CRR allocation would work.
Additionally, the Commission could explore whether there should be eventual auction of CRRs or if a region could decide that an allocation process should be used for the foreseeable future.

6. Timing of Industry Responses

There are two areas of concern on timing. First, SMD contains a multiplicity of details and getting the details right is very important to ensure customer protection. Load and state commissions in areas that have not previously used an LMP system have expressed concern that they do not have sufficient time to fully work through and understand all of the details of the proposal and how they work together. They are unwilling to support concepts in SMD unless they fully understand how they can protect themselves. Second, many have expressed concern that the implementation timeline (SMD in place by 2004) is too ambitious. They believe it will take more time to make the changes.

Based on the concerns we have heard, Staff believes that the timetable for issuing a Final Rule and for full implementation of SMD should be revised. Staff anticipates that a Final Rule could be issued in summer 2003. We also anticipate that the Commission may not see full implementation of SMD in all regions of the country at the same time. Certain aspects of the Final Rule should move forward at a faster pace than others. Formation of RSACs, for example, could begin soon after the Final Rule is issued. Staff recommends that the Commission communicate these revised expectations on timelines to the industry in the near future.

Staff Recommendations

Based on the feedback gathered by Staff, we are recommending additional meetings and public conferences with state commissions and the industry at large. The following is a proposed schedule of activities that would help address and resolve the major issues identified to date.

Staff-to-Staff Meeting With Southern Commissions

Suggested Date: Week of October 13, 2002.

Suggested Site: Atlanta, Georgia.

Note: FERC staff would confer with Southern Commissions to determine the exact date and location.

This non-public meeting would consist of staff members of the Commission and state regulatory agencies. It would focus on identifying specific issues for southern states, including the ability to protect native load customers from cost shifts, assigning costs for transmission expansions, how public power would operate under SMD, the allocation of CRRs and other issues of concern.

Staff-to-Staff Meeting on Western Operations

Suggested Date: October 22, 2002. Suggested Site: Denver, Colorado.

This non-public meeting, attended by senior FERC staff with technical staff from the industry, would identify major operational concerns by Western operators, including the unique characteristics of the Western hydro and public power systems.

Policy Meeting on Western Issues

Suggested Date: November 4, 2002. Suggested Site: Portland, Oregon.

This meeting would be open to the public and attended by FERC commissioners and staff. It would address policy issues related to the West, proposals for flexibility in certain areas of the NOPR, and differences in market design within the Western Interconnection.

Working Group Meeting on Participant Funding

Suggested Date: November 6, 2002. Suggested Site: FERC Headquarters, Washington, DC.

This meeting would be open to the public and would address the concerns outlined above in the memo.

Discussion of RSACs and State Issues

Suggested Dates: November 10–13, 2002

Suggested Site: NARUC Annual Conference in Chicago, Illinois.

This event would include participation in the NARUC Annual Conference by FERC commissioners and members of the FERC staff, a major presentation by FERC on Wednesday morning, November 13, and a keynote address by FERC Chairman Pat Wood.

Working Group Meeting on Resource Adequacy

Suggested Date: November 19, 2002. Suggested Site: FERC Headquarters, Washington, DC.

This meeting would be open to the public and would address the concerns outlined above in the memo.

Working Group Meeting on CRRs and Transition Issues

Suggested Date: December 3, 2002. Suggested Site: FERC Headquarters, Washington, DC. This meeting would be open to the public and would address the concerns outlined above in the memo.

Recommendations on Extension of Time for Comments

Because of the extensive outreach and discussion that FERC staff is recommending, we believe the Commission should consider extending the deadline for comments on this proposed rulemaking.

- 1. The Commission would retain the November 15 deadline for comments covering most issues raised in the proposed rulemaking, but would establish a January 10, 2003 deadline for initial comments on the following topics:
- Market Design for the Western Interconnection
- Transmission Planning and Pricing, including Participant Funding
 - RSACs and State Participation
 - Resource Adequacy
 - CRRs and Transition Issues
- 2. Staff recommends retaining a single deadline for reply comments, but rescheduling it for February 17, 2003 for the entire series of comments.

