

STB Finance Docket No. 34166, *R.J. Corman Railroad Company/Bardstown Line—Lease and Operation Exemption—Line of R.J. Corman Equipment Company, LLC*, wherein R.J. Corman Railroad Company/Bardstown Line seeks to lease and operate the line being acquired by RJCE in STB Finance Docket No. 34165.

If this notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34165, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Kevin M. Sheys, Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP, 1800 Massachusetts Avenue—2nd Floor, Washington, DC 20036.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at “WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.”

Decided: February 8, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–3670 Filed 2–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 34166]

R.J. Corman Railroad Company/ Bardstown Line—Lease and Operation Exemption—Line of R.J. Corman Equipment Company, LLC

R.J. Corman Railroad Company/Bardstown Line (RJCR), a Class III carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.41 to lease and operate a rail line known as the Dawkins Subdivision from R.J. Corman Equipment Company, LLC (RJCE) between approximately milepost 0.05 at or near Dawkins, KY, and approximately milepost 36.13 at or near Evanston, KY, in Johnson, Magoffing and Breathitt Counties, KY, a total distance of approximately 36.08 miles. RJCR certifies that the projected revenues will not result in the creation of Class I or Class II rail carrier.

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on or after January 24, 2002, the effective date of the exemption.

This transaction is related to two simultaneously filed notices of exemption: STB Finance Docket No. 34167, *Richard J. Corman—Continuance in Control Exemption—R.J. Corman Equipment Company, LLC*, wherein Richard J. Corman seeks continue in control of RJCE upon RJCE's becoming a Class III rail carrier; and STB Finance Docket No. 34165, *R.J. Corman Equipment Company, LLC—Acquisition Exemption—Line of CSX Transportation, Inc.*, wherein RJCE seeks to acquire the Dawkins Subdivision from CSX Transportation, Inc.

If this notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34166, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on Kevin M. Sheys, Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP, 1800 Massachusetts Avenue—2nd Floor, Washington, DC 20036.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at “WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.”

Decided: February 8, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–3671 Filed 2–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Public Comment for Study on Information Sharing Practices Among Financial Institutions and Their Affiliates

AGENCY: Department of the Treasury, Departmental Offices.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary), in conjunction with the federal functional regulatory agencies and the Federal Trade Commission, is conducting a study of information sharing practices among financial institutions and their affiliates, as required by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999. The Secretary is requesting public comment on a number of issues to assist in preparation of the Study.

DATES: Please submit comments and responses to the questions in this notice on or before April 1, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All submissions must be in writing or in electronic form. Please send e-mail comments to study.comments@ots.treas.gov, or facsimile transmissions to FAX Number (202) 906–6518 re: GLBA Information Sharing Study. Comments sent by mail should be sent to: Regulations and Legislation Division, Chief Counsel's Office, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, ATTN: Study on GLBA Information Sharing. (Senders should be aware that there have been some unpredictable and lengthy delays in postal deliveries to the Washington, DC area in recent weeks and may prefer to make electronic submissions.) Anyone submitting comments is asked to include his or her name, address, telephone number, and if available, FAX number and e-mail address. Please do not submit confidential commercial or financial information. All submissions should be captioned “Comments on the GLBA Information Sharing Study.” Comments will be available to the public in their entirety via the Treasury Department website, www.USTreas.gov, where a link will be established. The link will be clearly identified on the Treasury homepage as relating to the GLBA Study on Information Sharing Practices Among Financial Institutions and Their Affiliates. Copies of comments also may be inspected at the Treasury Department Library, Room 1428, Main Treasury Building, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220. Before visiting the library, visitors must call (202) 622–0990 to arrange an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Hart, Financial Economist, Office of Consumer Affairs and Community Policy, Department of the Treasury, (202) 622–0129; or Brian Tishuk, Director, Office of Consumer Affairs and Community Policy, Department of the Treasury, (202) 622–1964.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Statutory Background

On November 12, 1999, President Clinton signed into law the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA).¹ The GLBA made several fundamental changes to the laws governing the financial system, including easing the limits on the types of financial institutions that may be affiliated with one another. A Company is an affiliate of a financial institution if it controls, is controlled by, or is under

¹ Pub. L. 106–102.

common control with the financial institution.

