

TRU waste and 1,700 cubic meters of contact-handled TRU waste would be transported from offsite DOE generator sites to Hanford over 20 years (see WM PEIS, Table 8.1–1 and Section 8.3.4). The potential risks associated with transportation (including routine and accident conditions) of the total of approximately 36 total cubic meters that DOE has now decided to ship would be small and much less than the transportation impacts (including routine and accident risks) identified in the WM PEIS (see WM PEIS, Sections 8.4.2, 8.7.5, and 8.10.1.1). In addition, the WIPP SEIS–II specifically analyzed transportation corridor impacts between ETEC and Hanford, which were small (see WIPP SEIS–II, Section 5.1.8). The volume of ETEC waste currently projected to be shipped to Hanford after volume reduction (11 cubic meters to 9 cubic meters) is identical to that analyzed in the WIPP SEIS II (see WIPP SEIS–II, Table 2–2).

In addition, the Environmental Assessment for Battelle Columbus Laboratories Decommissioning Project identified transportation corridor impacts between West Jefferson and Hanford for shipping 1,800 cubic meters of TRU waste over a period of 2 years and also found that the potential impacts would be very small. The 27 cubic meters of West Jefferson waste DOE has now decided to ship, and thus the potential transportation corridor impacts, would be substantially less than those identified in the environmental assessment.

The WM PEIS analyzed the onsite impacts at Hanford of storing, characterizing, and preparing up to 17,000 cubic meters of remote-handled TRU waste and 38,000 cubic meters of contact-handled TRU waste for shipment to WIPP (TRU waste generated at Hanford and TRU waste shipped to Hanford from offsite generators [Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, and Los Alamos National Laboratory]) (see WM PEIS, Table 8.1–1 and Section 8.3.4). The health and environmental impacts of managing these volumes of waste at Hanford were small (see WM PEIS, Volume II, Site Data Tables, Section II.5.3). Although the WM PEIS did not analyze the specific waste inventory at West Jefferson and ETEC that DOE has now decided to ship to Hanford (approximately 36 cubic meters total), the characteristics of the West Jefferson and ETEC wastes are similar to the TRU wastes analyzed in the WM PEIS at Hanford. Further, the waste volumes to be shipped to Hanford would represent

a very small fraction of the total contact- and remote-handled TRU waste to be prepared at Hanford for shipment to WIPP (0.07 percent) as analyzed in the WM PEIS.

For the reasons stated above, DOE is revising its earlier decision and will transfer approximately 27 cubic meters of TRU waste from the West Jefferson site and approximately 9 cubic meters of TRU waste from the ETEC site to Hanford for storage until certification and shipment to WIPP for disposal. Low-level waste (if any) identified during the certification process will be disposed of at Hanford according to existing procedures.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 27th day of August, 2002.

Jessie Hill Roberson,

Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management.

[FR Doc. 02–22698 Filed 9–5–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02–2236–002]

Ameren Services Company; Notice of Filing

August 27, 2002.

Take notice that on August 22, 2002, Ameren Services Company (ASC) tendered for filing an unexecuted Network Integration Transmission Service Agreement and Network Operating Agreement between ASC and Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to replace the unexecuted Agreements in Docket No. ER02–2236–000 with the revised unexecuted Agreements with Cinergy Power Marketing, as agent for Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person

designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502–8222 or TTY, (202) 208–1659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: September 12, 2002.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–22660 Filed 9–5–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02–1688–002]

Central Illinois Generation, Inc.; Notice of Filing

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 27, 2002, Central Illinois Generation (CIGI) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) additional information to support CIGI's Application for Market-Based Rate Authority, Waivers and Acceptance of Power Supply and Interconnection Agreements filed on May 1, 2002, as supplemented on June 14, 2002, in Docket No. ER02–1688–000.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link.

Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TTY, (202) 208-1659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: September 9, 2002.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22711 Filed 9-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-429-000]

Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 23, 2002, Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc. (AlaTenn), 1100 Louisiana, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP02-429-000, a request pursuant to 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2) (18 CFR Sections 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2)) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA), for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point facilities to serve an end-user in Madison County, Alabama under AlaTenn's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP85-359-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659.

Specifically, AlaTenn states that it is seeking authority to construct, own and operate new delivery point facilities on its existing 12-inch Mainline and 10-inch Loop Line to accommodate natural gas deliveries to Metal Working Products, a division of TDY Industries, Inc. (MWP), in Madison County, Alabama. AlaTenn states that MWP presently receives its natural gas service from Huntsville Utilities, the local

distribution company. According to AlaTenn, the proposed facilities will be used to transport up to 1,200 Dth of natural gas per day on a firm basis to MWP, which will be within AlaTenn's certificated level of services. AlaTenn further states that it will provide such firm transportation service on behalf of its marketing affiliate, Enbridge Marketing (US) Inc. under its existing authorized FT Rate Schedule, and within certificated entitlements. According to AlaTenn, the proposed facilities will not have an impact on AlaTenn's peak day deliveries, and that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed transportation service without detriment or disadvantage to its existing customers. AlaTenn states that the total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$71,490, will be totally reimbursed by MWP, and that the volumes will be transported under AlaTenn's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP89-2201-000.

Any questions concerning this request may be directed to Claudia Schrull, Director of Regulatory Affairs, Enbridge Pipelines (AlaTenn) Inc., 1100 Louisiana, Suite 3300, Houston, Texas 77002 at (713) 821-2045.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and, pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-22707 Filed 9-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP02-427-000]

Honeoye Storage Corporation; Notice of Application

August 30, 2002.

Take notice that on August 20, 2002, Honeoye Storage Corporation (Honeoye), c/o HALLC, 55 Union Street, 4th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02108, filed an application in the above captioned docket seeking a certificate of public convenience and necessity and related authorizations pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and the Commission's Rules and Regulations thereunder. Honeoye's application requests that the Commission issue an order authorizing Honeoye to make a well modification and increase the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) of its compressor station and field gathering system as described in the application. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659. Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Richard A. Norman, Vice-President, Honeoye Storage Corporation, c/o EHALLC, 55 Union Street, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-0032.

Honeoye's application states that it does not seek to increase the existing certificated storage capacity or injection/withdrawal deliverability of its facility. Honeoye also indicated that the proposed activities will improve operational efficiency of its storage reservoir located in Ontario County, New York within existing certificated limits. Honeoye asserts that while it has met all of its service obligations, it is unable to completely fill the storage reservoir during the injection cycle to its certificated capacity because of limits on the existing MAOP. In addition, Honeoye states that it has experienced a decline in deliverability during late stages of withdrawal from the Honeoye facility because of the installation of smaller casing/tubing sizes in certain injection/withdrawal wells.

Consequently, Honeoye proposes to increase the MAOP of its compressor station and field gathering system from its presently authorized limit of 1045 psia to 1322 psia to improve injection rates during late stages of injection.