[FR Doc. 02–25736 Filed 10–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Parts 1, 20, and 25 [REG-115781-01]

RIN 1545-A031

Definition of Guaranteed Annuity and Lead Unitrust Interests; Hearing Cancellation

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Cancellation of notice of public hearing on proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document cancels the public hearing on proposed regulations under sections 1701, 2522, and 2055 relating to proposed regulations conforming the income, gift, and estate tax regulations to the Tax Court's decision in *Estate of Boeshore* v. *Commissioner*, 78 T.C. 523 (1982), *acq. in result*, 1987–2 C.B. 1, holding portions of § 20.2055–2(e)(2)(vi)(e) of the Estate Tax Regulations invalid.

DATES: The public hearing originally scheduled for October 16, 2002, at 10 a.m., is cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sonya M. Cruse of the Regulations Unit

at (202) 622–7180 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notice of proposed rulemaking and notice or public hearing that appeared in the Federal Register on Tuesday, July 23, 2002, (67 FR 48070), announced that a public hearing was scheduled for October 16, 2002 at 10 a.m., in room 4718. The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under sections 170, 2522, and 2055 of the Internal Revenue Code. The public comment period for these proposed regulations expired on September 25, 2002.

The notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing, instructed those interested in testifying at the public hearing to submit a request to speak and an outline of the topics to be addressed. As of Wednesday, October 9, 2002, no one has requested to speak. Therefore, the public hearing scheduled for October 16, 2002 is cancelled.

LaNita Van Dyke,

Acting Chief, Regulations Unit, Associate Chief Counsel, Income Tax and Accounting. [FR Doc. 02–26190 Filed 10–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Parts 154 and 155

[USCG-2001-8661]

RIN 2115-AG05

Vessel and Facility Response Plans for Oil: 2003 Removal Equipment Requirements and Alternative Technology Revisions

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes changes to its requirements for oil-spill removal equipment under vessel response plans and marine transportation-related facility response plans. These changes would increase the minimum available spill removal equipment required for tank vessels and facilities, add requirements for new response technologies, and clarify methods and procedures for responding to oil spills in coastal waters.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Docket Management Facility on or before January 9, 2003. Comments sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on collection of information must reach OMB on or before January 9, 2003.

ADDRESSES: To make sure that your comments and related material are not entered more than once in the docket, please submit them by only one of the following means:

(1) By mail to the Docket Management Facility (USCG-2001-8661), U.S. Department of Transportation, room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

(2) By delivery to room PL-401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

(3) By fax to the Docket Management Facility at 202–493–2251.

(4) Electronically through the Web Site for the Docket Management System at http://dms.dot.gov. In choosing among these means, please give due regard to the recent difficulties with delivery of mail by the U.S. Postal Service to Federal facilities.

You must also mail comments on collection of information to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, ATTN: Desk Officer, U.S. Coast Guard.

The Docket Management Facility maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, would become part of this docket and would be available for inspection or copying at room PL–401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov.

You may inspect the material proposed for incorporation by reference at room 2100, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593–0001 between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–267–0448. Copies of the material are available as indicated in the "Incorporation by Reference" section of this preamble.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rulemaking, call or e-mail Mr. Robert Pond, G-MOR, Coast Guard, at telephone 202–267–6603, or rpond@comdt.uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, telephone 202–366–5149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG-2001-8661), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by mail, hand delivery, fax, or electronic means to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We would consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we would hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

Under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA 90) (Pub. L. 101-380) and Executive Order 12777, the Coast Guard is authorized to issue regulations requiring the owners and operators of tank vessels and marine transportationrelated (MTR) facilities to prepare and submit response plans. The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 amended the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to require the preparation and submission of oil spill response plans by the owners or operators of certain facilities and vessels. It also requires that these vessels and facilities be operated in compliance with their submitted response plans. Failure to have submitted a response plan, and to have received approval of that plan or authorization from the Coast Guard to operate according to the submitted plan, results in the prohibition of that vessel or facility from the handling, storing or transporting of oil. In 1996, the Coast Guard published final tank vessel response plan regulations (61 FR 1052)