The GLBA also established limits on the extent to which financial institutions² may disclose personal information about consumers³ with whom they do business. The GLBA generally requires that a financial institution provide a clear and conspicuous notice of its privacy policies and practices and allow consumers to prevent (*i.e.*, to opt out of) the disclosure of their nonpublic personal information⁴ to a nonaffiliated company, unless certain prescribed exceptions apply. The financial institution also must explain how consumers can exercise their opt out rights. These limitations on disclosing nonpublic personal information do not apply when a financial institution discloses a consumer's information to its affiliates.⁵

Section 508 of the GLBA⁶ requires the Secretary, in conjunction with the federal functional regulators⁷ and the Federal Trade Commission, to conduct a study of information sharing practices among financial institutions and their affiliates. The Study must address: (1) The purposes for the sharing of confidential customer information with

affiliates or with nonaffiliated third parties; (2) the extent and adequacy of security protections for such information; (3) the potential risks for customer privacy of such sharing of information; (4) the potential benefits for financial institutions and affiliates of such sharing of information; (5) the potential benefits for customers of such sharing of information; (6) the adequacy of existing laws to protect customer privacy; (7) the adequacy of financial institution privacy policy and privacy rights disclosure under existing law; (8) the feasibility of different approaches, including opt out and opt in, to permit customers to direct that confidential information not be shared with affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties; and (9) the feasibility of restricting the sharing of information for specific uses or of permitting customers to direct the uses for which information may be shared.

In formulating and conducting the Study, the Secretary is required to consult with representatives of State insurance authorities designated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and also with the financial services industry, consumer organizations and privacy groups, and other representatives of the general public. The Secretary also will incorporate the views of the federal functional regulators, including their examiners, and the Federal Trade Commission in completing this Study. Upon completion of the Study, the Secretary will submit a report to the Congress of the Study's findings and conclusions, as well as any recommendations for legislative or administrative actions as may be appropriate.

II. Request for Comments

Please comment on the specific questions set forth below and on any other issues relevant to this Study. Please label comments with the number and letter corresponding to the question to which the comment relates. For purposes of the questions below, the terms "information" and "confidential customer information" mean "nonpublic personal information," as defined in the regulations implementing the financial privacy provisions of Title V of the GLBA.⁸ In addition, for the

purposes of this request, the term "customer" means any individual and includes any individual who applies for or obtains a financial service or product.⁹

1. Purposes for the sharing of confidential customer information with affiliates or with nonaffiliated third parties:

a. What types of information do financial institutions share with affiliates?

b. What types of information do financial institutions share with nonaffiliated third parties?

c. Do financial institutions share different types of information with affiliates than with nonaffiliated third parties? If so, please explain the differences in the types of information shared with affiliates and with nonaffiliated third parties.

d. For what purposes do financial institutions share information with affiliates?

e. For what purposes do financial institutions share information with nonaffiliated third parties?

f. What, if any, limits do financial institutions voluntarily place on the sharing of information with their affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties? Please explain.

g. What, if any, operational limitations prevent or inhibit financial institutions from sharing information with affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties? Please explain.

h. For what other purposes would financial institutions like to share information but currently do not? What benefits would financial institutions derive from sharing information for those purposes? What currently prevents or inhibits such sharing of information?

2. The extent and adequacy of security protections for such information:

a. Describe the kinds of safeguards that financial institutions have in place to protect the security of information. Please consider administrative, technical, and physical protections, as well as the protections that financial institutions impose on their third-party service providers.

resulting from any transaction involving a financial product or service between a financial institution and a consumer; or (iii) the financial institution otherwise obtains about a consumer in connection with providing a financial product or service to that consumer. *See, e.g.*, 12 CFR 40.3(o).

⁹ *See, e.g.*, 12 CFR 40.3(e)(1) and 40.3(h). Under GLBA regulations, a "customer" has an established, on-going relationship with a financial institution, whereas a "consumer" need not. No distinction is made for the purposes of questions raised in this notice: The terms are interpreted as equivalents, and thus a customer need not have a continuing or on-going relationship with a financial institution.

² Under subtitle A of title V of the GLBA, a financial institution generally is any banking institution, credit union, securities entity (such as a broker-dealer, mutual fund, or investment adviser), or insurance company, as well as any other business that engages in activities that are financial in nature under section 4(k) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. *See* 15 U.S.C. 6809(3); 12 U.S.C. 1843(k). Futures entities (futures commission merchants, commodity trading advisors, commodity pool operators, and introducing brokers) are also financial institutions for purposes of subtitle A of title V of the GLBA, 7 U.S.C. 7b-2(a).

³ Under the GLBA, a consumer is an individual who obtains from a financial institution financial product or services to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, or that person's legal representative. *See, e.g.*, 12 CFR 40.3(e)(1).

⁴ As further discussed below, nonpublic personal information generally is any personally identifiable financial information about the consumer, other than publicly available information. *See, e.g.*, 12 CFR 40.3(n).

⁵ Under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) (15 U.S.C. 1681 *et seq.*), financial institutions generally must give consumers clear and conspicuous notice and the opportunity to opt out of transfers of certain types of information to affiliates to avoid becoming consumer reporting agencies, subject to certain exceptions. Consequently, some disclosures of information to affiliates whether or not limited by the GLBA, may be subject to the notice and opt-out provisions of the FCRA.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 6808.

