Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Important Administration, Group III, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Record Unit, room B-099 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the International Trade Administration's Web site at http://www.ia.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our verification and analysis of the comments received, we have changed our approach to the margin calculation for Viraj. *See* the Decision Memo.

## Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exists for the period February 1, 2000, through January 31, 2001:

# CERTAIN FORGED STAINLESS STEEL FLANGES FROM INDIA

Weighted-average margin	Producer/ manufacturer/ exporter (percent)	
IsibarsPanchmahalPathejaViraj	0 210.00 210.00 0	

# **Assessment Rates**

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate for merchandise subject to this review. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting assessment rates against the entered customs values for the subject

merchandise on each of the importer's entries during the review period.

In addition, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of stainless steel flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act:

(1) For the companies reviewed, the cash deposit rates will be the rates listed above, (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent segment of the proceeding in which that manufacturer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the all others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with

sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: September 5, 2002.

#### Farvar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

# Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

#### Petitioners

- 1. Viraj affiliation with KOP;
- 2. KOP sales and expenses data;
- 3. KOP's U.S. selling expenses;
- 4. Equity infusion;
- 5. Duty drawback;
- 6. Billet costs;
- 7. Duties and taxes in costs;
- 8. Labor and variable overhead;
- 9. G&A expense ratio;
- 10. Interest expense ratio;
- 11. Direct selling expenses;

### Viraj

- 12. CEP Prices;
- 13. Production quantities;
- 14. Weight-averaged prices
- 15. Margin Calculations
- 16. Foreign Unit Price
- 17. Aberrant margin
- 18. Prices per piece vs. per kilogram
- 19. Imputed costs in CEP profit

[FR Doc. 02–25445 Filed 10–4–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-489-501]

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 7, 2002.

SUMMARY: On June 25, 2002, the
Department of Commerce (the
Department) published in the Federal
Register (67 FR 42753) a notice
announcing the initiation of an
administrative review of the
antidumping duty order on certain
welded carbon steel pipe and tube from
Turkey,¹ covering the period May 1,
2001, through April 30, 2002, and one
manufacturer/exporter of the subject
merchandise, the Borusan Group. We

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The review was requested by Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., and Wheatland Tube Company (Allied Tube, *et al.*), domestic producers of the merchandise under review.

are now rescinding this review as a result of Allied Tube, et al."s withdrawal of their request for an administrative review.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Riggle at (202) 482–0650 or David Layton at (202) 482–0371, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# The Applicable Statute And Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 2002).

# **Background**

On May 31, 2002, Allied Tube, et al., in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey. On June 25, 2002, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of this order for the period May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002 (67 FR 42753). On September 6, 2002, Allied Tube, et al. withdrew their request for this review.

### **Rescission of Review**

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is

reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Allied Tube, *et al.* were the only parties to request this review and they withdrew their request within the 90-day period. Accordingly, this review is rescinded.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 27, 2002.

### Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–25446 Filed 10–4–02; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

## Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Publication of Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, has prepared its quarterly update to the annual list of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty during the period April 1, 2002, through June 30, 2002. We are publishing the current listing of those subsidies that we have determined exist.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 7, 2002.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tipten Troidl or David Salkeld, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 702(a) of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, (as amended) (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(h) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates of the type and amount of those subsidies. We hereby provide the Department's quarterly update of subsidies on cheeses that were imported during the period April 1, 2002, through June 30, 2002.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702(h) of the Act) being provided either directly or indirectly by foreign governments on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty. The appendix to this notice lists the country, the subsidy program or programs, and the gross and net amounts of each subsidy for which information is currently available.

The Department will incorporate additional programs which are found to constitute subsidies, and additional information on the subsidy programs listed, as the information is developed.

The Department encourages any person having information on foreign government subsidy programs which benefit articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty to submit such information in writing to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

This determination and notice are in accordance with section 702(a) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2002.

### Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

## APPENDIX—SUBSIDY PROGRAMS ON CHEESE SUBJECT TO AN IN-QUOTA RATE OF DUTY

Country	Program(s)	Gross <sup>1</sup> sub- sidy (\$/lb)	Net <sup>2</sup> subsidy (\$/lb)
Austria	European Union Restitution Payments	\$0.08	\$0.08
Belgium	EU Restitution Payments	0.02	0.02
Canada	Export Assistance on Certain Types of Cheese	0.22	0.22
Denmark	EU Restitution Payments	0.04	0.04
Finland	EU Restitution Payments	0.12	0.12
France	EU Restitution Payments	0.10	0.10
Germany	EU Restitution Payments	0.05	0.05
Greece	EU Restitution Payments	0.00	0.00
Ireland	EU Restitution Payments	0.05	0.05