Actions	Compliance	Procedures
 (3) If chafing or damage is found during any inspection required in paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this AD:. (i) For the Model 441 airplanes, replace the wire harnesses, repair fuel boost pump lead wires, or replace the fuel boost pump, as applicable. (ii) For the Model F406 airplanes, repair or replace the wire harnesses or lead wires, or fuel boost pump, as applicable. 	Before further flight after any inspection required in paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this AD in which damage is found. If improved design wire harnesses and fuel boost pumps are not installed, continue to inspect as specified in paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this AD until these improved design parts are installed.	For the Model 441 airplanes: In accordance with Cessna Conquest Service Bulletin No.: CQB02–1, Revision 2, dated October 7, 2002. For the Model F406 airplanes: In accordance with Cessna Caravan Service Bulletin No.: CAB02–8, dated June 3, 2002.
 (4) Perform the following installations:	Within the next 400 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.	For the Model 441 airplanes: In accordance with Cessna Conquest Service Bulletin No.: CQB02–1, Revision 2, dated October 7, 2002. For the Model F406 airplanes: In accordance with Cessna Caravan Service Bulletin No.: CAB02–8, dated June 3, 2002.
(5) Only install improved design wire harnesses and fuel boost pumps as specified in paragraphs (d)(4)(i) and (d)(4)(ii) of this AD.	As of the effective date of this AD	Not applicable.

- (e) Can I comply with this AD in any other way?
- (1) You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:
- (i) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and
- (ii) The Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.
- (2) Alternative methods of compliance approved in accordance with AD 2002–09–13, which is superseded by this AD, are approved as alternative methods of compliance for all inspection requirements of this AD. Regardless, you still must comply with the replacement requirements of this AD.

Note: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified. altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance? Contact Robert Adamson,

Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: 316–946–4145; facsimile: 316–946–4407.

- (g) What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD? The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.
- (h) How do I get copies of the documents referenced in this AD? You may get copies of the documents referenced in this AD from Cessna Aircraft Company, Product Support, P.O. Box 7706, Wichita, Kansas 67277; telephone: (316) 517–5800; facsimile: (316) 942–9006. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
- (i) Does this AD action affect any existing AD actions? This amendment supersedes AD 2002–09–13, Amendment 39–12746.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 15, 2002.

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–26662 Filed 10–18–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-SW-01-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bell Helicopter Textron, A Division of Textron Canada Model 206A, 206A-1, 206B, 206B-1, 206L, 206L-1, 206L-3, and 206L-4 Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for Bell Helicopter Textron, A Division of Textron Canada (BHT) Model 206A, 206A-1, 206B, 206B-1, 206L, 206L-1, 206L-3, and 206L-4 helicopters. This proposal would require performing a continuity test, and repairing temporarily any unairworthy chip detector, and replacing any repaired chip detectors. This proposal is prompted by reports of poor or no continuity between the insert and the chip detector housing on certain chip detectors. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent

failure of a chip detector indication, loss of a critical component, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–SW–01–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov. Comments may be inspected at the Office of the Regional Counsel between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:Jorge Castillo, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft

FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Rotorcraft Standards Staff, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110, telephone (817) 222–5127, fax (817) 222–5961.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this document may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this proposal must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 2002–SW–01–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Discussion

Transport Canada, the airworthiness authority for Canada, notified the FAA

that an unsafe condition may exist on BHT Model 206A, 206A–1, 206B, 206B–1, 206L, 206L–1, 206L–3, and 206L–4 helicopters. Transport Canada advises that Tedeco B3188B and B4093 chip detectors could possibly have poor or no continuity between the insert and the chip detector housing. This could result in no chip indication when the chip detector has been bridged by metal particles.

Bell Helicopter Textron has issued Alert Service Bulletin No. 206-01-96, Revision A, and No. 206L-01-119, Revision A, both dated May 7, 2001, which specify accomplishing the Eaton Tedeco Product Bulletins attached to their Alert Service Bulletin. The Eaton Tedeco Product Bulletins contain procedures for performing a continuity test and repair of chip detectors and replacing repaired chip detectors. Transport Canada classified these alert service bulletins as mandatory and issued AD No. CF-2001-33, dated August 24, 2001, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these helicopters in Canada.

These helicopter models are manufactured in Canada and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.29 and the applicable bilateral agreement. Pursuant to the applicable bilateral agreement, Transport Canada has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of Transport Canada, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of these type designs that are certificated for operation in the United States.

This unsafe condition is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type designs registered in the United States. Therefore, the proposed AD would require performing a continuity test, repairing the chip detectors, and replacing repaired chip detectors. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the alert service bulletins and attached technical bulletin described previously. Repairing the chip detectors is intended to serve as an interim action until the repaired chip detectors are replaced.

