

FR 34621), is requested under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR 222–226).

Permit No. 1260 authorizes the SEFSC to take loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*), Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), green (*Chelonia mydas*) and olive ridley (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) sea turtles for scientific research. The SEFSC is requesting authorization to annually capture, measure, weigh, flipper and PIT tag, tissue biopsy, blood sample and release an additional 100 leatherback, 120 loggerhead, 100 green, 50 hawksbill, 50 Kemp's ridley and 20 olive ridley sea turtles. A subset of 20 of the leatherbacks, 20 of the loggerheads, 20 of the greens, 20 of the hawksbills, 20 of the Kemp's ridleys and 5 of the olive ridleys captured annually will also have satellite transmitters attached to them. Turtles will be captured using a hoop net.

The research will help the SEFSC obtain estimates of survival for juveniles and adults in their benthic and pelagic environments, identify foraging grounds and migration corridors, and determine how both juveniles and adults utilize habitat and are distributed in space and time. The research will help NMFS better understand these sea turtle species' roles in their ecosystems and provide information that can improve our ability to conserve and manage them.

Dated: July 13, 2004.

**Stephen L. Leathery**,  
Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education  
Division, Office of Protected Resources,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 04–16359 Filed 7–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### The Governance of Self-Regulatory Organizations

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** At the request of the Futures Industry Association, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“Commission”) is extending the time for interested parties to respond to the Commission’s Request for Comments on the Governance of Self-Regulatory

Organizations, originally published in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 2004.

**DATES:** Responses must be received by September 30, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written responses should be sent to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Center, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581. Responses may also be submitted via e-mail at [secretary@cftc.gov](mailto:secretary@cftc.gov). “SRO Governance” must be in the subject field of responses submitted via e-mail, and clearly indicated in written submissions. This document is also available for comment at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Contacts:* Stephen Braverman, Deputy Director, (202) 418–5487; Rachel Berdansky, Special Counsel, (202) 418–5429; or Sebastian Pujol Schott, Attorney-Advisor, (202) 418–5641. Division of Market Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Center, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 9, 2004, the Commission published in the **Federal Register** a Request for Comments on the Governance of Self-Regulatory Organizations. The Request for Comments advances the Commission’s ongoing review of self-regulation in the futures industry (“SRO Study”) through a series of questions relevant to SRO governance and self-regulation. The topics covered include board composition, regulatory structures, forms of ownership, disciplinary committees, and the transparency of SROs’ operations.<sup>1</sup> Industry participants and interested parties were invited to respond by July 26, 2004, the close of the original comment period.

By letter dated July 2, 2004, the Futures Industry Association (“FIA”) asked that the original comment period be extended to September 30, 2004. The FIA requested the extension in order to permit commenters to thoroughly address the detailed questions raised in the Request for Comments. It also observed that the Request for Comments has repercussions for end users as well as exchange members and intermediaries, and that an extension will provide all interested parties time to consider the issues raised.

In response to this request, and in order to ensure that an adequate opportunity is provided for the submission of meaningful comments, the Commission will extend the comment period on the Request for

Comments on the Governance of Self-Regulatory Organizations to September 30, 2004.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 13, 2004, by the Commission.

**Jean A. Webb**,

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 04–16320 Filed 7–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Notification of Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Requirements—Procedures for Export of Noncomplying Products

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the April 26, 2004, **Federal Register** (69 FR 22489), the Consumer Product Safety Commission published a notice in accordance with provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) to announce the agency’s intention to seek an extension of approval of information collection requirements in regulations codified at 16 CFR part 1019, which establish procedures for export of noncomplying products. The Commission now announces that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of approval of that collection of information.

These regulations implement provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act, the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, and the Flammable Fabrics Act that require persons and firms to notify the Commission before exporting any product that fails to comply with an applicable standard or regulation enforced under provisions of those laws. The Commission is required by law to transmit the information relating to the proposed exportation to the government of the country of intended destination.

