

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV-930-1430-ET; NVN-75209]

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Opportunity for Public Meeting; Nevada; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects errors in the acreage and land descriptions in the notice published as FR Doc. 02-11433, 67 FR 30960, May 8, 2002.

On page 30960, second column, line 6 from the bottom of the column, which reads "2,303.61 acres of public lands from" is hereby corrected to read "2,313.92 acres of public lands from".

On page 30960, third column, line 29 from the top of the column, which reads "Sec. 4, lots 9 to 14, inclusive, lots 16 to 20," is hereby corrected to read "Sec. 4, lots 9 to 14, inclusive, lots 16 and 17,".

On page 30960, third column, line 35 from the top of the column, which reads "Sec. 9, lots 1 to 4 inclusive," is hereby corrected to read "Sec. 9, lots 1 to 3 inclusive,".

On page 30960, third column, line 37 from the top of the column, which reads "S¹/₂NE¹/₄SW¹/₄NE¹/₄, S¹/₂SE¹/₄SW¹/₄NE¹/₄," hereby corrected to read "S¹/₂NE¹/₄SW¹/₄NE¹/₄, N¹/₂SE¹/₄SW¹/₄NE¹/₄,".

On page 30960, third column, line 17 from the bottom of the column, which reads "Sec. 16, N¹/₂NW¹/₄SW¹/₄, SE¹/₄NE¹/₄NE¹/₄," is hereby corrected to read "Sec. 16, SE¹/₄NE¹/₄NE¹/₄,".

On page 30960, third column, line 15 from the bottom of the column, which reads "SE¹/₄NE¹/₄NE¹/₄, and E¹/₂SE¹/₄NE¹/₄," is hereby corrected to read "SW¹/₄NE¹/₄NE¹/₄, and E¹/₂SE¹/₄NE¹/₄,".

On page 30960, third column, line 13 from the bottom of the column, which reads "2,303.61 acres in Nye and Mineral" is hereby corrected to read "2,313.92 acres in Nye and Mineral".

Dated: September 24, 2002.

Jim Stobaugh,

Lands Team Lead.

[FR Doc. 02-27478 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Northeast Region; Notice of Termination of an Environmental Impact Statement, Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment, and To Hold Public Meetings

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-109 section 102(c)) supportive Council on Environmental Quality regulations, Department of the Interior and National Park Service (NPS) guidance documents, the NPS is terminating an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) as noticed in the **Federal Register**, March 13, 2002 (11363) for a special resource study of an Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area, authorized by Public Law 106-470. It was apparent that an EIS was not necessary as there was little or no potential for significant impact to the human environment of the study area.

Coincident with this termination notice, and pursuant to the same authorization and guidance, the NPS is hereby noticing its intent to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Upper Housatonic Valley study area which encompasses a watershed containing eight municipalities in Litchfield County, Connecticut and eighteen municipalities in Berkshire County, Massachusetts. The purpose of the study and EA is to determine if this area can become a National Heritage Area. If the National Park Service determines that the Upper Housatonic Valley has an assemblage of natural, historic, and cultural resources that together represent distinctive aspects of American heritage worthy of recognition, conservation, interpretation, and continuing use, Congress could designate it as a National Heritage Area. The study will identify alternative interpretive theme options and partnership arrangements to manage the heritage area. NPS would not administer or manage such an area. The alternatives will describe: Proposed heritage area boundaries; evaluations of significance, suitability, and feasibility; characteristics of the proposed management entity; participation of State and local governments and private and public organizations; anticipated levels of public use; as well as consider economic and social benefits of public use as the principal aspect of potential impact to the human environment within and about the study area.

The National Park Service will hold public meetings in December, 2002 (Date, Time, and Place to be announced

coincident with noticing the availability of the study and EA in draft) which will provide opportunity for public comment on the study and EA. The purpose of these meetings is to obtain both written and verbal comments concerning the future use, stewardship and protective management of an Upper Housatonic Valley National Heritage Area.

Additional information about the study and EA is available from James O'Connell, Study Project Manager, National Park Service Boston Support Office, 15 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3572, (617) 223-5222. Those persons who wish to comment verbally or in writing, or who require further information, should contact Mr. O'Connell.

After public and interagency review of the document in draft, comments will be considered, the EA portion of the study will be accordingly finalized and a NEPA closure document in the form of a Finding of No Significant Impact will be prepared, so that the study can be finalized in a report to Congress. Should any unresolvable controversy arise or significant environmental impacts unknown at this time be realized, the steps of closure and study report completion could be forestalled by necessity to process a full environmental impact statement.

Sandra Corbett,

Superintendent, Boston Support Office.

[FR Doc. 02-27245 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Review)]

Persulfates From China**Determination**

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

On September 6, 2002, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

response to its notice of institution (67 FR 38333, June 3, 2002) was adequate and the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.² Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act. The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 31, 2002. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3555 (October 2002), entitled *Persulfates From China: Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Review)*.

Issued: October 23, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-27436 Filed 10-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-473]

Certain Video Game Games Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Finding the Sole Respondent in Default, and Request for Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("the Commission") has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") finding respondent Ultimate Game Club ("UGC") in default. In connection with final disposition of the investigation, the Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, the public interest, and the appropriate bond during the period of Presidential review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrea C. Casson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are

or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission voted to institute this investigation on July 19, 2002, based on a complaint against UGC filed by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington. 67 FR 48949 (July 26, 2002). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain video game systems, accessories, or components by reason of infringement of the claims of U.S. Design Patent No. D452,282 and U.S. Design Patent No. D452,534.

UGC did not file responses to the complaint, the notice of investigation, or Microsoft's discovery requests. On August 24, 2002, Microsoft moved pursuant to section 337(g) and Commission rule 210.16(b) for issuance of an order directing UGC to show cause why it should not be found in default. Microsoft's motion also requested that, upon UGC's failure to show cause, an ID be issued finding UGC in default, and that a limited exclusion order and cease and desist order be issued immediately against UGC. On August 23, 2002, the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed a response supporting the request for a show cause order. On September 5, 2002, the presiding ALJ issued Order No. 4, which ordered UGC to show cause by September 18, 2002, why it should not be found in default. UGC did not respond to the order to show cause.

On September 27, 2002, the IA filed a letter supporting a finding of default against UGC. On October 9, 2002, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) finding UGC in default. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. Under Commission rule 210.16(b)(3), 19 CFR 210.16(b)(3), UGC is deemed to have waived its right to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in this investigation. Section 337(g)(1), 19

USC 1337(g)(1) and Commission rule 210.16 (c), 19 CFR 210.16(c), authorize the Commission to order limited relief against a respondent found in default unless, after consideration of public interest factors, it finds that such relief should not issue. In this investigation, UGC has been found in default and Microsoft has requested issuance of a limited exclusion order that would deny entry to certain video game systems, accessories, or components imported by UGC. Microsoft also requests issuance of a cease and desist order. If the Commission decides to issue remedial orders against UGC, it must consider what the amount of the bond should be during the Presidential review period. In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the potential remedies are a cease and desist order and a limited exclusion order that could result in the exclusion from entry into the United States of certain video game systems, accessories, or components imported by UGC. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address whether either or both such orders should be issued. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, it should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion). If the Commission contemplates a remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider in this investigation include the effect that remedial orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation. If the Commission issues a limited exclusion order, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in

² A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.