The FAA estimates that 2,262 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 0.5 work hours per helicopter to initially inspect the chip detectors, and 0.5 work hours per helicopter to repair and ultimately replace any chip detectors that were previously temporarily repaired, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Cost of the chip detector is

estimated to be \$75. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$186,615, assuming half of the fleet will require repairing and replacing the chip detectors. The chip detector manufacturer has stated that it may provide reworked or replacement parts at no charge at its discretion.

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

Bell Helicopter Textron, A Division of Textron Canada: Docket No. 2002–SW– 01–AD.

 $Applicability: \mbox{Model 206A, 206A-1, 206B, 206B-1, 206L, 206L-1, 206L-3, and 206L-4} \ \mbox{helicopters, certificated in any category.}$

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a chip detector indication, loss of a critical component, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) For Model 206A, 206A–1, 206B, and 206B–1 helicopters, within 60 days, perform a continuity test and repair the Eaton Tedeco chip detector (chip detector), part number (P/N) B3188B, installed in the transmission bottom case, in accordance with the "Test Procedure", Procedure B, and the "Repair Instructions" portions of the Tedeco Products Alert Service attached to Bell Helicopter Textron (BHT) Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. 206–01–96, Revision A, dated May 7, 2001.

(b) For 206L, 206L–1, 206L–3, and 206L–4 helicopters:

(1) Within 60 days, perform a continuity test on, and also repair, the chip detector, P/N B3188B, installed in the transmission bottom case found on transmission assemblies, P/N 206–040–004–003, 206–040–004–107, 206–040–004–111, or 206–040–004–115, in accordance with the "Test Procedure", Procedure B, and the "Repair Instructions" portions of the Tedeco Products Alert Service Bulletin for affected P/N B3188B chip detectors, attached to BHT ASB No. 206L–01–119, Revision A, dated May 7, 2001.

(2) Within 60 days, perform a continuity test and repair the chip detector, P/N B4093, installed in the transmission top case found on transmission assemblies, P/N 206–040–004–003, 206–040–004–101, or 206–040–004–111, in accordance with the "Test Procedure", Procedure B, and the "Repair Instructions" portion of the Tedeco Products Alert Service Bulletin for the affected P/N B4093 chip detectors, attached to BHT ASB No. 206L–01–119, Revision A, dated May 7, 2001.

(c) Within 300 hours time-in-service (TIS) after any chip detector is repaired, replace the chip detector with a reworked or new production airworthy chip detector.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Regulations Group, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Regulations Group.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Regulations Office.

(e) Special flight permits will not be issued.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Transport Canada (Canada) AD No. CF–2001–33, dated August 24, 2001.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 10, 2002.

Larry M. Kelly,

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02–26666 Filed 10–18–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 958]

RIN 1512-AC77

Temecula Viticultural Area Name Change (2001R–280P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) has received a petition proposing to rename the "Temecula" viticultural area as the "Temecula Valley" viticultural area. The size and boundaries of the Temecula viticultural area would remain unchanged.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations Division, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, PO Box 50221, Washington, DC 20091–0221 (Attn: Notice No. 958). Copies of the petition, the proposed regulations, and any written comments received will be available for public inspection by appointment at the ATF Reference Library, Room 6480, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226. See the Public Participation section of this notice for alternative means of commenting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Sutton, Specialist, Regulations Division (San Francisco, California), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 221 Main Street, 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA (415) 947–5192.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background on Viticultural Areas

The Federal Alcohol Administration Act (FAA Act) at 27 U.S.C. 205(e) requires that alcohol beverage labels provide the consumer with adequate information regarding a product's identity while prohibiting the use of deceptive information on such labels. The FAA Act also authorizes the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) to issue regulations to carry out the Act's provisions.

Regulations in 27 CFR Part 4, Labeling and Advertising of Wine, allow the establishment of definitive viticultural areas. The regulations allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements. A list of approved viticultural areas is contained in 27 CFR part 9, American Viticultural Areas.

Section 4.25a(e)(1), title 27, CFR, defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographic features, the boundaries of which have been delineated in subpart C of part 9.

Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedure for proposing or amending an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area or modify an existing area. A petition for a new area should include:

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical characteristics (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy (or copies) of the appropriate U.S.G.S. map(s) with the boundaries prominently marked.

A petition requesting the modification of an established viticultural area should include information, evidence, and maps appropriate to support the requested change(s).

Temecula Viticultural Area

ATF established the Temecula viticultural area (27 CFR 9.50) in Treasury Decision ATF–188, which was published in the **Federal Register** on October 23, 1984 (See 49 FR 42563). Located in southern California, the 33,000-acre Temecula viticultural area is in southwestern Riverside County in the Temecula Basin. The viticultural