

Signed in Washington, DC this 28th day of February, 2003.

**Richard Church**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-6565 Filed 3-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,693]

#### Wards Cove Packing Company, Seattle, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 27, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Wards Cove Packing Company, Seattle, Washington.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition filed on January 13, 2003 (TA-W-50,542), that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of February, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-6566 Filed 3-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Labor Certification Process for the Temporary Employment of Aliens in Agricultural and Logging in the United States: 2003 Adverse Effect Wage Rates, Allowable Charges for Agricultural and Logging Workers' Meals, and Maximum Travel Subsistence Reimbursement; Correction

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the document which was published Wednesday, February 26, 2003. (68 FR 8929).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 26, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charlene G. Giles (202) 693-2950 (not a toll-free call).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** Volume 68, Number 38 beginning on page 8929 in the issue of Wednesday, February 26, 2003, make the following correction: On page 8929 in the third Column Table—2003 Adverse Effect Wage Rates (AEWRs) change the Hawaii wage rate which is listed at \$9.29 to \$9.42. The correction is necessary because we have been informed that the Hawaii rate contained in the Adverse Effect Wage Rate Forecast—Year 2003 published by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Office of the Chief Economist, did not accurately report the Hawaii rate as determined by the USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of March 2003.

**Emily Stover DeRocco,**

*Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-6559 Filed 3-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of February and March 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; and

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have

contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-41,406; *Siemens ICN, Lake Mary, FL*

TA-W-42,041; *Mo-Tech Corp., Oakdale, MN*

In the following case, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A) (I.C.) (Increased imports) and (a) (2)(B) (II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-50,106; *Profile Group LLC, Coldwater, MI*

TA-W-50,862; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Silver Eagle, Dillingham, AK*

TA-W-50,745; *Monaco Coach Corp., Bend, OR*

TA-W-50,841; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Lynn C, Port Heiden, AK*

TA-W-50,717; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Echo 3, Clarks Point, AK*

TA-W-50,638; *Golding View Fisheries, Inc., Anchorage, AK*

TA-W-50,618; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Lila-L, Naknek, AK*

TA-W-50,611; *Acme Electronics, LLC, Cuba, NY*

TA-W-50,503; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Kirsten Marie, Port Heiden, AK*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-50,804; *Aetna, Inc., Allentown, PA*

TA-W-50,910; *Managed Business Solutions, Fort Collins CO*

TA-W-50,861; *Andrew Corp., Glen Rock, PA*

TA-W-50,635; *Science Applications International Corp., Energy Technologies and Service Group, Anchorage, AK*

TA-W-50,894; *Electronic Data Systems Corp., Albuquerque, NM*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not an upstream supplier or components for trade-affected companies.

TA-W-50,498; *Ram Tool Co., Inc., Conneaut Lake, PA*

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A) (I.A.) (no employment declines) has been met.

TA-W-50,641; Shipley, LLC, a Div. of Rohm and Hass Co., Marlboro, MA

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2) (I.A.) (No employment declines) and (a)(2)(B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have been met.

TA-W-50,675; Springfield Wire, Inc., Springfield, MA

#### **Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-41,617; American Paper Mills of Vermont, Gilman, VT: May 28, 2001

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-50,188; JDS Uniphase, West Trenton, NJ: November 14, 2001

TA-W-50,891; OSRAM Sylvania, Electronic Control Systems, Lake Zurich, IL: February 11, 2002

TA-W-50,866; AstenJohnson, Inc., Jonesboro Dryer Div., Jonesboro, GA: February 6, 2002

TA-W-50,806; Levolor Kirsch Window Fashions, a Div. of Newell Rubbermaid, Ogden, UT: February 5, 2002

TA-W-50,724; Zimmerman Sign Co., Longview, TX: January 28, 2002

TA-W-50,673; Monterey, Inc., Cowan, TN: January 16, 2002

TA-W-50,699; Jideco of Bardstown, Inc., Bardstown, KY: January 22, 2002

TA-W-50,572; Scott Mills, Gastonia, NC: January 8, 2002

TA-W-50,513; Sherwood Harsco Corp., Gas & Fluid Control Group, Washington, PA: January 6, 2002

TA-W-50,506; Consolidated Metco, Div. of Amsted Industries, Clackamas, OR: December 10, 2001

TA-W-50,370; Ultimate Tool, Inc., Erie, PA: December 18, 2001

TA-W-50,052; Westab, Meadwestvaco Corp., Forton Royal, VA: November 5, 2001

TA-W-50,869; Lear Corp., Grand Rapids-Alpine Div., Grand Rapids, MI: February 7, 2002

TA-W-50,973; Graftech International, formerly UCAR Carbon Co., Clarksville, TN: February 24, 2002

TA-W-50,941 & A; Harting, Inc., Elgin, IL and Harting, Inc. of North America, Elgin, IL: February 14, 2002

TA-W-50,908; Halliburton Formation Evaluation Machine Shop,

Alvarado, TX: February 13, 2002

TA-W-50,875; Rockford Powertrain Co., Loves Park, IL: February 12, 2002

TA-W-50,830; Tyco Plastics, LP, Louisville, Facility, Louisville, KY: January 29, 2002

