

plan for EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) and the Office of Water entitled "Action Plan for Beaches and Recreational Water." The Beaches Act and ORD's strategic plan has identified research on effects of microbial pathogens in recreational waters as a high-priority research area with particular emphasis on developing new water quality indicator guidelines for recreational waters. The EPA has broad legislative authority to establish water quality criteria and to conduct research to support these criteria. This data collection is for a series of *epidemiological studies to evaluate exposure to and effects of microbial pathogens in marine and fresh recreational waters* as part of the EPA's research program on exposure and health effects of microbial pathogens in

recreational waters. The research plan includes piloting the collection of both recreational information and water quality information during the summer months of 2002. Multiple sites with refined study design will be conducted in 2003, 2004 and 2005. The information collected by this study program will be used to estimate the relationship between water quality indicators and health effects. The questionnaire health data will be compared with routinely collected water quality measurements. The analysis will focus on determining whether any water quality parameters are associated with increased prevalence of swimming-related health effects.

Burden Statement

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RESPONDENT BURDEN

Type of respondent	Respondent activities	Estimated number of respondents	Burden hours	Frequency	Annual reporting burden	Annual cost
Parent	Beach Interview	1500	0.40	1	600	^a \$8,832
Parent	Complete home interview I (80%) ..	1200	0.33	1	396	^a 5,830
Parent	Complete home interview II (80%) ..	960	0.17	1	163	2,400
Totals	1,159 hr	17,062

^a\$14.72/hour (average hourly wage).

There are no direct respondent costs for this data collection.

No Annual Record Keeping Burden

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of

information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: February 4, 2002.

Linda Birnbaum,

Director, Human Studies Division, National Health & Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 02-3771 Filed 2-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6626-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed February 04, 2002 Through February 08, 2002 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 020055, Final EIS, FHW, CA, CA-70 Two-Lane Expressway Upgrade to a Four-Lane Expressway/Freeway, south of Striplin Road to south of McGowan Road Overcrossing, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance,

Sutter and Yuba Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: March 18, 2002, Contact: Maiser Khaled (916) 498-5020.

EIS No. 020056, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Powder River Basin Oil and Gas Project, Additional Coal Bed Methane (CBM) Resources Development, Drilling, Completing, Operating and Recalling of New CBM Wells and Constructing, Operating and Recalling of various Ancillary Facilities, Drill, Special Use and US Army COE Section 404 Permits and Right-of-Way Grant, Campbell, Converse, Sheridan and Johnson Counties, WY, Comment Period Ends: May 15, 2002, Contact: Paul Beels (307) 684-1168. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.wy.blm.gov> or <http://www/prb-eis.org>.

EIS No. 020057, Final EIS, AFS, UT, Griffin Springs Resource Management Project, Implementation, Commercial Timber Harvesting, Aspen Regeneration, Management Ignited Prescribed Fire, and Road Work, Dixie National Forest, Escalante Ranger District, Garfield County, UT, Wait Period Ends: March 18, 2002, Contact: Kevin Schulkoski (435) 826-5400.

EIS No. 020058, Final EIS, FHW, OK, I-40 Crosstown Expressway Transportation Improvements, I-235/

I-35 Interchange west to Meridian Avenue, Funding, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma County, OK, Wait Period Ends: March 18, 2002, Contact: Lubin Quinones (405) 605-6174.

EIS No. 020059, Draft EIS, FRC, WA, Martin Creek Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 10942), Construction, Operation and Maintenance of a 10.2-Megawatt (MW) Hydroelectric Run-of-River Facility, License Approval, Cascade Mountains, Martin and Kelley Creeks, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, King County, WA, Comment Period Ends: April 1, 2002, Contact: David Turner (202) 019-2814.

EIS No. 020060, Draft EIS, BLM, MT, Montana Statewide Conventional Oil and Gas and Coal Bed Methane Gas Exploration and Development Management Plan within the Bureau of Land Management's Powder River and Billings Resources Management Plan Areas and the State of Montana, Implementation, MT, Comment Period Ends: May 15, 2002, Contact: Mary Bloom (406) 233-3649.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010528, Draft EIS, AFS, MO, Oak Decline and Forest Health Project, To Improve Forest Health, Treat Affected Stands, Recover Valuable Timber Products, Promote Public Safety, Potosi and Salem Ranger Districts, Mark Twain National Forest, Crawford, Dent, Iron, Reynolds, Shannon and Washington, MO, Comment Period Ends: February 19, 2002, Contact: Karen Mobley (573) 729-6656. Revision of FR Notice Published on 12/01/2001: CEQ Comment Period Ending 02/04/2002 has been extended to 02/19/2002.

