

outreach has included informal coordination with farmers, water districts, State and Federal agencies, tribal interests, and other interested stakeholders to identify options that will be considered in detail in the feasibility report and EIS. In October 2003, Reclamation and DWR released a Phase 1 Investigation Report that provided information on preliminary alternatives under consideration. Project documents are available at <http://www.usbr.gov/mp/sccao>.

The environmental review will be conducted pursuant to NEPA, the Endangered Species Act and other applicable laws, to analyze the potential environmental impacts of implementing each of the feasible alternatives. All reasonable alternatives as required by NEPA and its implementing regulations will be considered. Public input on additional alternatives, or a combinations of alternatives, that should be considered will be sought through the initial scoping meetings. In addition, public input will be sought on the criteria that should be used to carry forward alternatives, or a combination of alternatives, for further consideration.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 04-1424 Filed 2-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-373 and 731-TA-770-775 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, Sweden, and Taiwan

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Italy and antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, Sweden, and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Italy and the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, Sweden, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 28, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: D.J. Na (202-708-4727), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 4, 2003, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full

reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (68 FR 65085, November 18, 2003). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Participation in the Reviews and Public Service List

Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in these reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not file an additional notice of appearance. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the reviews, provided that the application is made by 45 days after publication of this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A party granted access to BPI following publication of the Commission's notice of institution of the reviews need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Staff Report

The prehearing staff report in the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on April 28, 2004, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.64 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing

The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the reviews beginning at 9:30 a.m. on May 18, 2004, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in

writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before May 11, 2004. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on May 13, 2004, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), 207.24, and 207.66 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

Written Submissions

Each party to the reviews may submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.65 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is May 7, 2004. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.67 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is May 27, 2004; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the reviews may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the reviews on or before May 27, 2004. On June 18, 2004, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before June 22, 2004, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the

reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 28, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-2099 Filed 2-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Telemanagement Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on November 12, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Telemanagement Forum ("the Forum") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Accudata Technologies, Allen, TX; Aktavara AB, Stockholm, SWEDEN; AsiaInfo Technologies, Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; AUDITEC, Paris, FRANCE; AZURE SOLUTIONS, Ipswich, Suffolk, UNITED KINGDOM; BSB, Moscow, RUSSIA; Cape Clear Software, Donnybrook, Dublin, IRELAND; CDOT, Chanakya Puri, New Delhi, INDIA; Cominfo Consulting, Moscow, RUSSIA; Comstar Telecommunications, Moscow, RUSSIA; Creawor Beijing Technique Center, Zhuhai, Guangdong, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; DIATEM NETWORKS, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; Distocraft Oy, Helsinki, FINLAND; Dubai Internet City, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES; EXA CORPORATION, Saiwai, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, JAPAN; Frost and Sullivan, Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Fundacao CPqD, Campinas, BRAZIL; GIGA STREAM UMTS Tech. GmbH, Saarbruecken, GERMANY; IBS LTD, Moscow, RUSSIA; InteGreat B.V.,

Bergen op Zoom, THE NETHERLANDS; IXI Mobile, Inc., Ra'anana, ISRAEL; Katz and Company, Imperial, PA; Keymile, Berne-Liebefeld, CH, SWITZERLAND; Mermarsat Limited, Harpenden, UNITED KINGDOM; MTN Nigeria Communications Ltd, Victoria Island, Lagos, NIGERIA; Murray Dunlop Ltd., Cam, Dursley, Gloucestershire, UNITED KINGDOM; Nakina Systems, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; NetProfits Limited, Erlangen, GERMANY; Neural Technologies, Petersfield, Hampshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Ovum Limited, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Operax AB, Luleaa, SWEDEN; PANDUIT CORPORATION, Tinley Park, IL; Partner Communications Co., Ltd., Rosh ha'ayin, ISRAEL; Pontis, Inc, Herzliya, Pituach, ISRAEL; PT ExcelComindo Pratama, Jakarta, INDONESIA; SAP AG, Newtown Square, PA; Saudi Telecom, Riyadh, Central, SAUDI ARABIA; SESA Software International, Rome, ITALY; SPIN aka Przedsiębiorstwo, Katowice, POLAND; TAZZ Networks, Plano, TX; THE OPEN GROUP, San Francisco, CA; TOT Corporation Public Company Limited, Thungsohong, Laksi, Bangkok, THAILAND; University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UNITED KINGDOM; West Global, IFSC, Dublin, IRELAND; West Ridge Networks, Littleton, MA; Westel Mobile Company, Budapest, Pest, HUNGARY; DSET CORPORATION, Pleasanton, CA (from August 1994 to March 13, 2003); ITEC SOLUTIONS, INC., Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; (from September 1995 to September 3, 2003); and WIND TELECOMICAZIONI SPA, Milano, ITALY (from April 2000 to March 31, 2003) have been added as parties to this venture.

The following existing members have changed their names: Teleformance has changed its name to Telcoremance, Valbonne, FRANCE; Sykora GmbH has changed its name to TietoEnator Oyj, Buehl, GERMANY; 4C Telecom (formerly of Overland Park, KS) has changed its name to NetHarmonix, Burlington, VT; and Progress (formerly Excellon) has changed its name to Sonic Software, Bedford, MA.

The following members have cancelled or have had their memberships cancelled: Aran Technologies, Blackrock, Co. Dublin, IRELAND; Arkipelago Svenska AB, Stockholm, SWEDEN; Astracon, Inc., Englewood, CO; Australian Communications Industry Ltd., (formerly of North Sydney) Milsons Point, New South Wales, AUSTRALIA; Chiaro Networks, Inc., Richardson, TX; Claudia Liliana Bucheli Enriquez, Bogota, COLUMBIA; Concept Wave Software, Mississauga, Ontario,