

it employs a management approach that would more readily identify and accommodate changing conditions over time by allowing the application of management decisions responsive to these changing conditions. This alternative has the flexibility to respond to increasing visitation and resource deterioration that could occur over the long term. A visitor center would be developed outside the NCA.

**Impacts**—The impacts from this alternative are less spontaneity for visitor use, but more visitor services than alternative A. These visitor services will have minimal impacts on visual quality and feeling of remoteness. Alternative B is preferred because it provides for a management approach that is balanced between No Action (little regulation of use) and Alternative C (emphasis on visitation and interpretation including possible construction of a visitor center inside the NCA), while offering the best means of responding to changing conditions and public needs over the life of the RMP.

**Alternative C—Emphasis on Visitation and Interpretation:** Emphasis focuses on more active visitor support in this alternative. Resource management activities allow for necessary intervention at varying levels in geographic areas to enable both the natural and historic context to be experienced while ensuring that resource protection is not compromised. A visitor center would be developed in or near the NCA.

**Impacts**—This alternative has a slightly higher impact on visual quality and the feeling of remoteness than alternative B. This alternative could also result in increases in visitation due to the increased visitor services and easier access to the area.

Dated: December 11, 2002.

**Terry A. Reed,**

*Field Manager, Winnemucca Field Office,  
Bureau of Land Management.*

[FR Doc. 03-5304 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-AG-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[ID-075-03-1330-EO]

#### **Notice of Availability of Supplemental Mine and Reclamation Plan, North Rasmussen Ridge Mine, and Associated Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Caribou County, ID**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 202 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, a Draft Environmental Impact Statement has been prepared for the Supplemental Mine and Reclamation Plan for the North Rasmussen Ridge phosphate mine, Caribou County, Idaho. The Environmental Impact Statement was prepared to assess the impacts of implementing the Supplemental Mine and Reclamation Plan, and to disclose those impacts to the public and the lead agency decision-maker. The DEIS analyzes the potential impacts related to the expansion of mining at Agrium's North Rasmussen Ridge Mine in southeast Idaho. The Proposed Action includes developing two mine pits and a haul road. Use of existing support and transportation systems would continue. Existing operations at the Central Rasmussen Ridge Mine were approved in a 1997 Record of Decision. This environmental analysis reviews potential impacts from selenium and updates the previous impact analyses for other resources. Alternatives to the Proposed Action are also analyzed and site-specific mitigation measures developed.

**DATES:** Written comments on the DEIS will be accepted for 60 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to the Pocatello Field Office Manager, BLM, 1111 N. 8th Avenue, Pocatello, Idaho 83201, or e-mailed to [ID\\_NRasmussen\\_EIS@blm.gov](mailto:ID_NRasmussen_EIS@blm.gov). Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations and businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be available for public inspection in their entirety.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request a copy of the document, please call (208) 478-6353, or write or e-mail Mr. Wendell Johnson, BLM Pocatello Field Office, 1111 North 8th Avenue,

Pocatello, Idaho 83201, or e-mail [ID\\_NRasmussen\\_EIS@blm.gov](mailto:ID_NRasmussen_EIS@blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The agency Preferred Alternative is the Proposed Action because it disturbs the least acreage of the action alternatives and all waste material is backfilled to the pits. In addition to the Proposed Action of continuing mining along the strike of the ore while backfilling previously mined-out pits, two additional alternatives are being considered. Alternative 1 is similar to the proposed alternative, but includes impermeable capped backfilled wastes. Alternative 2 is described as the No-Action Alternative and would not allow mineral extraction to occur on the approved leases.

The BLM believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 60-day comment period for the draft EIS so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the BLM at a time when it can meaningfully consider and respond to them in the final EIS.

**Phil Damon,**

*Field Office Manager.*

[FR Doc. 03-5303 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[OR-090-5882-PH-EE01; GP3-0101]

#### **Eugene District BLM Resource Advisory Committee Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice for the Eugene District, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Resource Advisory Committees

under Section 205 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–393).

**SUMMARY:** This notice is published in accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Meeting notice is hereby given for the Eugene District BLM Resource Advisory Committee pursuant to section 205 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000, Public Law 106–393 (the Act). Topics to be discussed by the BLM Resource Advisory Committee include selection of a chairperson, public forum and proposed projects for funding in “Round III FY 04” under Title II of the Act.

