the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under California, is amended by adding Lamont, Channel 247A and by removing Channel 247A and by adding Channel 282A at McFarland.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos.

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 03–7467 Filed 3–27–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 03-778; MB Docket No. 03-72, RM-10674; MB Docket No. 03-73, RM-10675; MB Docket No. 03-74, RM-10676; MB Docket No. 03-75, RM-10677]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Eden, TX; Leedey, OK; Memphis, TX; and Silverton, TX

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes four allotments in Eden, Texas, Leedey, Oklahoma, Memphis, Texas, and Silverton, Texas. The Commission requests comment on a petition filed by Maurice Salsa proposing the allotment of Channel 252A at Silverton, Texas, as the community's first local service. Channel 252A can be allotted to Silverton in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 8.2 km (5.1 miles) east of Silverton. The coordinates for Channel 252A at Silverton are 34-28-15 North Latitude and 101-13-09 West Longitude. See SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** infra.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before May 5, 2003, and reply comments on or before May 20, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner as follows: Maurice Salsa, 5616 Evergreen Valley Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77345; Robert Fabian, 4 Hickory Crossing Lane, Argyle, Texas 76226; and Linda Crawford, 3500 Maple Avenue, #132, Dallas, Texas 75219.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau (202) 418–7072.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MB Docket Nos. 03–72, 03–73, 03–74, and 03–75; adopted March 12, 2003, and released March 14, 2003. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone (202) 863-2893.

The Commission further requests comment on a petition filed by Robert Fabian proposing the allotment of Channel 297A at Leedey, Oklahoma, as the community's first local service. Channel 297A can be allotted to Leedey in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 9.4 km (5.8 miles) northwest of Leedey. The coordinates for Channel 297A at Leedey are 35–56–36 North Latitude and 99–23–48 West Longitude.

The Commission further requests comment on a petition filed by Linda Crawford proposing the allotment of Channel 294A at Eden, Texas, as the community's second local FM service. Channel 294A can be allotted to Eden in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 11.4 km (7.1 miles) southwest of Eden. The coordinates for Channel 294A at Eden are 31–10–00 North Latitude and 99–57–01 West Longitude. Concurrence in this allotment has been received from the Mexican government.

The Commission further requests comment on a petition filed by Maurice Salsa proposing the allotment of Channel 283A at Memphis, Texas, as the community's third local FM service. Channel 283A can be allotted to Memphis in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 8.7 km (5.4 miles) southeast of Memphis. The coordinates for Channel 283A at Memphis are 34–41–14 North Latitude and 100–27–03 West Longitude.

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. *See* 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, *see* 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§73.202 [Amended]

- 2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oklahoma, is amended by adding Leedey, Channel 297A.
- 3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Channel 294A at Eden, Channel 283A at Memphis, and Silverton, Channel 252A.

Federal Communications Commission. **John A. Karousos**,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 03–7471 Filed 3–27–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 030320066-3066-01; I.D. 022103D]

RIN 0648-AQ78

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Delay of Full Retention and Utilization Requirements for Rock Sole and Yellowfin Sole

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes regulations to implement Amendment 75 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area (FMP). This amendment would delay the effective date of requirements for 100-percent retention and utilization requirements of rock sole and vellowfin sole from January 1, 2003, until June 1, 2004. The North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) submitted Amendment 75 to provide the Council and the affected industry with additional time to develop and assess alternatives to address groundfish discards in the groundfish fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI). This action is designed to be consistent with the the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Management and Conservation Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), the FMP, and other applicable laws.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule must be received on or before May 12, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to Sue Salveson, Assistant Regional Administrator, Sustainable Fisheries Division, NMFS, Alaska Region, P.O. Box 21668, Juneau, AK 99802–1668, Attn: Lori Durall, or delivered to NMFS, Alaska Region, 709 West 9th Street, Room 453, Juneau, AK, 99801–1668, and marked Attn: Lori Durall. Comments also may be sent via facsimile (fax) to (907) 586–7557.

Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the Internet. Copies of the Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review/Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (EA/RIR/IRFA) prepared for Amendment 75 may be obtained from NMFS at the above address or by calling the Sustainable Fisheries Division, Alaska Region, NMFS, at (907) 586–7228.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kent Lind, 907–586–7228 or *kent.lind@noaa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NMFS manages the groundfish fishery in the exclusive economic zone of the BSAI under the FMP. The Council prepared, and NMFS approved, the FMP under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*). Regulations implementing the FMP appear at 50 CFR part 679. General regulations governing U.S. fisheries also appear at 50 CFR part 600.

The Council has submitted Amendment 75 for Secretarial review and a Notice of Availability of the FMP amendment was published in the Federal Register on February 28, 2003, with comments on the FMP amendment invited through April 29, 2003. Comments may address the FMP amendment, the proposed rule, or both, but must be received by April 29, 2003, to be considered in the approval/ disapproval decision on the FMP amendment. All comments received by April 29, 2003, whether specifically directed to the FMP amendment or the proposed rule, will be considered in the approval/disapproval decision on the FMP amendment.

Purpose and Need for Amendment 75

In 1997, the Council adopted a regulatory program to reduce the amount of groundfish discards in the groundfish fisheries off Alaska. This program, known as the Improved Retention/Improved Utilization (IR/IU) Program, was adopted as Amendment 49 to the FMP for the Groundfish Fishery of the BSAI and Amendment 49 to the FMP for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) (Amendments 49/49). The IR/IU program requires that vessels fishing for groundfish in Alaska retain all pollock and Pacific cod beginning in 1998 when directed fishing for those species is open. On January 1, 2003, the program expanded to include all rock sole and yellowfin sole in the BSAI, and all shallow water flatfish in the GOA.

These requirements were set out in the final rule to implement Amendment 49 for the BSAI (62 FR 63880, December 3, 1997), and the final rule to implement Amendment 49 for the GOA (62 FR 65379, December 12, 1997).

In the EA/RIR/IRFA prepared for BSAI Amendment 49, NMFS assessed the biological, economic and social impacts of improved retention and utilization. This analysis found that the proposed actions could result in significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, including a significant number of relatively small catcher/processor vessels that use trawl gear. Because of their size, these vessels are limited to freezing headed and gutted products.

To mitigate some of the effects that IR/IU regulations could have, the Council delayed implementation of the rules on the most negatively affected fisheries (i.e., those groundfish fisheries in which rock sole, yellowfin sole and shallow-water flatfish are caught and discarded) for a period of 5 years.

The Council recognized the need to conduct an assessment of the impacts of IR/IU regulations on small entities to determine whether a modification of the IR/IU regulations would minimize such impacts and continue to meet the Council's objectives. These objectives include ensuring healthy fisheries, reducing bycatch and waste, and improving utilization of fish resources with minimum negative effects of regulations on small entities.

To this end, the Council began an analysis in early 2002, to examine alternative approaches to current 100–percent retention requirements for rock sole and yellowfin sole that could achieve the Council's objectives of reducing bycatch but that would have less negative effects on industry. The analysis concluded that some entities currently participating in the groundfish fisheries of the BSAI might discontinue their participation due to the economic burden the existing rules could place on their operation.

In June 2002, therefore, the Council revised its IR/IU problem statement to state that 100–percent retention of rock sole and yellowfin sole would result in severe economic losses to certain participants in the fishery, while less than 100–percent retention of only those species would not be enforceable. The Council also began an analysis of a variety of alternative regulatory approaches that would provide for reductions in groundfish discards in a less burdensome manner.

In October 2002, the Council concluded that while several alternative proposals under analysis showed merit, they were not sufficiently developed and analyzed in a manner that would allow for implementation on January 1, 2003. Therefore, the Council adopted