TA-W-50,735; Kincaid Furniture Co., Inc., Lenoir, NC: January 27, 2002

TA-W-50,648; Isaac Hazan and Co., The Hazan Group, Secaucus, NJ: January 10, 2002

TA-W-50,567; Firmenich, Inc., Patillas, PR: January 7, 2002

TA-W-50,426; B.I. Transportation, Inc., Burlington, NC: December 27, 2001

TA-W-50,091; Polar Equipment, Inc., d/b/a Cook Inlet Processing, Kodiak, AK: November 14, 2001

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-50,141; Tecumseh Products Co. including leased workers of Flexstaff and Waterstone Group, New Holstein, WI: November 11, 2001

TA-W-50,822; Henlopen Manufacturing Co., Inc., Techpack International Div. of Pechiney, Watertown, CT, including contract workers of Jaci Carroll Staffing, Watertown, CT: January 30, 2002

TA-W-50,787; Tinnerman Palnut Engineered Products, LLC, Massillon, OH: January 31, 2002

TA-W-50,732; Delphax Technologies, Inc., Minnetonka, MN: January 27, 2002

TA-W-50,656; Evenflo Co., Inc., Suring WI: January 22, 2002

TA-W-50,655; Evenflo Co., Inc., Ball Ground, GA: January 22, 2002

TA-W-50,647; General Electric Corp., Euclid, OH: January 22, 2002

TA-W-50,644; Newell Rubbermaid, Levolor-Kirsch Window Fashions, High Point, NC: January 20, 2002

TA-W-50,436; Amcor White Cap LLC, Chicago Manufacturing Facility, Chicago, IL: December 17, 2001

TA-W-50,913; Sony Electronics, Display Systems Service Center, Mt. Pleasant, PA: February 3, 2002

TA-W-50,928; Preferred Fabricators, Inc., Helenwood, TN: February 11, 2002

TA-W-50,839; Fishing Vessel (F/V) Seamaid, Nushagak, AK: January 28, 2002

TA-W-50,636; Fishing Vessel (F/V) Indiana, Ketchikan, AK: January 21, 2002

TA-W-50,627; Fishing Vessel (F/V) Flue Angel, Bristol Bay, AK: January 20, 2002

TA-W-50,601; Valeo Switches and Detection Systems, Ft. Worth, TX: January 13, 2002

TA-W-50,497; C-COR.net Corp., Manlius, NY: January 2, 2002

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of February and March 2003.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### **Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-06117; Texfi Industries, Inc., New York, NY

NAFTA-TAA-06502; Mo-Tech Corp., Oakdale, MN

NAFTA-TAA-06151; Grand Processing, Inc., Textile Div., Brooklyn, NY

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

*NAFTA-TAA-07618; Alcatel USA Marketing, Inc, Voice Network Div. (VND), Wireline Access Product Group, Litespan 2000 Product Group, Plano, TX*

**Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

*NAFTA-TAA-06293; Micro Molding Technologies, Boynton Beach, FL: June 17, 2001*

*NAFTA-TAA-07656; Sequoia Apparel, Inc., Porterville, CA: October 25, 2001*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the months of February and March 2003. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: March 7, 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**  
*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-6561 Filed 3-18-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment Standards Administration**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This

program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment Standards Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: Application for Certificate to Employ Homeworkers, WH-46, Piece Rate Measurements, and Homeworker Handbook (WH-75). A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before May 19, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Ms. Hazel M. Bell, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S-3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693-0418, fax (202) 693-1451, e-mail *hbell@fenix2.dol-esa.gov*. Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or e-mail).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

I. *Background:* Section 11(d) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) authorizes the Secretary of Labor to regulate, restrict, or prohibit industrial homework as necessary to prevent evasion of the minimum requirements of the Act. Restrictions exist on seven homework industries, (knitted outerwear, women's apparel, jewelry manufacturing, gloves and mittens, button and buckle manufacturing, handkerchief manufacturing, and embroideries). Homework in these industries is permitted only in certain hardship cases. Homework is permitted under FLSA in all other industries, provided the employer maintains homeworker handbooks for such employees who record hours of work and certain other required payroll information. Further, employers of homeworkers in certain restricted industries must first obtain a certification from the Department of Labor authorizing the employment of such workers. Employers in the restricted industries under the certification program who pay workers based on piece rates must maintain

documentation of the work measurements used to establish such piece rates and the circumstances under which measurements were conducted. This information collection is currently approved for use through September 30, 2003.

II. *Review Focus:* The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- \* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- \* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- \* Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- \* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. *Current Actions:* The Department of Labor seeks approval for the extension of this information collection in order to assure compliance with the FLSA in homework employment.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

*Title:* Application to Employ Homeworkers.

*OMB Number:* 1215-0013.

*Agency Number:* WH-46 and WH-75.

*Affected Public:* Business of other for-profit; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions.

*Total Respondents (Recordkeeping and Reporting):* 4,650.

*Total Responses (Recordkeeping and Reporting):* 18,575.

*Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours (Recordkeeping and Reporting):* 9,517.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Title	Form No.	Number of respondents	Number of responses	Avg. time per responses (min)	Burden hr(s)
Application To Employ Homeworkers .....	WH-46	50	25	30	12.5
Homeworker Handbooks .....	WH-75	4,600	18,400	30	9,200