8. Page 4590, under the heading "Enhanced Carrier Route Letters— Nonautomation (Not Barcoded but Machinable)": For 3-digit carrier routes trays, the content identifier number is 568.

9. Page 4596, column 3, section M730.4.1, "Sacking": Replace the first sentence of the paragraph with the following: "A sack must be prepared when the quantity of mail for a required presort destination reaches 10 addressed pieces or 20 pounds, whichever occurs first."

10. Page 4598, column 1, section M740.4.1, "Required Sacking": Replace the first sentence of the paragraph with the following: "A sack must be prepared when the quantity of mail for a required presort destination reaches 10 addressed pieces or 20 pounds, whichever occurs first."

11. Page 4612, section R200.1.2, "Outside County Piece Rates": The 5digit nonautomation rate is \$0.256.

12. Page 4613, section R200.2.2, "Outside County Science-of-Agriculture Piece Rates": The 5-digit nonautomation rate is \$0.256.

#### Stanley F. Mires,

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.* [FR Doc. 02–3135 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

# 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02–206; MM Docket No. 02–12, RM– 10356; MM Docket No. 02–13, RM–10357; MM Docket No. 02–14, RM–10358]

# Radio Broadcasting Services: Ash Fork, AZ; Bunnell, FL; and Ketchum, ID

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

#### ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes new allotments to Ash Fork, AZ; Bunnell, FL; and Ketchum, ID. The Commission requests comments on a petition filed on behalf of Liberty Ventures, III, LLC, proposing the allotment of Channel 285A at Ash Fork, Arizona, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 285A can be allotted to Ash Fork in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 13.6 kilometers (8.4 miles) west of Ash Fork, Arizona. The coordinates for Channel 285A at Ash Fork, are 35–12–27 North Latitude and 112-37-49 West Longitude. The Commission requests comments on a

petition filed on behalf of Chesapeake-Portsmouth Broadcasting Corporation, proposing the allotment of Channel 254A at Bunnell, Florida, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 254A can be allotted to Bunnell in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 9.8 kilometers (6.1 miles) east of Bunnell. The coordinates for Channel 254A at Bunnell are 29-29-38 North Latitude and 81-09-45 West Longitude. The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Best Ski Country Radio proposing the allotment of Channel 224A at Ketchum, Idaho, as that community's second local aural transmission service. Channel 224A can be allotted to Ketchum in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 3.6 kilometers (2,2 miles) northwest of Ketchum. The coordinates for Channel 224A at Ketchum are 43-41-58 North Latitude and 114-23-55 West Longitude. DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 18, 2002, and reply comments on or before April 2, 2002. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C., 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioners, as follows: Scott C. Cinnamon, Law Offices of Scott C. Cinnamon, PLLC; 1090 Vermont Ave., Suite 800; Washington, 20005. (Counsel for petitioner for Ash Fork, Arizona); James P. Riley and Anne Goodwin Crump, Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.; 1300 North 17th Street, Eleventh Floor; Arlington, Virginia 22209 (Counsel for petitioner for Bunnell, Florida); and Walter A. Sanders, Jr., Best Ski Country Radio; 28 Union Creek Road; Tylertown, Mississippi 39667 (Petitioner for Ketchum, Idaho). FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: R.

Barthen Gorman, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 02-12; MM Docket No. 02-13; and MM Docket No. 02-14, adopted January 16, 2002, and released January 25, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC,

20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via e-mail *qualexint@aol.com*.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

## PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

#### §73.202 [Amended]

1. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Arizona, is amended by adding Ash Fork, Channel 285A.

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Florida, is amended by adding Bunnell, Channel 254A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Idaho, is amended by adding Channel 224A at Ketchum.

Federal Communications Commission.

# John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 02–3031 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02–207; MM Docket No. 02–15, RM– 10364]

## Radio Broadcasting Services; Okmulgee and Glenpool, OK

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by

Shamrock Communications, Inc., proposing the reallotment of Channel 231C1 from Okmulgee to Glenpool, Oklahoma, and the modification of Station KTSO(FM)'s license accordingly. Channel 231C1 can be reallotted to Glenpool in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction at petitioner's presently licensed site. The coordinates for Channel 231C1 at Glenpool are 35–50– 02 North Latitude and 96–07–28 West Longitude.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before March 18, 2002, reply comments on or before April 2, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Christopher D. Ornelas, Esq., Wilkin, Barker, Knauer, LLP, 2300 N Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20037.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 02-15, adopted January 16, 2002, and released January 25, 2002. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC **Reference Information Center (Room** CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts. For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications

Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### §73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oklahoma, is amended by removing Okmulgee, Channel 231C1 and adding Glenpool, Channel 231C1.

Federal Communications Commission.

# John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 02–3030 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

# 50 CFR Part 660

[I.D. 010802C]

#### Fisheries off the West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS has prepared a report that summarizes the results of the scoping process to date for a comprehensive environmental impact statement (EIS) on Federal management of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery. As a result of public comments received during the scoping process, NMFS has improved its approach to the EIS through development of an enhanced description of the purpose and need for NMFS action, a clear identification of significant issues related to the proposed action, and a distinction of certain elements of the proposed action related to essential fish habitat (EFH) from the broader management program for Pacific groundfish. To avoid confusion as a result of this distinction, NMFS will prepare two separate EISs. The intent of this document is to announce the availability of the scoping summary and to describe the rationale for preparing two EISs.

**DATES:** Written comments will be accepted on or before March 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the scoping report may be obtained during business hours at the office of the NMFS Northwest Regional Administrator. The scoping report is also available on the NMFS, Northwest Region's website at www.nwr.noaa.gov/1sustfsh/groundfish/ gf—eis.

Written comments on the scoping report should be submitted to D. Robert Lohn, Northwest Regional Administrator, NMFS, 7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bin C15700, Bldg. 1, Seattle, WA, 98115–0070. Comments also may be sent via facsimile (fax) to 206–526– 6737. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the internet.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Copps, Northwest Region, NMFS, 206–526–6187; fax: 206–526–6426 and e-mail: *steve.copps@noaa.gov* or Jim Glock, Northwest Region, NMFS, 503–231–2178; fax: 503–872–2737 and e-mail: *jim.glock@noaa.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Electronic Access**

This notice of availability is also accessible via the internet at the Office of the **Federal Register** website at

www.access.gpo.gov/su—docs/aces/ aces140.html.

#### Background

NMFS has concluded the initial scoping process for an EIS on the Federal management of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery and has published a summary report. Scoping was initiated on April 10, 2001, through publication of a Notice of Intent (66 FR 18586). The report was initially published on the NMFS, Northwest Region website in August, 2001, to provide a summary of all comments received and key issues identified during the scoping process. In contemplation of these comments and issues, NMFS has taken advantage of the scoping process to clarify the purpose and need for Federal action and to revise the scope of analysis. This clarification will result in the preparation of two separate EISs. One EIS will be a broad analysis of the Federal management program, and the other will be specific to the designation of EFH and associated management measures, including measures to reduce effects of fishing on EFH. NMFS believes this separation will improve public understanding and participation in the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, make each EIS more useful in future management decisions, and, more clearly, distinguish between programmatic and specific EFH issues. NMFS' goals in preparing these EISs are as follows: