Amended Notices

EIS No. 010305, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FAA, MN, Flying Cloud Airport, Substantive Changes to Alternatives and New Information, Extension of the Runways 9R/27L and 9L/27R, Long-Term Comprehensive Development, In the City of Eden Prairie, Hennepin County, MN, Comment Period Ends: March 29, 2002, Contact: Glen Orcutt (612) 713– 4354.

Revision of FR Notice published on 09/28/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending on 12/14/2001 has been Extended to 03/29/2002.

Dated: February 5, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–3125 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6626-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–C65003–PR Rating EC2, Caribbean National Forest, Constructing the Rio Sabana Picnic Area Construction, Rio Sabana Trail Reconstruction and Highway PR 191 Reconstruction from Km. 21.3 to Km 20.0, Special-Use-Permit, PR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the proposed reopening of PR-Highway 191 in the Carribean National Forest and requested that additional information be provided in the final EIS to address EPA concerns. ERP No. D–FHW–L40215–OR Rating EC2, South Medford Interchange Project, Interchange Project, Relocation on I–5 south of its current location at Barnett Road, Funding, Jackson County, OR. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns relating to impacts from secondary development/ induced travel, as well as the cumulative effects on water quality and fish species listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act. EPA requested that additional information and analysis be included in the final EIS to adequately disclose the potential project impacts and to mitigate those impact where appropriate.

ÈRP No. D-FTÀ-K59002-AZ Rating LO, Central Phoenix/East Valley Light Rail Transit Corridor, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Funding, Cities of Phoenix, Cities of Tempe and Mesa, Maricopa County, AZ.

Summary: ÈPA found that the document adequately discussed the environmental impacts of the proposed project, therefore EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

ERP No. D-NAS-K12008-CA Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—NASA Ames Development Plan (NADP) for Ames Research Center, New Research and Development Uses, Implementation, San Francisco Bay, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns associated with the project's impact to air quality and health, and recommended additional air quality mitigation measures to potentially reduce impacts from construction activities.

ERP No. DS–NOA–K39068–CA Rating LO, San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, Proposed Designation of Three Sites: China, Camp State Park, Brown's Island Regional Parks District and Rush Ranch Open Space Preserve, Additional Information regarding Commercial Navigation and Socioeconomic Issues, Contra Costa, Marin, and Solano Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA had no additional comments on this supplemental document.

ERP No. DS-TVA-A06018-AL Rating EC2, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Operating License Renewal, Units 2 and 3 and Potentially Unit 1 Operations Extension, Athens, Limestone County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the potential fish impingement and entrainment effects and the probable discharge of thermal effluent at higher (although still NPDES-compliant) temperature.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–J65300–MT Tobacco Root Vegetation Management Plan, Restoration and Maintenance of a Mix of Vegetation, Beaverhead-Deer Lodge National Forest, Madison Ranger District, Madison County, MT.

Summary: EPA's concerns with this project were satisfactorily addressed.

ERP No. F–AFS–L61224–00 Lemhi Pass National Historic Landmark Management Plan, Implementation, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Beaverhead County, MT and Salmon-Challis National Forest, Lemhi County, ID.

Summary: EPA's review did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ÉRP No. F–AFS–L65309–ID

Spruce Moose and Moose Lake Rightof-Way Analysis Area, Implementation, Timber Harvesting, Road Construction, Reforestation and Watershed Restoration, Clearwater National Forest, Lochsa Ranger District, Idaho County, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. F-AFS-L65354-ID

Iron Honey Resource Area Project, Aquatic, Vegetative and Wildlife Habitat Improvement Activities, Implementation, Coeur d'Alene River Ranger District, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Kootenai and Shoshone Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the action as proposed. The final EIS adequately discloses the impacts and satisfactorily responded to most of EPA's previous comments on the draft EIS. In addition, the project overall should benefit the landscape.

ERP No. F–BLM–K65233–NV

Falcon to Gonder 345kV Transmission Project, Construction, Resource Management Plan Amendments, Rightof-Way Grant, Lander, Elko, Eureka and White Pine Counties, NV.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40395-WI County Highway J/WIS 164 (I-94 to County E) Corridor Improvements Project, Funding, Pewaukee, Villages of Pewaukee and Sussex, Towns of Lisbon, Richfield and Polk, Waukesha and Washington Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns regarding wetland impact mitigation and water quality impacts.