### Additional Information About the Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Requirements

*Agency address:* Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

*Title of information collection:* Procedures for export of noncomplying products, 16 CFR part 1019.

*Type of request:* Extension of approval.

*Frequency of collection:* Varies depending upon volume of noncomplying goods exported.

<sup>1</sup> 69 FR 32326 (June 9, 2004).

*General description of respondents:* Exporters of products that fail to comply with standards or regulations enforced under provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act, the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, or the Flammable Fabrics Act.

*Estimated Number of respondents:* 45 per year.

*Estimated average number of responses per respondent:* 1.22 per year.

*Estimated number of responses for all respondents:* 55 per year.

*Estimated number of hours per response:* 1.

*Estimated number of hours for all respondents:* 55 per year.

*Estimated cost of collection for all respondents:* \$1,350.00.

*Comments:* Comments on this request for extension of approval of information collection requirements should be submitted by August 18, 2004, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Washington DC 20503; telephone: (202) 395-7340, and (2) the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207. Comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127 or by e-mail at [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

Copies of this request for an extension of an information collection requirement are available from Linda L. Glatz, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone: (301) 504-7671, or by e-mail to [lglatz@cpsc.gov](mailto:lglatz@cpsc.gov).

Dated: July 9, 2004.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-16256 Filed 7-16-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by August 18, 2004.

*Title and OMB Number:* Defense Acquisition Regulation Supplement

(DFARS) Part 205, Publicizing Contract Actions, and DFARS 252.205-7000, Provision of Information to Cooperative Agreement Holders; OMB Number 0704-0286.

*Type of Request:* Revision.

*Number of Respondents:* 1.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 7,957.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 1.1 hour.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 8,753.

*Needs and Uses:* This information collection requires DoD contractors to provide information to cooperative agreement holders regarding employees or offices that are responsible for entering into subcontracts under DoD contracts. Cooperative agreement holders furnish procurement technical assistance to business entities within specified geographic areas. This policy implements 10 U.S.C. 2416. DFARS Subpart 205.4 and the clause at DFARS 252.205-7000 require DoD contractors with contracts exceeding \$500,000 to provide to cooperative agreement holders, upon their request, a list of those appropriate employees or offices responsible for entering into subcontracts under DoD contracts. The list must include the business address, telephone number, and area of responsibility for each employee or office. The contractor need not provide the list to a particular cooperative agreement holder more frequently than once a year.

*Affected Public:* Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Ms. Jacqueline Zeiher.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DoD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/ESCD/ Information Management Division, 1225 South Clark Street, Suite 504, Arlington, VA 22202-4326.

Dated: July 13, 2004.

**L.M. Bynum,**  
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 04-16258 Filed 7-16-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by August 18, 2004.

*Title and OMB Number:* Defense Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Section 211.273, Substitutions for Military or Federal Specifications and Standards, and Related Clause at DFARS 252.211-7005; OMB Number 0704-0398.

*Type of Request:* Extension.

*Number of Respondents:* 10.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 2.

*Annual Responses:* 20.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 1 hour.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 20.

*Needs and Uses:* This information collection permits offerors to propose Single Process Initiative (SPI) processes as alternatives to military or Federal specifications and standards cited in Department of Defense (DoD) solicitations for previously developed items. DoD uses the information to verify Government acceptance of an SPI process as a valid replacement for a military or Federal specification or standard. An offeror proposing to use an SPI process must: (1) identify the specific military or Federal specification or standard for which the SPI process has been accepted; (2) identify each facility at which the offeror proposes to use the SPI process in lieu of military or Federal specifications or standards cited in the solicitation; (3) identify the contract line items, subline items, components, or elements affected by the SPI process; and, (4) if the proposed SPI process has been accepted at the facility at which it is proposed for use, but is no yet listed at the SPI Internet site, submit documentation of the DoD acceptance of the SPI process.

*Affected Public:* Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Ms. Jacqueline Zeiher.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management