disclose the information. These burden estimates are for preparation of the annual workplans, implementation review reports, and GPRA reports.

Please send comments regarding these matters, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the address listed above.

Dated: October 21, 2002.

Robert H. Wayland III,

Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds.

[FR Doc. 02-27494 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7401-1]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Continuing Collection; Comment Request; Information Collection Activities Associated With EPA's Energy Star Buildings Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Information Collection Activities Associated with EPA's Energy Star Buildings Program, EPA ICR Number 1772, OMB Number 2060-0347. OMB approval expires on April 30, 2003. Before submitting the ICR to OMB, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the information collection activities as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before December 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Climate Protection Partnerships Division, U.S. EPA (MC–6202J), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460. ICR may be obtained electronically by contacting Mary Susan Bailey via e-mail at bailey.marysusan@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Susan Bailey, phone: 202–564–0189, fax: 202–565–2083, bailey.marysusan@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Information Collection Activities Associated with EPA's ENERGY STAR Buildings Program (OMB Number 2060–0347, EPA ICR Number 1772), expiring on April 30, 2003.

Abstract: Energy Star is a voluntary program aimed at preventing pollution

rather than controlling it after its creation. The program focuses on reducing utility-generated emissions by reducing the demand for energy. EPA introduced Energy Star in 1991 by launching the Green Lights program to encourage corporations, state and local governments, colleges and universities, and other organizations to adopt energy efficient lighting as a profitable means of preventing pollution and improving lighting quality. Since then, EPA has expanded Energy Star to encompass organization-wide energy efficiency, such as building technology upgrades (e.g., HVAC systems), product purchasing initiatives, and employee training. At the same time, EPA has streamlined the reporting requirements of Energy Star and focused on providing incentives for improvements (e.g., Energy Star Awards Program). EPA also makes tools and other resources available over the web to help the public overcome the barriers to evaluating their energy efficiency and investing in improvements.

To join Energy Star, organizations are asked to complete a Partnership Letter or Agreement that establishes their commitment to energy efficiency. Partners agree to undertake efforts such as measuring, tracking, and benchmarking their organization's energy performance by using tools such as those offered by ENERGY STAR; developing and implementing a plan to improve energy performance in their facilities and operations by adopting a strategy provided by ENERGY STAR; and educating staff and the public about their partnership with Energy Star, and highlighting achievements with the ENERGY STAR Label, where available.

Partners also may be asked to periodically submit information to EPA as needed to assist in program implementation. For example, EPA compiles the Energy Service and Product Provider Directory to provide the public with easy access to energy efficiency products and services. Businesses wishing to appear in this directory are asked to submit a completed form that details their products and services.

Partnership in Energy Star is voluntary and can be terminated by partners or EPA at any time. EPA does not expect organizations to join the program unless they expect participation to be cost-effective and otherwise beneficial for them.

In addition, partners and any other interested party can help EPA promote energy-efficient technologies by evaluating the efficiency of their buildings by benchmarking individual buildings by using EPA's on-line

benchmarking tool, Portfolio Manager, and apply for Energy Star Labels if their performance ranks in top 25 percent. If they can demonstrate that an individual building meets the Energy Star criteria, they will receive an Energy Star plaque that they can display on the building. EPA does not expect that organizations will deem any information collected under Energy Star to be confidential.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The annual public burden for this collection of information will vary depending on the type of participant, the specific collection activity, and other factors. The annual burden for joining Energy Star and conducting related activities is estimated to range from about 2 to 8 hours per respondent. This includes time for preparing and submitting the Partnership Letter or Agreement and other information as requested. The burden for applying for an Energy Star Label is estimated to range from about 5.5 to 10.5 hours per respondent. This includes time for reading the instructions of the benchmarking tool if needed, gathering and entering information on building characteristics and energy use into the tool, printing a score report, and preparing/submitting the Energy Star Label application materials to EPA. The burden for applying for an Energy Star Award is estimated to range from 4 to 26.5 hours per respondent. This includes time for

preparing and submitting the awards application materials to EPA.

The total annual operation and maintenance costs to respondents collectively is estimated to be \$1.54 million. This includes the cost to organizations applying for an Energy Star Label to contract a Professional Engineer to conduct a facility inspection and notarize the score report. It also includes postage costs for various submittals from the public to EPA. There is no capital cost to respondents.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information: search data sources: complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Participants in Energy Star.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Frequency of Response: One-time, annually, and/or periodically, depending on type of respondent and collection.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 83,343 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, Operation/Maintenance Cost Burden: \$1,540,483.

Dated: October 21, 2002.

Kathleen Hogan,

Director, Climate Protection Partnerships Division.

[FR Doc. 02–27497 Filed 10–28–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7400-2]

Proposed Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given

of a proposed settlement agreement in Weyerhaeuser Company v. Whitman, et al., No. 01–1122 (DC Circuit). This case concerns the final rule entitled "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills," published at 66 FR 3179 on January, 12, 2001.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by November 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Steven Silverman, Air and Radiation Law Office (2366A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of the proposed settlement agreement is available from Phyllis J. Cochran, (202) 564–7606.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has promulgated a number of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for the pulp and paper source category. This notice concerns the NESHAP for the chemical recovery combustion processes, whereby spent pulping liquors (socalled black liquor) are thermally regenerated for reuse in the pulping process. See 66 FR 3179 (January 12, 2001) (promulgating a new subpart MM to Part 63). The Weyerhaeuser Company filed a timely petition for review of portions of the rule dealing with emission standards for the sulfite process subcategory. Weyerhaeuser Company v. Whitman, et al., No. 01-1122 (DC Circuit).

Weyerhaeuser and EPA have now reached initial agreement on a settlement of the case which could lead to the voluntary dismissal of the petition for review. The settlement requires EPA to sign a proposed rule and/or a notice of direct final rulemaking no later than December 1, 2002, incorporating certain amendments, and to take final action concerning these amendments no later than June 1, 2003.

Under the settlement, EPA would propose (or issue a direct final rule subject to withdrawal in the event of significant adverse comment) revised standards applicable to a single sulfite process pulping mill located in Cosmopolis, Washington. This mill contains an apparently unique source involved in black liquor recovery (a socalled hog fuel dryer) which is not regulated under any of the Pulp and Paper NESHAPs, nor under any other NESHAP. The initial settlement would amend the rule to allow this mill to demonstrate compliance by controlling

this emission source rather than controlling sources otherwise regulated under the rule. The company has submitted information to EPA (available in the docket to the rule) demonstrating persuasively that the projected level of control would remove more hazardous air pollutants of the same type (i.e. metal hazardous air pollutants, for which particulate matter is a surrogate) than would be controlled under the existing rule. The company also believes that it is more economical to control the hog fuel dryer than other emission points regulated under the existing rule. The initial settlement would not otherwise affect any of the standards in the promulgated rule, and would not alter that rule's compliance date (which would remain January 12, 2004).

EPA believes that the compliance alternative contemplated in the initial settlement offers additional compliance flexibility and should result in greater emission control of hazardous air pollutants than the existing rule. The Agency thus believes that this is a reasonable settlement.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determine, based on any comment which may be submitted, that consent to the settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

Dated: October 22, 2002.

Richard B. Ossias,

Acting Associate General Counsel, Air and Radiation Law Office.

[FR Doc. 02–27344 Filed 10–28–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7401-2]

Meeting of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under Section 10(a)(2) of Public Law 92–423, "The Federal