with such data at a discounted rate should assist individual investors in their analysis of the financial markets. For these reasons, the Commission designates the proposal to be effective and operative upon filing with the Commission.¹¹

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NASD-2002-117 and should be submitted by September 30, 2002.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 12

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–22773 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46437; File No. SR–NYSE–2002–42]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Extending the Pilot Regarding Shareholder Approval of Stock Option Plans through October 30, 2002

August 29, 2002.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 29, 2002, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend, until October 30, 2002, the effectiveness of the amendments to sections 312.01, 312.03 and 312.04 of the Exchange's Listed Company Manual with respect to the definition of a "broadly-based" stock option plan, which were approved by the Commission on a pilot basis (the "Pilot") on June 4, 1999.³ The Pilot was subsequently amended and extended on March 30, 2001 until September 30, 2001.⁴ The Pilot has since been extended until January 11, 2002,⁵ March 11, 2002,⁶ May 13, 2002,⁷ June 30, 2002,⁶ and August 31, 2002.९

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The

Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange has had the Pilot with respect to the definition of a "broadlybased" stock option plan since June 4, 1999.¹⁰ On July 13, 2000, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change seeking to extend the effectiveness of the Pilot until September 30, 2003.11 Following receipt of comments from interested parties and the SEC staff, on January 19, 2001, the Exchange amended the 2000 Extension Request to shorten the threeyear extension request to one year and to amend the definition of "broadly based" under the Exchange's rule. While the 2000 Extension Request was under consideration, the Commission extended the Pilot to provide the Commission and the Exchange with additional time to review and evaluate comment letters. 12 On March 30, 2001, the Commission approved the 2000 Extension Request, which amended and extended the Pilot, on a pilot basis until September 30, 2001.¹³ The Exchange's 2001 Extension Request extended the Pilot until January 11, 2002 to provide additional time to evaluate the issues presented by the Pilot.14 The Pilot was

¹¹For the purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{12 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 41479, 64 FR 31667 (June 11, 1999) (notice of filing and order granting accelerated approval, on a pilot basis, to File No. SR–NYSE–98–32) ("Original Pilot Approval Order").

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44141, 66 FR 18334 (April 6, 2001) (order granting approval, on a pilot basis, to the File No. SR-NYSE-00-32).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44886 (September 28, 2001), 66 FR 51083 (October 5, 2001) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of File No. SR-NYSE-2001-37) ("2001 Extension Request").

⁶See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45275 (January 14, 2002), 67 FR 2718 (January 18, 2002) (File No. SR–NYSE–2002–03).

 ⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45546 (March 12, 2002), 67 FR 10272 (March 18, 2002)
(File No. SR-NYSE-2002-14).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45918 (May 13, 2002), 67 FR 35174 (May 17, 2002) (File No. SR-NYSE-2002-18).

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46143 (June 28, 2002), 67 FR 35174 (July 5, 2002) (File No. SR-NYSE-2002-22).

See Original Pilot Approval Order note 3 supra.
See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43111 (August 2, 2000), 65 FR 49046 (August 10, 2000) (notice of filing of File No. SR-NYSE-00-32) ("2000 Extension Request").

¹² See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 43329 (September 22, 2000), 65 FR 58833 (October 2, 2000) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of File No. SR–NYSE–00–38); 43647 (November 30, 2000), 65 FR 77407 (December 11, 2000) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of File No. SR–NYSE–00–52); and 44018 (February 28, 2001), 66 FR 13821 (March 7, 2001) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of File No. SR–NYSE–2001–04).

 $^{^{13}\,}See$ note 4 supra.

¹⁴ See note 5 supra. One comment letter was received regarding the extension of the Pilot by the 2001 Extension Request. See letter from Sarah A.B. Teslick, Executive Director, Council of Institutional Investors ("CII") to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Commission, dated October 16, 2001. The CII commented that the 2001 Extension Request should have been released for public comment prior to the Commission approving another extension to the Pilot and that any future proposed extensions should be released for prior public comment, that the Pilot not be extended after Ĵanuary 11, 2002, that the NYSE should be required to submit a dilution standard for approval which should be in place before the 2002 proxy season, and that the Commission act on the proposed disclosure standards for stock option plans. The Commission notes that the disclosure standards were approved by it on December 21, 2001. See

again extended several times, most recently until August 31, 2002 for the same reasons. 15

On June 6, 2002, the Exchange's special Committee on Corporate Accountability and Listing Standards recommended to the Exchange's Board of Directors that shareholders "be given the opportunity to vote on all equitycompensation plans."16 The Exchange's Board of Directors approved the final recommendations of the Committee at its August 2002 Board meeting. The proposed corporate governance standards were filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Act with the Commission on August 16, 2002.17 The Exchange requests an extension of the Pilot until October 30, 2002 to provide time for notice, public comment and approval of such rule changes by the Commission.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,18 which requires, among other things, that an Exchange have rules designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the

protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (3) does not become operative for 30 days from the date of filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act ¹⁹ and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) ²⁰ thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) 21 normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii),²² the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and public interest. The Exchange seeks to have the proposed rule change become operative prior to the end of the last extension in order to allow the Pilot to continue in effect on an uninterrupted basis. In addition, under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange is required to provide the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the filing date or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Commission waived the five-day prenotice requirement for this proposed rule change. In addition, for the reasons discussed below, the Commission has also waived the thirty-day operative date requirement for this proposed rule change.

