that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on March 25, 1998, based on a complaint by Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd. (Fuji) of Tokyo, Japan, alleging unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by several respondents in the importation and sale of certain lens-fitted film packages (i.e., disposable cameras) that infringed one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji. 63 FR 14474 (March 25, 1998). On June 2, 1999, the Commission terminated the investigation, finding a violation of section 337 by all the respondents by reason of infringement of various claims of all 15 patents. 64 FR 30541 (June 8, 1999). The Commission issued a general exclusion order prohibiting the importation of LFFPs that infringe any of the claims of the patents at issue, and issued twenty cease and desist orders to domestic respondents.

Respondents Jazz, OptiColor Inc., and Dynatec International Inc. (Dynatec) appealed the portion of the Commission's determination that concerned refurbished LFFPs that were sold by or under license from Fuji, to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit). The portion of the Commission's determination that concerned newlymanufactured LFFPs was not appealed. On August 21, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its opinion, affirming-in-part, reversing-in-part, and remanding the Commission's determination. Jazz Photo Corporation et al. v. U.S. International Trade Commission, 264 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

On November 21, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its mandate in the investigation, thereby returning jurisdiction over the investigation to the Commission. The Commission solicited comments from the parties concerning the action that the Commission should take on remand. On January 11, 2002, Jazz, Fuji, Grandway USA, the successor in interests to Dynatec, and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed comments. Fuji filed amended comments on January 16, 2002. Fuji, Jazz, Grandway, and the IA filed response comments on January 25, 2002. On February 6, 2002 Jazz filed a petition to the Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari of a portion of the Jazz decision. On March 13, 2002, Fuji filed a cross petition for a writ of certiorari. On June 24, 2002, the Supreme Court denied both petitions. The Federal Circuit's remand to the Commission

concerned a motion filed by Fuji with the Federal Circuit on May 4, 2001. In that motion Fuji requested: (1) A modification of the stay orders to increase the bonds imposed on Dynatec and Jazz (an issue that became moot when the court lifted the stays that it had put in place pending appeal), and (2) an order prohibiting circumvention of Commission's orders by Grandway.1 Fuji raised the same issues that it raised in the May 4, 2001 motion to the Federal Circuit in a complaint for enforcement proceedings that it filed with the Commission on June 27, 2001. After negotiations, Fuji and Grandway entered a Stipulated Agreement (SA) on July 19, 2001, which Fuji filed with the Commission on July 20, 2001. In filing the SA with the Commission, Fuji stated that it was withdrawing the allegations that it made against Grandway because the matters complained of in the enforcement complaint were now moot. In view of the SA between Fuji and Grandway and Fuji's statement to the Commission in withdrawing its enforcement complaint against Grandway, the Commission determined that the issues remanded to the Commission by the Federal Circuit in the Jazz decision are moot. Fuji also requested that the Commission consider its amended "Response to the Commission's Notice of Request for Comments," dated January 16, 2002, as an enforcement complaint against Jazz and two individuals associated with Jazz. The Commission having found that Fuji's filing complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding, determined to institute formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether Jazz and the two named individuals are in violation of the Commission's general exclusion order and/or cease and desist order issued in the investigation, and what if any enforcement measures are appropriate.

The following were named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding:
(1) Complainant Fuji Photo Film Co.,
Ltd; (2) Jazz Photo Film Co., (3) Jack
Benun, Principal Consultant of Jazz (4)
Anthony Cossentino, President of Jazz,
(5) and a Commission investigative attorney to be designated by the Director, Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337 and Commission rule 210.75, 19 CFR 210.75.

Issued: September 24, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 02–24661 Filed 9–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document; Form N–565.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for sixty days until November 26, 20002.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a previously approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Replacement Naturalization/Citizenship Document.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the

 $^{^{\}rm 1}\,\mathrm{As}$ noted, Grandway is the successor in interest to Dynatec.

Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form N–565. Adjudications Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. This form is used to apply for a replacement of a Declaration of Intention, Naturalization Certificate, Certificate of Citizenship or Repatriation Certificate, or to apply for a special certificate of naturalization as a U.S. citizen to be recognized by a foreign

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 22,567 responses at 55 minutes (.916) per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 20,671 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291. Director, Regulations and Forms Services Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 4034, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Suite 1600, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: September 23, 2002.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 02–24627 Filed 9–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection under Review: The Student and Exchange Visitor Information Systems (SEVIS).

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The INS published a notice in the Federal Register on May 16, 2002 at 67 FR 34956. The notice allowed for a 60-day public review and comment period on the extension of a currently approved information collection. No public comments were received on this information collection. The information collection was granted temporary approval by OMB (with terms) on June 27, 2002 and assigned an approval number of 1115-0252 with an expiration date of December 31, 2002. The INS now requests a 3-year extension of the currently information collection.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments to satisfy the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act for an extension of this information collection for a period not to exceed three years. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until October 28, 2002. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, 725—17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or

other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved information collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* The Student and Exchange Visitor Information System.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: No Agency Form Number (File No. OMB–30); Adjudications Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or Households. This system will be used by institutions and sponsors to provide notification, reports, updates, and data required by regulations on the institution and program, as well as on student and exchange visitors. Additionally the Service and the Department of State will use SEVIS to adjudicate benefits and services, track student and exchange visitor data, and to monitor institution and program sponsor compliance with current regulations.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 625,135 applicants and 5 responses at 20 minutes (.333 hours) per response.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 1,040,850 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291. Director, Regulations and Forms Services Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 4034, 425 I Street NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D