002 from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. at the Wilmington/Christiana Hilton Hotel, 100 Continental Drive, Newark, DE.

**PRE-REGISTRATION:** The event is open to the public and there is no fee for

attendance. However, attendees are strongly encouraged to pre-register, to ensure adequate seating arrangements. To pre-register, please email your name and affiliation by August 24, 2002, to [motiva@csb.gov](mailto:motiva@csb.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Heller, Office of Investigations and Safety Programs, 202.261.7682 or e-mail at: [motiva@csb.gov](mailto:motiva@csb.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 17, 2001, an explosion occurred at Motiva Enterprise's Delaware City Refinery (DCR) in Delaware City, Delaware. Jeffrey Davis, a boilermaker with The Washington Group, a Motiva maintenance contractor, was killed, and 8 others were injured. A crew of contract workers had been repairing grating on a catwalk in an acid storage tank farm when a spark from their hot work ignited flammable vapors in one of the storage tanks. The tank separated from its floor, releasing its contents instantaneously. Other tanks in the tank farm area also released their contents. A fire burned for approximately one-half hour and sulfuric acid reached the Delaware River, resulting in significant damage to aquatic life.

Because of the serious nature of this incident, the U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB) launched an investigation to determine the root and contributing causes and to issue recommendations to help prevent similar occurrences. Key issues to be addressed in the report concern: mechanical integrity, engineering management, management of change, and hot work systems.

**Raymond C. Porfiri**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 02-20668 Filed 8-9-02; 3:07 pm]

BILLING CODE 6350-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Extension of Waiting Period for the Final Environmental Impact Statement/Final Management Plan (FEIS/FMP) for the Proposed San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve

**AGENCY:** The Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Extension of waiting period.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Estuarine Reserves Division of the

Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), U.S. Department of Commerce, is extending the 30-day waiting period for the Final Environmental Impact Statement/Management Plan (FEIS/FMP) for the proposed San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve to August 26, 2002. The availability of the FEIS/FMP was announced on July 16, 2002 (67 FR 46637) with the waiting period ending on August 19, 2002. The time extension is due to mailing delays on the FEIS/FMP. The FEIS/FMP addresses research, monitoring, education, and resource protection needs for the proposed reserve.

If no substantive comments have been submitted to NOAA by August 26, 2002, a notice of availability of a Record of Decision will be published in the **Federal Register** and a Designation Document will be signed by the Under Secretary of NOAA and the Director of the Romberg Tiburon Center of the San Francisco State University.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Laurie McGilvray, Chief, Estuarine Reserves Division, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, NOAA 1305 East West Highway, N/ORM5, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, (301) 713-3155, Extension 158. Copies of the FEIS/FMP are available upon request to the Estuarine Reserves Division.

Dated: August 9, 2002.

**Alan Neuschatz,**

*Chief Financial Officer/Chief Administrative Officer for Ocean Services and Coastal Zone Management.*

Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Number 11.420 (Coastal Zone Management) Research Reserves

[FR Doc. 02-20641 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

*Title:* Electronic Application for Patent Examiners—Job Application Rating System (JARS).

*Form Number(s):* PTO-2041.

*Agency Approval Number:* 0651-0042.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Burden:* 2,500 hours annually.

*Number of Respondents:* 5,000 responses per year.

*Avg. Hours Per Response:* Based on USPTO time and motion studies, the agency estimates that it will take the public approximately 30 minutes to gather and prepare the necessary information, and submit the electronic employment application.

*Needs and Uses:* The Electronic Application for Patent Examiners—Job Application Rating System (JARS) is used by the public to apply for entry-level patent examiner positions in a user-friendly process. The USPTO uses the electronic transmission of this information to review and rate applicants on-line almost instantaneously. It is also used by the USPTO to expedite the hiring process by eliminating the time used in the mail distribution process, thereby streamlining labor and reducing costs.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; farms; Federal Government, and state, local, or tribal governments.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division, (703) 308-7400, USPTO, Suite 310, 2231 Crystal Drive, Washington, DC 20231, or by e-mail at [susan.brown@uspto.gov](mailto:susan.brown@uspto.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before September 12, 2002 to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 2, 2002.

**Susan K. Brown,**

*Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division.*  
[FR Doc. 02-20424 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Managed Funds Issues; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Public Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") will hold a public roundtable meeting at which invited participants will discuss managed funds issues. Participants will be announced at a later date.

**DATES:** Thursday, September 19, 2002, from 10 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC. Lobby Level Hearing Room located at Room 1000. Status: Open.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 7th day of August, 2002.

By the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**Catherine D. Dixon,**

*Assistant Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 02-20472 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-M**

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 02-C0006]

### Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a Corporation Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118-20(e). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation containing a civil penalty of \$400,000.

**DATES:** Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by August 28, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 02-C0006 Office of the

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald G. Yelenik, Trial Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-0980, 1351.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
*Secretary.*

### United States of America, Consumer Product Safety Commission

### In the Matter of Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation

[CPSC Docket No. 02-C0006]

### Settlement Agreement and Order

1. This Settlement Agreement, made by and between the staff ("the staff") of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "Commission") and Briggs & Stratton Corporation ("Briggs & Stratton" or "Respondent"), a corporation, in accordance with 16 CFR 1118.20 of the Commission's Procedures for Investigations, Inspections, and Inquiries under the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA"), is a settlement of the staff allegations set forth below.

### The Parties

2. The Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency responsible for the enforcement of the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2051-2084.

3. Briggs & Stratton is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin with its principal corporate offices located in Wauwatosa, Wisconsin.

### Staff Allegations

4. Between 1992 and 1995, Briggs & Stratton manufactured and sold nationwide approximately 133,000 series 1352 engines for use on fun karts. Between December 1994 and May 1995, Briggs & Stratton manufactured and sold approximately 29,000 series 1362 engines with vented gas caps for use on fun karts.

5. Both the series 1352 engines and the series 1362 engines with vented gas caps ("engines") are "consumer products" and Respondent is a "manufacturer" of "consumer products", which were "distributed in commerce" as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(1),(4),(11) and (12) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1),(4),(11), and (12).