#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM238; Special Conditions No. 25-222-SC]

**Special Conditions: Avions Marcel** Dassault—Breguet Aviation, Falcon 10; **High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)** 

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request

for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for Avions Marcel Dassault-Breguet Aviation, Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garrett Aviation Services. These modified airplanes will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The modification incorporates the installation of the Collins ADC–87A Air Data Computer system that performs critical functions. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of this system from the effects of high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is October 18, 2002. Comments must be received on or before November 27, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attn: Rules Docket (ANM-113), Docket No. NM238, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton Washington, 98055–4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. All comments must be marked: Docket No. NM238. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Meghan Gordon, FAA, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227–2138; facsimile (425) 227–1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable because these procedures would significantly delay certification, and thus delivery, of the affected airplane. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, the FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning these special conditions. The docket is available for public inspection before and after the comment closing date. If you wish to review the docket in person, go to the address in the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions in light of the comments we receive.

If you want the FAA to acknowledge receipt of your comments on these special conditions, include with your comments a pre-addressed, stamped postcard on which the docket number appears. We will stamp the date on the postcard and mail it back to you.

#### **Background**

On August 13, 2001, Garrett Aviation Services, 1200 North Airport Drive, Capital Airport, Springfield, IL 62707, applied for a supplemental type certificate (STC) to modify Avions Marcel Dassault -Breguet Aviation (AMD/BA), Falcon 10 airplanes approved under Type Certificate No. A33EU . The AMD/BA Falcon 10 is a small transport category airplane, powered by two Airesearch Manufacturing Company Model TFE731-2-1C turbofan engines, with a maximum takeoff weight of 18,300 pounds. This airplane operates with a 2pilot crew and can hold up to 9

passengers. The modification incorporates the installation of single or dual Collins ADC-87A Air Data Computers. The ADC-87A is installed as a new #2 ADC or as a replacement for the existing Collins ADC-80K Air Data Computer, while also providing additional functional capability and redundancy in the system. The ADC-87A is a microprocessor-based digital computer used to adapt signals driving the existing Collins FCS-80 Flight Guidance System. The avionics/ electronics and electrical systems installed in this airplane have the potential to be vulnerable to highintensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane.

## **Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101 (amendment 21-69, effective September 16, 1991), Garrett Aviation Services must show that the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplane, as changed, continues to meet the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A33EU, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. Subsequent changes have been made to § 21.101 as part of amendment 21-77, but those changes do not become effective until June 10, 2003. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis." The certification basis for the modified AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes includes 14 CFR part 25, dated February 1, 1965, as amended by amendments 25-1 through 25-20, except for special conditions and exceptions noted in Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) A33EU.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (that is, part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34, and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36, including amendment 36-1.

Special conditions, as defined in 14 CFR 11.19, are issued in accordance with § 11.38 and become part of the airplane's type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101(b)(2),

(amendment 21–69, effective September 16, 1991).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should Garrett Aviation Services apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1), (amendment 21–69, effective September 16, 1991).

#### **Novel or Unusual Design Features**

As noted earlier, the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garrett Aviation Services will incorporate single or dual Collins ADC-87A Air Data Computers that will perform critical functions. These systems have the potential to be vulnerable to highintensity radiated fields external to the airplane. The current airworthiness standards of part 25 do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards that address the protection of this equipment from the adverse effects of HIRF. Accordingly, this system is considered to be a novel or unusual design feature.

#### Discussion

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive avionics/ electronics and electrical systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved that is equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garrett Aviation Services. These special conditions require that the Collins ADC–87A Air Data Computers, which perform critical functions, be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

## High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, plus the advent of space and satellite communications, coupled with electronic command and control of the airplane, the immunity of critical avionics/electronics and electrical systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpitinstalled equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1 or 2 below:

- 1. A minimum threat of 100 volts rms (root-mean-square) per meter electric field strength from 10KHz to 18GHz.
- a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.
- b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.
- 2. A threat external to the airframe of the field strengths indicated in the table below for the frequency ranges indicated. Both peak and average field strength components from the table are to be demonstrated.

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz–100 kHz	50	50
100 kHz-500 kHz	50	50
500 kHz-2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz-30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz-70 MHz	50	50
70 MHz-100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz-200 MHz	100	100
200 MHz-400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz-700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz–1 GHz	700	100
1 GHz-2 GHz	2000	200
2 GHz-4 GHz	3000	200
4 GHz-6 GHz	3000	200
6 GHz–8 GHz	1000	200
8 GHz-12 GHz	3000	300
12 GHz-18 GHz	2000	200
18 GHz-40 GHz	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak of the root-mean-square (rms) over the complete modulation period.

