

proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

### Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in these sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the orders without further review. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the sunset review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.<sup>1</sup> Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

<sup>1</sup> In comments made on the interim final sunset regulations, a number of parties stated that the proposed five-day period for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation was insufficient. This requirement was retained in the final sunset regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), however, the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

Dated: September 17, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04–22129 Filed 9–30–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–489–602]

#### Aspirin from Turkey: Revocation of Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Aspirin from Turkey.

**SUMMARY:** On July 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey (69 FR 39905). Because the domestic interested parties did not participate in this sunset review, the Department is revoking this antidumping duty order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 20, 2004

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4340.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), and 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological and analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3 *Policies regarding the Conduct of Five-Year Sunset Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (“*Sunset Policy Bulletin*”).

For purposes of this sunset review, the product covered by this order is acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) containing no additives, other than inactive substances (such as starch, lactose, cellulose, or coloring material), and/or active substances in concentrations less than that specified for particular nonprescription drug combinations of aspirin and active substances as published in the Handbook of

Nonprescription Drugs, eighth edition, American Pharmaceutical Association, and is not in tablet, capsule or similar forms for direct human consumption. This product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTS”) subheading 2918.22.10. The HTS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Background

On August 25, 1987, the Department issued an antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey (52 FR 32030). On August 20, 1999, the Department published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order, following a sunset review. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Aspirin from Turkey*, 64 FR 45508 (August 20, 1999). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR part 351, the Department initiated the second sunset review of this order by publishing the notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register** (*See Initiation Notice*, 69 FR 39905 (July 1, 2004)). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of a sunset review of this order.

We received no response from the domestic industry by the deadline dates (*see* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i)). As a result, the Department determined that no domestic party intends to participate in the sunset review, and on July 20, 2004, we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking this antidumping duty order. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B).

#### Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the order. Because no domestic interested party filed a notice of intent or substantive response, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in this review, and we are revoking this antidumping duty order effective August 20, 2004, the fifth anniversary of the date of the determination to continue the order, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act.

**Effective Date of Revocation**

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to this order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after August 20, 2004. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 24, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2459 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-570-831]

**Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty changed circumstances review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that Heze Ever-Best International Trade Co., Ltd. (Heze Ever-Best), is the successor-in-interest to Shandong Heze International Trade and Developing Company (Shandong Heze) and, as such, entries of its merchandise are entitled to Shandong Heze's cash-deposit rate.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sochieta Moth or Brian Ledgerwood at (202) 482-0168 or (202) 482-3836, respectively; China/NME Enforcement Group, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On July 8, 2004, Shandong Heze requested that the Department initiate a changed-circumstances review pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216 to confirm that Heze Ever-Best is the successor-in-interest to Shandong Heze for purposes of determining antidumping duty liabilities. On July 28, 2004, the Department requested additional information from Heze Ever-Best concerning the circumstances of the name change. On August 4, 2004, Heze Ever-Best responded to our request for information. On August 25, 2004, the Department published a joint initiation and preliminary results of review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) and preliminarily determined that Heze Ever-Best is the successor-in-interest to Shandong Heze for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability in this proceeding. *See Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 52229 (August 25, 2004) (*Initiation Notice and Preliminary Results*). The Department did not receive any comments regarding its preliminary results of review.

**Scope of the Review**

The products subject to this antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay.

The scope of this order does not include (a) garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0000, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9500 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs

purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

In order to be excluded from antidumping duties, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use, or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed, must be accompanied by declarations to the United States.

**Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review**

Pursuant to 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(e), we find we find that Heze Ever-Best is the successor-in-interest to Shandong Heze and, as such, entries of its merchandise are entitled to Shandong Heze's cash-deposit rate. For a complete discussion of the basis of this decision, *see Initiation Notice and Preliminary Results*. Because we received no comments, we have adopted the same position in these final results.

Effective as of the date of these final results, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assign Heze Ever-Best the same 43.3% antidumping duty cash-deposit rate applicable to Shandong Heze. The cash-deposit determination from this changed-circumstances review will apply to all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed-circumstances review. *See Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 25327 (May 12, 2003).

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(5). Failure to timely notify the Department in writing of the return/destruction of APO material is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(e) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i).

Dated: September 24, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-2460 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P