**DATES:** The BLM Resource Advisory Committees will meet on the following dates: The Eugene Resource Advisory Committee will meet at the BLM Eugene District Office, 2890 Chad Drive, Eugene, Oregon 97440, 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., on May 22, 2003 and 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., on June 26, 2003. The public forum will be held from 12:30–1 p.m. on both days.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Act, five Resource Advisory Committees have been formed for western Oregon BLM districts that contain Oregon & California (O&C) Grant Lands and Coos Bay Wagon Roads lands. The Act establishes a six-year payment schedule to local counties in lieu of funds derived from the harvest of timber on federal lands, which have dropped dramatically over the past 10 years.

The Act creates a new mechanism for local community collaboration with federal land management activities in the selection of projects to be conducted on federal lands or that will benefit resources on federal lands using funds under Title II of the Act. The BLM Resource Advisory Committees consist of 15 local citizens (plus 6 alternates) representing a wide array of interests.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Additional information concerning the BLM Resource Advisory Committees may be obtained from Wayne Elliott, Designated Federal Official, Eugene District Office, P.O. Box 10226, Eugene, Oregon 97440, (541) 683–6600, or [wayne\\_elliott@or.blm.gov](mailto:wayne_elliott@or.blm.gov).

Dated: February 28, 2003.

**Julia Dougan,**

*Eugene District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 03–5390 Filed 3–6–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–33–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[NM–910–03–1020–PG]

#### Notice of Public Meeting, New Mexico Resource Advisory Council Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) New Mexico Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on April 3–4, 2003, at the NM Tech, Macey Center, Galena Room, Olive Lane, Socorro, NM, beginning at 8 a.m. The meeting will adjourn between 4 and 5 p.m. both days. An optional Field Trip is planned for April 2 to view the Socorro off-highway vehicle area east of Johnson Hill, Sierra Ladrones Wilderness Study Area, and Ladrona Area of Critical Environmental Concern, private in-holdings, Dogs Trials, a stop at Riley to discuss cultural issues, and Box Canyon Special Management Area. The three established RAC subcommittees will meet in the late afternoon or evening on Thursday, April 3. The public comment period will begin at 10 a.m. on Friday, April 4, and end at 12 noon.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in New Mexico. At this meeting, the topics we plan to discuss include: Wilderness Study Proposal, Socorro Resource Management Plan, Resource Management Plan Process.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. New Mexico RAC meetings are coordinated with the representative of the Governor of the State of New Mexico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Theresa Herrera, RAC Coordinator, New Mexico State Office, Office of External Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, PO box 27115, Santa Fe, NM 87502–0115, (505) 438–7517.

Dated: February 28, 2003.

**Linda S.C. Rundell,**

*State Director.*

[FR Doc. 03–5435 Filed 2–6–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–FB–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CA–942–5700–BJ–044B]

#### California: Filing of Plat of Survey

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of filing of plat of survey.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will file the plats of survey of the lands described below in the BLM California State Office, Sacramento, California, in 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The plats of Survey of Lands described below have been officially filed at the California State Office of the Bureau of Land Management in Sacramento, California.

#### Humboldt Meridian, California

*T. 4 N., R 1 W.*

Corrective dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, and a portion of the metes-and-bounds survey of the Headwaters Tract under (Group 1354) accepted September 9, 2002 to meet certain administrative needs of the BLM, Arcata Field Office.

*T. 6N., R 5 E.*

Dependent resurvey, retracement, and metes-and-bounds survey under (Group 1367) accepted September 30, 2002 to meet certain administrative needs of the BLM, Arcata Field Office.

#### Mount Diablo Meridian, California

*T. 1 S., R 25 E.*

Amended protraction diagram for unsurveyed area, accepted February 27, 2002 to meet certain administrative needs of the BLM, Bishop Field Office.

*T. 2 S., R 21 E.*

Amended protraction diagram, accepted March 4, 2002 to meet certain administrative needs of the BLM, Folsom Field Office.

*T. 29 N., R 4 E.*

Dependent resurvey of a portion of the South boundary, and the metes-and-bounds Survey of Tract 37, under (Group 1360) accepted March 29, 2002