The threat levels identified above are the result of an FAA review of existing studies on the subject of HIRF, in light of the ongoing work of the Electromagnetic Effects Harmonization Working Group of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

## **Applicability**

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garret Aviation Services. Should Garrett Aviation Services apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to

modify any other model included on Type Certificate A33EU to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1) (amendment 21–69, effective September 16, 1991).

#### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on the AMD/BA Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garrett Aviation Services. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. Because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

## The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the supplemental type certification basis for the Avions Marcel Dassault—Breguet Aviation, Falcon 10 airplanes modified by Garrett Aviation Services.

- 1. Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF). Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high-intensity radiated fields.
- 2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: *Critical Functions:* Functions whose failure would contribute to or

cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 18, 2002.

## Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 02–27377 Filed 10–25–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## **Food and Drug Administration**

#### 21 CFR Part 520

# Oral Dosage Form New Animal Drugs; Carprofen

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect approval of a supplemental new animal drug application (NADA) filed by Pfizer, Inc. The supplemental NADA provides for the veterinary prescription use of carprofen in dogs, by oral chewable tablet, for the control of postoperative pain associated with soft tissue and orthopedic surgery.

**DATES:** This rule is effective October 28, 2002.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melanie R. Berson, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–110), Food and Drug Administration, 7500 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–827–7540, email: mberson@cvm.fda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pfizer, Inc., 235 East 42d St., New York, NY 10017-5755, filed a supplement to NADA 141-111 for veterinary prescription use of RIMADYL (carprofen) Chewable Tablets for the control of postoperative pain associated with soft tissue and orthopedic surgery in dogs. The supplemental NADA provides for use of carprofen chewable tablets in dogs for the control of postoperative pain associated with soft tissue and orthopedic surgery. The supplemental application is approved as of August 21, 2002, and the regulations are amended in 21 CFR 520.309 to reflect the approval. The basis of approval is discussed in the freedom of information summary.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of 21 CFR part 20 and § 514.11(e)(2)(ii), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and

information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.33(d)(1) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801–808.

## List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 520

Animal drugs.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 520 is amended as follows:

# PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 520 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b.

2. Section 520.309 is amended by revising paragraphs (b), (d)(1), and (d)(2) to read as follows:

# § 520.309 Carprofen.

(b) Sponsor. See No. 000069 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(d) \* \* \* (1) Amount. 2 mg per pound (/lb) of body weight once daily or 1 mg/lb twice daily. For the control of postoperative pain, administer approximately 2 hours before the procedure.

(2) *Indications for use.* For the relief of pain and inflammation associated with osteoarthritis, and for the control of postoperative pain associated with soft tissue and orthopedic surgery.

Dated: September 30, 2002.

#### Stephen F. Sundlof,

Center for Veterinary Medicine. [FR Doc. 02–27266 Filed 10–25–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Internal Revenue Service**

## 26 CFR Part 1

[TD 9019]

RIN 1545-BA25

#### Unit Livestock Price Method

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS),

Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final regulations.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains final regulations relating to the use of the unit-livestock-price method of accounting. The regulations affect livestock raisers and other farmers that elect to use the unit-livestock-price method. These regulations provide rules relating to the annual reevaluation of unit prices and the depreciation of livestock raised for draft, breeding, or dairy purposes.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** These regulations are effective October 28, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A. Katharine Jacob Kiss at (202) 622–4930 (not a toll-free number).

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

This document contains amendments to the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR part 1) under section 471 of the Internal Revenue Code (Code). A notice of proposed rulemaking (REG–125626–01, 2002–9 IRB 604) was published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 5074) on February 4, 2002. No public hearing was requested or held. One comment responding to the notice of proposed rulemaking was received. The proposed regulations are adopted by this Treasury decision.

#### **Explanation of Provisions**

The unit-livestock-price method provides for the valuation of different classes of animals in inventory at a standard unit price for each animal within a class. A taxpayer using the unit-livestock-price method must annually reevaluate its unit prices and must adjust the prices upward to reflect increases in the costs of raising livestock. The regulations allow taxpayers to both increase and decrease unit prices without obtaining the consent of the Commissioner. The regulations also clarify that a livestock raiser that uses the unit-livestock-price method may elect to remove from inventory after maturity an animal raised for draft, breeding, or dairy purposes and treat the inventoriable