EIS No. 010545, Final EIS, COE, TN, Adoption—Upper Tennessee River Navigation Improvement Project, Rehabilitation and/or Construction, Chickamauga Dam—Navigation Lock Structural Improvement Alternative, Funding, NPDES, US Coast Guard Bridge and US Army COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Tennessee River, Hamilton County, TN Contact: Wayne Easterling (615) 736-7847. US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) has adopted the Tennessee Valley Authority's FEIS #960147, filed with the US Environmental Protection Agency on 03/29/1996. COE was a Cooperating Agency for the above final EIS.

Recirculation of the document is not necessary under Section 1506.3(c) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations. Revision of FR notice published on 02/08/2002: CEQ Accession Number Changed from 020043 to 010545. The above FEIS

should have appeared in the FR on 12/21/2001.

EIS No. 010546, Draft Supplement, COE, TN, Chickamauga Dam Navigation Project, New and Updated Information concerning Cumulative Effects and Compliance with Section 106 of the Historic Preservation Act, NPDES, US Army COE Section 404 and US Coast Guard Permits Issuance, Tennessee River, Hamilton County, TN, Due: February 04, 2002, Contact: Wayne Easterling (615) 736-7847. Revision of FR notice published on 02/08/2002: Due to an Administrative Error by US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) the above DSEIS was not properly filed with the US Environmental Protection Agency. COE has confirmed that distribution of the DSEIS was made available to federal agencies and interested parties for the 45-Day Comment Period beginning on 12/21/2001 and ending 02/04/2002. For further information contact Mr. Wayne Huddleston at (615) 736-7842. Change CEQ Accession No. 020055 to 010546 and Change CEQ Comment Period Ending 03/25/2002 to 02/04/2002.

Dated: February 12, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02-3755 Filed 2-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6626-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-G40168-LA Rating EC2, Bayou Barataria Bridge/LA-302 Replacement, LA-45/Jean Lafitte Boulevard to LA-3257/Privateer Boulevard, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 and U.S. Coast Guard Bridge Permits Issuance, Communities

of Jean Lafitte and Barataria, Jefferson Parish, LA.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns and requests additional information in the final EIS. Areas of concern include: consideration of additional alternatives, more balance in the assessment of the nature and extent of likely environmental impacts, correction of apparent inconsistencies or contradictions, and additional clarifications in the impact analysis.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40249-CA Rating EC2, Lincoln Bypass Construction, South of Industrial Boulevard to North of Riosa Road, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Placer County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the 30.2 acres of wetland impacts from the Park and Ride facility, that cumulative and indirect impacts are not thoroughly analyzed in the DEIS, and the "AAC2" alignment should not be ruled out as the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-FRC-F05123-00 Rating LO, Bond Falls Project, Issuing a New License for Existing Hydroelectric License, (FERC No. 1864-005) Ontonagon River Basin, Ontonagon and Gogebic Counties, MI and Vilas County, WI.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-FRC-L03011-WA Rating EO2, Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline (LP) Project, Construction and Operation, To Transport Natural Gas from the Canadian Border near Sumas, WA to U.S./Canada Border at Boundary Pass in the Strait of Georgia, Docket Nos. CP01-176-000 and CP01-179-000, Whatcom and San Juan Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed project due to a lack of detailed evaluation of alternatives, lack of evaluation of the entire project, the lack of analysis at the ecosystem scale and the lack of integration with the evaluation and decisionmaking processes being conducted in Canada for the Canadian portion of the proposed project. EPA recommended that these issues, along with others, be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. DA-COE-E34030-FL Rating EC2, Central and Southern Florida Project, Water Preserve Areas (WPA) Feasibility Study, To Provide a Mechanism for Increased Aquifer Recharge and Surface and Subsurface Water Storage Capacity, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested that additional water quality and other