of *Institution of Five-Year Reviews* covering these same suspended investigations.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James P. Maeder or Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482–3330 or (202) 482–5050, respectively, or Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission, at (202) 205–3193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001). Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping ("AD") or countervailing duty ("CVD") order will be revoked, or the suspended investigation will be terminated, unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and (2) material injury to the domestic industry.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth

in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

# **Initiation of Review**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c) we are initiating sunset reviews of the following suspended investigations:

DOC case No.	ITC case No.	Country	Product
A-821-808 A-791-804	731–TA–754 731–TA–755	China	Cut-to-length Carbon Steel Plate.

# **Filing Information**

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the Sunset Regulations (19 CFR 351.218) and Sunset Policy Bulletin, the Department's schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (i.e., previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet website at the following address: "http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/".

All submissions in these sunset reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303. Also, we suggest that parties check the Department's sunset website for any updates to the service lists before filing any submissions. The Department will make additions to and/or deletions from the service lists provided on the sunset website based on notifications from parties and participation in these reviews. Specifically, the Department will delete from the service lists all parties that do not submit a substantive response to the notice of initiation.

Because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews. The Department's regulations on submission

of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

# **Information Required From Interested Parties**

Domestic interested parties (defined in 19 CFR 351.102) wishing to participate in these sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically terminate the suspended investigations without further review.

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic interested parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade

Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews. Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: August 23, 2002.

#### Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–22355 Filed 8–30–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-201-817]

# Oil Country Tubular Goods From Mexico: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Mexico. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 66 FR 49924 (October 1, 2001). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2000 to July 31, 2001. This review has now been rescinded because one party requesting the review withdrew its request, and the remaining exporter named in the request for review had no entries for consumption of subject merchandise that are subject to review in the United States during the POR. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 3, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Phyllis Hall or Abdelali Elouaradia,
Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington,

DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1398 or (202) 482–1374 respectively.

# The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

#### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are oil country tubular goods, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (ĂPI) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40,

7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

The Department has determined that couplings, coupling stock and drill pipe are not within the scope of the antidumping order on OCTG from Mexico. See Letter to Interested Parties; Final Affirmative Scope Decision, August 27, 1998. See Continuation of Countervailing and Antidumping Duty Orders on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea and Mexico, and Partial Revocation of Those Orders From Argentina and Mexico With Respect to Drill Pipe, 66 FR 38630, July 25, 2001.

#### **Background**

On August 31, 2001, North Star Steel Ohio (petitioner), a division of North Star Steel Company, requested an administrative review of Tubos de Acero de Mexico S.A. (TAMSA), a Mexican producer and exporter of OCTG, with respect to the antidumping order published in the Federal Register. See Antidumping Duty Order: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Mexico. 60 FR 41055 (August 11, 1995). Additionally, respondent Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. (Hylsa) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Hylsa. We initiated the review for both companies. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 66 FR 49924 (October 1, 2001). On October 2, 2001, Hylsa withdrew its request and requested that the Department terminate the review with respect to Hylsa. On December 20, 2001, the Department issued an antidumping duty

questionnaire to TAMSA for the POR. On January 8, 2002, TAMSA resubmitted its no consumption entry/ sales certifications. On January 24, 2002, February 22, 2002, March 18, 2002 and March 21, 2002, TAMSA submitted information in response to requests for information from the Department. On January 18, 2002 and February 8, 2002, we received comments from petitioner. These comments are discussed below. On August 14, 2002 and August 15, 2002, the Department informed petitioners of its intent to rescind the review. See memos to file dated August 15, 2002 and August 16, 2002. The Department did not receive any comments from petitioners.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2001 TAMSA claimed that "it did not directly or indirectly, enter for consumption, or sell, export or ship for entry for consumption in the United States subject merchandise during the period of review." On December 20, 2002, the Department issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to TAMSA and requested that TAMSA resubmit its no consumption/entry/sales certification. On January 8, 2002, TAMSA submitted its no consumption/ entry/sales certifications. Petitioner subsequently claimed on January 18, 2002, that publicly available import data from the Department's IM-145 database showed that 3,355 metric tons of seamless OCTG from Mexico entered the United States during the period of review. Petitioner asserted that TAMSA was the only producer of seamless OCTG in Mexico. Petitioner requested that the Department investigate these transactions to determine whether this merchandise is subject to review. After TAMSA submitted information on certain transactions, on February 8, 2002, petitioners pointed out that the transactions did not account for the total amount of seamless OCTG shown in the IM-145 database.