The Commission, consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, has determined to make the proposed rule change to extend the Pilot through October 30, 2002, become operative on August 31, 2002. The Commission notes that unless the Pilot is extended, the Pilot will expire and the provisions of Sections 312.01, 312.03, and 312.04 of the Exchange's Listed Company Manual that were amended in the Pilot will revert to those in effect prior to June 4, 1999. The Commission believes that such a result could lead to confusion.

The Commission recognizes that the Pilot has generated many comment letters from commenters that do not support the NYSE's definition of "broadly-based" stock option plans under the Pilot.²³ The Commission also notes that many commenters were critical of the NYSE's existing rules on

broadly-based plans prior to the adoption of the original Pilot. As noted above, if the Pilot is not extended, the rules prior to the Pilot will go into effect. The proposed rule change merely extends the duration of the Pilot for only a short period of time and does not deal with the substantive issues presented by the Pilot itself.

In addition, the Commission notes that the Exchange has filed a proposed rule change on proposed corporate governance listing standards with the Commission which would, in part, require shareholder approval of all equity compensation plans.²⁴

Based on these reasons, the Commission has determined that it is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest that the proposed rule change to extend the Pilot through October 30, 2002, become operative on August 31, 2002.²⁵ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the abovementioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the File

Release Nos. 33–8048 and 34–45189 (December 21, 2001), 67 FR 232 (January 2, 2002).

¹⁵ See notes 6 through 9 supra.

¹⁶ Report of Corporate Accountability and Listing Standards Committee, June 6, 2002, p. 17.

 $^{^{17}}$ See File No. SR-NYSE-2002-33.

^{18 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

²¹ *Id*.

²² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

 $^{^{23}\,}See$ Original Pilot Approval Order, note 3 supra.

²⁴ See note 17 supra.

²⁵ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

No. SR-NYSE-2002-42 and should be submitted by September 30, 2002.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 26

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–22769 Filed 9–6–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–46443; File No. SR–NYSE– 2002–35]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Business Continuity and Contingency Planning

August 30, 2002.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b—4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 16, 2002, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change as amended from interested persons.³

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NYSE proposes to adopt new NYSE Rule 446, Business Continuity and Contingency. The proposed rule would require members and member organizations to develop, maintain, review, and update business continuity and contingency plans that establish procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency or significant business disruption. The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is in italics.

Business Continuity and Contingency Plans

New Rule 446

- (a) Members and member organizations must develop and maintain a written business continuity and contingency plan establishing procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency or significant business disruption. Members and member organizations must make such plan available to the Exchange upon request.
- (b) Members and member organizations must conduct a yearly review of their business continuity and contingency plan to determine whether any modifications are necessary in light of changes to the member's or member organization's operations, structure, business or location.
- (c) The requirements of a business continuity and contingency plan shall be tailored to the size and needs of a member or member organization. Each plan, however, must, at a minimum, address:
- (1) Books and records back-up and recovery (hard copy and electronic);
- (2) identification of all mission critical systems and back-up for such systems;
- (3) financial and operational risk assessments;
- (4) alternate communications between customers and the firm;
- (5) alternate communications between the firm and its employees;
- (6) alternate physical location of employees;
- (7) business constituent, bank and counter-party impact;
 - (8) regulatory reporting; and
 - (9) communications with regulators.
- (d) The term "mission critical system," for purposes of this Rule, means any system that is necessary, depending on the nature of a member's or member organization's business, to ensure prompt and accurate processing of securities transactions, including order taking, entry, execution, comparison, allocation, clearance and settlement of securities transactions, the maintenance of customer accounts, access to customer accounts and the delivery of funds and securities.
- (e) The term "financial and operational risk assessments," for purposes of this Rule, means a set of written procedures that allow members and member organizations to identify changes in their operational, financial, and credit risk exposure.
- (f) Members and member organizations must designate a senior officer, as defined in Rule 351(e), to approve the Plan, who shall also be responsible for the required annual review, as well as an Emergency Contact

Person(s). Such individuals must be identified to the Exchange (by name, title, mailing address, e-mail address, telephone number, and facsimile number). Prompt notification must be given to the Exchange of any change in such designations.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The events of September 11, 2001, and its disruptive impact on the manner in which the securities industry operated has re-emphasized the need for greater contingency planning for business continuity.

The Exchange proposes new Rule 446 as set forth above, which will require members and member organizations to develop, maintain, review, and update business continuity and contingency plans that establish procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency or significant business disruption. Members and member organizations will be required to make such plans available to the Exchange upon request. Members and member organizations will also be required to conduct a yearly review of their business continuity and contingency plan to determine whether any modifications are necessary in light of changes to the member's or member organization's operations, structure, business or location.

Plans must, at a minimum, address: books and records back-up and recovery (hard copy and electronic); identification of all mission critical systems and back-up for such systems; financial and operational risk assessments; alternate communications between customers and the firm; alternate communications between the firm and its employees; alternate physical location of employees; business constituent, bank and counter-

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³The Commission notes that the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") has proposed a substantially similar business continuity plan rule (File No. SR–NASD–2002–108). The Commission intends to notice concurrently both the NASD proposal and the NYSE proposal. The Commission further notes that, while the NYSE rule would potentially apply to dual NASD and NYSE members, the similarity of the NASD and NYSE proposed rules should prevent conflicting compliance obligations on the part of such dual members.