⁷ The federal functional regulators are: the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office of Thrift Supervision, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

⁸ *See, e.g.*, 12 CFR 40.3(n). "Nonpublic personal information" means: (i) "Personally identifiable financial information"; and (ii) any list, description, or other grouping of consumers (and publicly available information pertaining to them) that is derived using any personally identifiable financial information that is not publicly available. "Personally identifiable financial information" means any information: (i) A consumer provides to a financial institution to obtain a financial product or service from the institution; (ii) about a consumer

b. To what extent are the safeguards described above required under existing law, such as the GLBA (*see, e.g.*, 12 CFR 30, Appendix B)?

c. Do existing statutory and regulatory requirements protect information adequately? Please explain why or why not.

d. What, if any, new or revised statutory or regulatory protections would be useful? Please explain.

3. The potential risks for customer privacy of such sharing of information:

a. What, if any, potential privacy risks does a customer face when a financial institution shares the customer's information with an affiliate?

b. What, if any, potential privacy risks does a customer face when a financial institution shares the customer's information with a nonaffiliated third party?

c. What, if any, potential risk to privacy does a customer face when an affiliate shares information obtained from another affiliate with a nonaffiliated third party?

4. The potential benefits for financial institutions and affiliates of such sharing of information (specific examples, means of assessment, or evidence of benefits would be useful):

a. In what ways do financial institutions benefit from sharing information with affiliates?

b. In what ways do financial institutions benefit from sharing information with nonaffiliated third parties?

c. In what ways do affiliates benefit when financial institutions share information with them?

d. In what ways do affiliates benefit from sharing information that they obtain from other affiliates with nonaffiliated third parties?

e. What effects would further limitations on such sharing of information have on financial institutions and affiliates?

5. The potential benefits for customers of such sharing of information (specific examples, means of assessment, or evidence of benefits would be useful):

a. In what ways does a customer benefit from the sharing of such information by a financial institution with its affiliates?

b. In what ways does a customer benefit from the sharing of such information by a financial institution with nonaffiliated third parties?

c. In what ways does a customer benefit when affiliates share information they obtained from other affiliates with nonaffiliated third parties?

d. What, if any, alternatives are there to achieve the same or similar benefits for customers without such sharing of such information?

e. What effects, positive or negative, would further limitations on the sharing of such information have on customers?

6. The adequacy of existing laws to protect customer privacy:

a. Do existing privacy laws, such as GLBA privacy regulations and the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), adequately protect the privacy of a customer's information? Please explain why or why not.

b. What, if any, new or revised statutory or regulatory protections would be useful to protect customer privacy? Please explain.

7. The adequacy of financial institution privacy policy and privacy rights disclosure under existing law:

a. Have financial institution privacy notices been adequate in light of existing requirements? Please explain why or why not.

b. What, if any, new or revised requirements would improve how financial institutions describe their privacy policies and practices and inform customers about their privacy rights? Please explain how any of these new or revised requirements would improve financial institutions' notices.

8. The feasibility of different approaches, including opt-out and opt-in, to permit customers to direct that such information not be shared with affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties:

a. Is it feasible to require financial institutions to obtain customers' consent (opt in) before sharing information with affiliates in some or all circumstances? With nonaffiliated third parties? Please explain what effects, both positive and negative, such a requirement would have on financial institutions and on consumers.

b. Under what circumstances would it be appropriate to permit, but not require, financial institutions to obtain customers' consent (opt in) before sharing information with affiliates as an alternative to a required opt out in some or all circumstances? With nonaffiliated third parties? What effects, both positive and negative, would such a voluntary opt in have on customers and on financial institutions? (Please describe any experience of this approach that you may have had, including consumer acceptance.)

c. Is it feasible to require financial institutions to permit customers to opt out generally of having their information shared with affiliates? ¹⁰ Please explain what effects, both positive and negative, such a

¹⁰ This question seeks views on a general opt out for sharing of information with affiliates and represents a broadening of opt-out provisions for affiliate sharing under the FCRA.

requirement would have on consumers and on financial institutions.

d. What, if any, other methods would permit customers to direct that information not be shared with affiliates or nonaffiliated third parties? Please explain their benefits and drawbacks for customers and for financial institutions of each method identified.

9. The feasibility of restricting sharing of such information for specific uses or of permitting customers to direct the uses for which such information may be shared:

a. Describe the circumstances under which or the extent to which customers may be able to restrict the sharing of information by financial institutions for specific uses or to direct the uses for which such information may be shared?

b. What effects, both positive and negative, would such a policy have on financial institutions and on consumers?

c. Please describe any experience you may have had of this approach.

Dated: February 4, 2002.

Sheila C. Bair,

Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 02-3781 Filed 2-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[REG-209106-89]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Regulation Project

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning an existing notice of proposed rulemaking, REG-209106-89, Changes With Respect to Prizes and Awards and Employee Achievement Awards (§ 1.74-1(c)). **DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before April 16, 2002, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to George Freeland, Internal Revenue Service, room 5575, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.