

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2004-14-03 BAE Systems (Operations) Limited (Formerly British Aerospace Regional Aircraft): Amendment 39-13712. Docket 2003-NM-228-AD.

Applicability: All Model Jetstream 4101 airplanes, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the ailerons, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

One-Time Inspection

(a) Within 6 months or 600 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever is earlier: Do a one-time general visual inspection of the ailerons to determine if an early production change to the ailerons was installed, by doing all the actions per Part 1, paragraph (2) of the Accomplishment Instructions of BAE (Operations) Limited Service Bulletin J41-57-028, dated June 27, 2003. Instead of a general visual inspection of the ailerons, a review of airplane maintenance records is acceptable, by doing all the actions per Part 1, paragraph (1) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, if it can be positively determined from that review that one or both of the actions specified in Part 1, paragraph (1) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin have been done.

(1) If the production change was not installed, or one or both of the actions specified in Part 1, paragraph (1) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin were done, no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If the production change was installed: Do a radiographic inspection for damage by doing all the actions per Part 1, paragraph (3) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin. If no damage is found, no further action is required by this AD. If any damage is found, before further flight, do the corrective actions required by paragraph (b) of this AD.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior

area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

Corrective Actions

(b) If any damage is found during the inspection required by paragraph (a)(2) of this AD: Before further flight, do all of the applicable corrective actions per Part 2 of the Accomplishment Instructions of BAE Systems (Operations) Limited Service Bulletin J41-57-028, dated June 27, 2003. Where the service bulletin specifies to contact the manufacturer for repair information, do the repair per a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; or the Civil Aviation Authority (or its delegated agent).

Submission of Information Not Required

(c) Although the service bulletin referenced in this AD specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include such a requirement.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) Unless otherwise specified by this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with BAE Systems (Operations) Limited Service Bulletin J41-57-028, dated June 27, 2003. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft American Support, 13850 Mclearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 006-06-2003.

Effective Date

(f) This amendment becomes effective on August 13, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 29, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-15376 Filed 7-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 2003-NM-251-AD; Amendment 39-13705; AD 2004-13-23]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas DC-9-82 (MD-82) and DC-9-83 (MD-83) Airplanes; and Model MD-88 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC-9-82 (MD-82) and DC-9-83 (MD-83) airplanes; and Model MD-88 airplanes, that requires inspection of the captain's and first officer's seat track locking pins for insufficient engagement caused by seat track misalignment, and corrective actions if necessary. This action is necessary to prevent uncommanded movement of the captain's and first officer's seats during takeoff and landing, which could result in interference with the operation of the airplane and consequent temporary loss of control of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective August 13, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 13, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the National Archives

and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheyenne Del Carmen, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5338; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC-9-82 (MD-82) and DC-9-83 (MD-83) airplanes; and Model MD-88 airplanes; was published in the *Federal Register* on March 11, 2004 (69 FR 11550). That action proposed to require inspection of the captain's and first officer's seat track locking pins for insufficient engagement caused by seat track misalignment, and corrective actions if necessary.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 1,166 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 672 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$43,680 or \$65 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These

figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions. Manufacturer warranty remedies may be available for labor costs associated with this AD. As a result, the costs attributable to the AD may be less than stated above.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2004-13-23 McDonnell Douglas:

Amendment 39-13705. Docket 2003-NM-251-AD.

Applicability: Model DC-9-82 (MD-82) and DC-9-83 (MD-83) airplanes, and Model MD-88 airplanes; as listed in Boeing Alert

Service Bulletin MD80-25A367, Revision 01, dated June 14, 2002; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent uncommanded movement of the captain's and first officer's seats during takeoff and landing, which could result in interference with the operation of the airplane and consequent temporary loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Inspection and Corrective Actions

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed inspection of the captain's and first officer's seat track locking pins for sufficient engagement, and any applicable corrective actions by accomplishing all the actions in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD80-25A367, Revision 01, dated June 14, 2002. Do the actions per the service bulletin. Any applicable corrective actions must be accomplished before further flight.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

Inspection/Corrective Actions Accomplished per Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(b) Any inspection/corrective action accomplished before the effective date of this AD per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD80-25A367, dated December 6, 1999, is considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding inspection/corrective action specified in this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(d) Unless otherwise specified in this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin MD80-25A367, Revision 01, dated June 14, 2002. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the National Archives and Records Administration

(NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Effective Date

(e) This amendment becomes effective on August 13, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 24, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-15378 Filed 7-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 2003-NM-149-AD; Amendment 39-13725; AD 2004-14-16]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) airplanes, that requires repetitive detailed and eddy current inspections on the main fittings of the main landing gears (MLG) to detect discrepancies, and related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. This action also requires servicing the shock strut of the MLGs; inspecting the shock strut of the MLGs for nitrogen pressure, visible chrome dimension, and oil leakage; and servicing any discrepant strut. This action is necessary to detect and correct premature cracking of the main fittings of the MLGs, which could result in failure of the fittings and consequent collapse of the MLGs during landing. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective August 13, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 13, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Canadair, Aerospace Group, P.O. Box 6087, Station Centre-ville, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3G9, Canada. This information may

be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, suite 410, Westbury, New York; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Serge Napoleon, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE-171, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228-7312; fax (516) 794-5531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on March 17, 2004 (69 FR 12587). That action proposed to require repetitive detailed and eddy current inspections on the main fittings of the main landing gears (MLG) to detect discrepancies, and related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. That action also proposed to require servicing the shock strut of the MLGs; inspecting the shock strut of the MLGs for nitrogen pressure, visible chrome dimension, and oil leakage; and servicing any discrepant strut.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Request To Change Fax Number for Reporting Requirement

One commenter, the manufacturer, requests that the fax number for reporting inspection results, as specified in paragraph (f) of the proposed AD, be revised.

The FAA agrees. We have revised the fax number specified in paragraph (f) of the final rule accordingly.

Request To Require Reporting of Only Positive Eddy Current Inspection Findings

The other commenter requests that the reporting requirement of the proposed AD be changed to require reporting of only the positive eddy

current inspection findings. The commenter states that the repetitive detailed inspection interval of every 100 flight hours occurs within one week for many operators. Additionally, it estimates that there will be nearly 15,000 positive and negative findings as a result of the current requirement, an amount it considers to be excessive for the manufacturer's review and analysis of relevant data. The commenter asserts that reporting negative findings would serve no useful purpose.

We agree with the commenter that reporting of negative findings serves no useful purpose. Also, Transport Canada Civil Aviation, which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, has informed us that reporting of the positive findings of only the eddy current inspections is sufficient for the requirements of this AD. Therefore, we have changed paragraph (f) of the final rule accordingly.

Editorial Change

In the heading for paragraph (d) of the proposed rule, we inadvertently added the words “* * *and Serving If Necessary.” For clarification purposes, we have removed that phrase from the final rule.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes described previously. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 288 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$74,880, or \$260 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up,