ERP No. F-GSA-C81032-NY U.S. Mission to the United Nations (USUN), Demolition of Current USUN and the Construction of a New Facility on the Same Site, Located at 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns regarding the draft EIS have been resolved, therefore EPA has no objection to the proposed action. ERP No. F–NOA–K36136–CA Goat Canyon Enhancement Project, Sediment Basins, Staging Area and Visual Screening Berm Establishment, Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve (TRNERR), Imperial Beach, City and County of San Diego, CA.

Summary: EPA reviewed the FEIS and found that the document adequately addresses the issues raised in our comment letter on the DEIS, therefore EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

ERP No. FS-FTA-K40130-CA Los Angeles Eastside Corridor Transit Improvements, Light Rail Transit (LRT) Selected Build Alternative Options A and B, Los Angeles Central Business District to just east of Atlantic Boulevard, Funding, NPDES and US Army COE Section 404 Permits, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA found that the document adequately discussed the environmental impacts of the proposed project and incorporated all of EPA's previous recommendations, therefore EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. F1-FHW-H40163-MO MO-60 Transportation Improvements, East of Willow Springs to West of Van Buren, Funding, Forest Land Acquisition and US Army COE 404 Permit Issuance, (Job No. J9P0455) Howell, Shannon and Carter Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed actions.

Dated: February 5, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02–3126 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7141-2]

Good Neighbor Environmental Board Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The next meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, a federal advisory committee that reports to the President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure projects along the U.S. border with Mexico, will take place in Calexico, California on February 20–21, 2002. It is open to the public. **DATES:** On February 20, the meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m. and end at 5:30 p.m. On February 21, the meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and end at 12 noon. Invited speakers will address local environmental concerns, especially water and energy issues, on the first day and a public comment session will be held. The following day, February 21, the Board will conduct a routine half-day business meeting.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place in the campus library of the San Diego State University Imperial Valley Campus in Calexico. The address is 720 Heber Avenue in Calexico.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine M. Koerner, Designated Federal Officer for the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, Office of the Administrator, USEPA, MC1601A, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20004, (202) 564–1484, *koerner.elaine@epa.gov.* The Board website is *www.epa.gov/ocem/gnebpage.htm.*

MEETING ACCESS: Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the meeting room, should contact the Designated Federal Officer at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Attendance: The public is welcome to attend all portions of the meeting. Members of the public who plan to file written statements and/or make brief (suggested 5-minute limit) oral statements at the public comment session are encouraged to contact the Designated Federal Officer for the Board prior to the meeting.

Background: The Good Neighbor Environmental Board meets three times each calendar year at different locations along the U.S.-Mexico border. It was created by the Enterprise for the Americans Initiative Act of 1992. An Executive Order delegates implementing authority to the Administrator of EPA. The Board is responsible for providing advice to the U.S. President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues and needs within the States contiguous to Mexico in order to improve the quality of life of persons residing on the United States side of the border. The statute calls for the Board to have representatives from U.S. Government agencies; the governments of the States of Arizona, California, New Mexico and Texas; and private organizations with expertise on environmental and infrastructure

problems along the southwest border. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency gives notice of this meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463).

Dated: February 1, 2002.

Elaine M. Koerner,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. 02–3104 Filed 2–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7140-9]

EPA Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Teleconference Meeting

Summarv—Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC or the "Committee"), a chartered Federal advisory committee, will meet in a public teleconference on Wednesday, February 27, 2002 from 11 am to 2 pm Eastern Time. The meeting will be hosted out of Conference Room 6013, US EPA, Ariel Rios Federal Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is open to the public, however, due to limited space, seating will be on a firstcome basis. For further information concerning the meeting or how to obtain the phone number, please contact the individual listed below.

Purpose of the Meeting—At this meeting, the CASAC expects to review two documents: (a) The Agency's Proposed Methodology for Particulate Matter Risk Analyses for Selected Urban Areas (hereafter, draft PM Risk Analysis Methodology); and (b) a brief Letter Report drafted by the CASAC Subcommittee on Particle Monitoring (on its review of the Agency's draft Continuous Monitoring Implementation Plan) that was prepared as a result of the January 28, 2002 Subcommittee meeting.

Availability of Review Materials—(a) Information on obtaining a copy of the draft PM Risk Analysis Methodology (item a) above), or on how to provide comments to the Agency, can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-AIR/2002/January/Day-28/a2013.htm. See also 67 FR 3897, January 28, 2002 for more details. (b) We expect that the draft Subcommittee Letter Report (item b) above), which is still under development, will be available approximately a week before the