The Department has thoroughly investigated U.S. Customs Service (Customs) proprietary information for all HTSUS numbers covered by the scope of this review. As part of this investigation, the Department requested additional information from TAMSA for two entries. See Memo to the File dated February 12, 2002 and February 26, 2002. TAMSA submitted the requested information. One of the entries was misclassified and the other entry was for testing purposes. On May 2, 2002, Customs confirmed that for one of the entries, TAMSA was the manufacturer. This was the entry for testing purposes that the Department had previously investigated. On April 3, 2002, the

Department sent a no shipment inquiry to Customs. On April 19, 2002, in response to the no shipment inquiry, Customs sent a list of entries that had not been liquidated. The Department reviewed the data which did not show any additional shipments from TAMSA other than entries that had already been investigated. The Department has not been able to identify any other entries for consumption from TAMSA during the POR. See Memo to the File dated July 24, 2002. Since there were no entries for consumption during the POR of OCTG from TAMSA, and because Hylsa timely withdrew its request for review, we are rescinding this review in accordance with the Department's practice. The cash deposit rates for these firms will continue to be the rates established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 27, 2002.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–22358 Filed 8–30–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 052802E]

Small Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities; Missile Launch Operations from San Nicolas Island, CA

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of an incidental harassment authorization.

SUMMARY: In accordance with provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) as amended, notification is hereby given that an Incidental Harassment Authorization (IHA) to take small numbers of pinnipeds by harassment incidental to missile launch operations from the western end of San Nicolas Island, CA (SNI) has been issued to the U.S. Navy, Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division (NAWCWD), Point Mugu, CA.

DATES: Effective from August 26, 2002, until August 26, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The application, authorization and a list of references used in this document are available by writing to Donna Wieting, Chief, Marine Mammal Conservation Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315
East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910–3225, or by telephoning one of the contacts listed here. Publications referenced in this document are available for viewing, by appointment during regular business hours, at this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth Hollingshead, NMFS, (301) 713–2322, ext. 128 or Christina Fahy, NMFS, (562) 980–4023.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

Sections 101(a)(5)(A) and (D) of the MMPA (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.) direct the Secretary of Commerce to allow, upon request, the incidental, but not intentional taking of small numbers of marine mammals by U.S. citizens who engage in a specified activity (other than commercial fishing) within a specified geographical region if certain findings are made and either regulations are issued or, if the taking is limited to harassment, notice of a proposed authorization is provided to the public for review.

Permission for incidental takings may be granted if NMFS finds that the taking will have no more than a negligible impact on the species or stock(s) and will not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of the species or stock(s) for subsistence uses and that the permissible methods of taking and requirements pertaining to the monitoring and reporting of such taking are set forth.

NMFS has defined "negligible impact" in 50 CFR 216.103 as "an impact resulting from the specified activity that cannot be reasonably expected to, and is not reasonably likely to, adversely affect the species or stock through effects on annual rates of recruitment or survival."

Subsection 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA established an expedited process by which citizens of the United States can apply for an authorization to incidentally take small numbers of marine mammals by harassment. The MMPA defines "harassment" as:

...any act of pursuit, torment, or annoyance which (i) has the potential to injure a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild (Level A harassment); or (ii) has the potential to disturb a marine mammal or marine mammal stock in the wild by causing disruption of behavioral patterns, including, but not limited to, migration, breathing, nursing, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (Level B harassment).

Subsection 101(a)(5)(D) establishes a 45–day time limit for NMFS review of

an application followed by a 30–day public notice and comment period on any proposed authorizations for the incidental harassment of small numbers of marine mammals. Within 45 days of the close of the comment period, NMFS must either issue or deny issuance of the authorization.

#### **Summary of Request**

On April 9, 2002, NMFS received an application from the Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake (NAWS) requesting an authorization for the harassment of small numbers of three species of marine mammals incidental to target missile launch operations conducted by NAWCWD on SNI, one of the Channel Islands in the Southern California Bight. These operations may occur at any time during the year depending on test and training requirements and meteorological and logistical limitations. On occasion, two or three launches may occur in quick succession on a single day. In 2001, NAWCWD conducted 9 launches of Vandal and similar sized targets and 3 launches of subsonic targets from SNI. NAWS' request for an authorization to incidentally harass small numbers of marine mammals on SNI in 2002 and 2003 anticipates 15 launches of Vandal (or similar sized) vehicles from the Alpha Launch Complex on SNI and 5 launches of smaller subsonic missiles and targets for one year from either the Alpha Launch Complex or Building 807 commencing in August 2002. A detailed description of the operations is contained in the application (NAWS, 2002) which is available upon request (see ADDRESSES).

# **Measurement of Airborne Sound Levels**

The types of sounds discussed in NAWS' IHA application are airborne and impulsive. For this reason, the applicant has referenced both pressure and energy measurements for sound levels. For pressure, the sound pressure level (SPL) is described in terms of decibels (dB) re micro-Pascal (micro-Pa), and for energy, the sound exposure level (SEL) is described in terms of dB re micro-Pa2 -second. In other words, SEL is the squared instantaneous sound pressure over a specified time interval, where the sound pressure is averaged over 5 percent to 95 percent of the duration of the sound (in this case, one second).

Airborne noise measurements are usually expressed relative to a reference pressure of 20 micro-Pa, which is 26 dB above the underwater sound pressure reference of 1 micro-Pa. However, the conversion from air to water intensities is more involved than this (Buck, 1995)