final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 23, 2004.

Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier, please follow the detailed instructions described in the Address section and the Supplementary Information section of the related direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590. bortzer.jay@epa.gov.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Rosenthal, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6052 rosenthal.steven@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final notice which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the above address. (Please telephone Steven Rosenthal at (312) 886–6052 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Dated: April 26, 2004.

## Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 04–11558 Filed 5–21–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 169-0440b; FRL-7665-4]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Bay Area Air Quality Management District and Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution

Control District (MBUAPCD), and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern the emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from episodic releases from relief devices, the emission of VOCs from the transfer of gasoline into storage containers at bulk terminals, and the storage and transfer of gasoline at dispensing facilities. We are proposing to approve local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by June 23, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, or email to steckel.andrew@epa.gov, or submit comments at http://www.regulations.gov.

You can inspect a copy of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see a copy of the submitted rule revisions and TSDs at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (Mail Code 6102T), Room B–102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltxt.htm.

Please be advised that this is not an EPA website and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947–4118, petersen.alfred@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the approval of local BAAQMD Rule 8–28, MBUAPCD Rule 418, and VCAPCD Rule 70. In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions

are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: May 7, 2004.

## Laura Yoshii,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 04–11554 Filed 5–21–04; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Maritime Administration**

46 CFR Part 221

[Docket No. MARAD-2003-15171]

RIN 2133-AB51

Vessel Documentation: Lease Financing for Vessels Engaged in the Coastwise Trade; Second Rulemaking

**AGENCY:** Maritime Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Joint notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Maritime Administration (MARAD) is reopening the comment period only on MARAD's portion of the joint notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 2004 (69 FR 5403). MARAD's portion includes its proposed amendments to 46 CFR part 221 and its discussion in the preamble to the joint NPRM. The initial comment period closed on May 4, 2004. The comment period is reopened from May 5, 2004, until June 7, 2004.

**DATES:** Comments on 46 CFR part 221 must reach MARAD on or before June 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by MARAD Docket No. MARAD–2003–15171 to the Docket Management Facility at the U.S. Department of Transportation. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods:

(1) Web site: http://dms.dot.gov. (2) Mail: Docket Management Facility (MARAD Docket No. MARAD-2003-15171), U.S. Department of Transportation, room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- (3) Fax: 202-493-2251.
- (4) Delivery: Room PL-401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.
- (5) Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on the Maritime Administration's proposed rule, call John T. Marquez, Jr., Maritime Administration, telephone 202–366–5320. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Andrea M. Jenkins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–0271.

(Authority: 49 CFR 1.66.)

Dated: May 19, 2004.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. **Joel C. Richard**,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.
[FR Doc. 04–11656 Filed 5–21–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 660

[I.D. 051004B]

## Pacific Fishery Management Council; Notice of Intent

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS); request for comments; preliminary notice of public scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: NMFS and the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Pacific Council) announce their intent to prepare an EIS in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 to analyze proposals that provide dedicated access privileges for participants in the non-tribal Pacific Coast groundfish trawl fishery.

**DATES:** Public scoping meetings will be announced in the **Federal Register** at a later date. Written comments will be accepted at the Pacific Council office through August 2, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, on issues and alternatives, identified by [I.D. number] by any of the following methods:

### •E-mail:

TrawlAccessEIS.nwr@noaa.gov. Include [I.D. number] and enter "Scoping Comments" in the subject line of the message.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - •Fax: 503-820-2299.
- •Mail: Dr. Donald McIsaac, Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Pl., Suite 200, Portland, OR, 97220.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Freese, (Northwest Region, NMFS) phone: 206–526–6113, fax: 206–526–6426 and email: steve.freese@noaa.gov; or Jim Seger, Pacific Fishery Management Council, phone: 503–820–2280, fax: 503–820–2299 and email: jim.seger@noaa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Electronic Access

This **Federal Register** document is available on the Government Printing Office's website at: www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index/html.

## **Description of the Proposal**

The proposed alternatives to the status quo, which will be the subject of the EIS and considered by the Pacific Council for recommendation to NMFS, are programs that provide dedicated access privileges for participants in the non-tribal Pacific Coast groundfish trawl fishery. The main dedicated access privilege alternative the Pacific Council is considering is an individual fishing quota (IFQ) program for the Pacific Coast groundfish limited entry trawl fishery off Washington, Oregon and California. A trawl IFQ program would change management of harvest in the trawl fishery from a trip limit system with cumulative trip limits for every 2month period to a quota system where each quota share could be harvested at any time during an open season. A trawl IFQ program would increase fishermen's flexibility in making decisions on when and how much quota to fish. Status quo (no action) will also be considered along with dedicated access privilege and other reasonable alternatives that may be proposed to address issues identified in the problem statement.

At the request of the Pacific Council, NMFS published an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding a Trawl Individual Quota Program and to Establish a Control Date (69 FR 1563, January 9, 2004). This control date for the trawl IQ program is intended to discourage increased fishing effort in the limited entry trawl fishery based on economic speculation while the Pacific

Council develops and considers a trawl IQ program. Although the control date notice discussed the development of the trawl IQ program, NMFS and the Pacific Council also plan to consider other dedicated access alternatives.

## General Background

The Council implemented a Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in 1982. Groundfish stocks are harvested in numerous commercial, recreational, and tribal fisheries in state and Federal waters off the West Coast. The non-tribal commercial seafood fleet taking groundfish is generally regulated as three sectors: Limited entry trawl, limited entry fixed gear, and directed open access. Groundfish are also harvested incidentally in nongroundfish commercial fisheries, most notably fisheries for pink shrimp, spot and ridgeback prawns, Pacific halibut, California halibut, and sea cucumbers (incidental open access fisheries).

Despite the recently completed buyback program, management of the West Coast groundfish trawl fishery is still marked by serious biological, social, and economic concerns; and discord between fishermen and managers and between different sectors of the fishery, similar to those cited in the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy's April 2004 preliminary report. The trawl fishery is viewed as economically unsustainable given the current status of the stocks and the various measures to protect these stocks. One major source of discord and concern stems from the management of bycatch, particularly of overfished species as described in the draft programmatic bycatch DEIS. The notice of availability of the DEIS was published in the Federal Register on February 27, 2004 (69 FR 9314). The DEIS is available from the Pacific Council office (see ADDRESSES). After reviewing the draft programmatic bycatch DEIS the Pacific Council adopted a preferred alternative for addressing bycatch that included IFQ programs. The alternatives to status quo to be evaluated in the dedicated access EIS are amendments to the FMP and associated regulations to address these concerns through the use of dedicated access privileges. The concerns are described in more detail in the following problem statement:

As a result of bycatch problems, considerable harvest opportunity is being forgone in an economically stressed fishery. The trawl groundfish fishery is a multispecies fishery in which fishers exert varying and limited control of the mix of species in their catch. The optimum yields (OYs) for many overfished species have been set