

(NGA). The Commission is authorized to oversee continuity of service in the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce. The information collected by FERC-576 notifies the Commission in a timely manner of any interruption of service or possible hazard to public health or safety.

The Commission in response to timely notification of a serious interruption may contact other pipelines to determine available supply, and if requested, authorize transportation or construction of facilities to alleviate the problem. The data collected in FERC-576 pertains to serious interruptions of service to any wholesale customer involving facilities operated under certificate authorization from the Commission. The reporting of these interruptions will assist the Commission and the natural gas industry in fulfilling their obligations to the public to provide better service through increased efficiency and reliability. The data required for notification of interruptions is specified by 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 260.9.

5. *Respondent Description*: The respondent universe currently comprises 22 natural gas companies (on average per year) subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

6. *Estimated Burden*: 22 total hours, 22 respondents (average per year), 1 response per respondent, and 1 hour per response (average).

7. *Estimated Cost Burden to Respondents*: 22 hours / 2080 hours per year  $\times$  \$107,185 per year = \$1,134.

**Statutory Authority**: Sections 4, 7, 10 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717-717w).

Magalie R. Salas,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-25388 Filed 11-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[MN 84; FRL-7838-1]

### Notice of Final Determination for Rochester Public Utilities' Silver Lake Plant in Rochester, MN

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice of final action.

**SUMMARY**: This notice announces that on August 3, 2004, the Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) of the EPA dismissed a petition for review of a permit issued for the Rochester Public Utilities' Silver Lake Plant (RPU) by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

(MPCA). The EAB dismissed the petition because it determined that MPCA did not need to require best available control technology (BACT) for the permitted major modification.

**DATES**: The effective date for the EAB's decision is August 3, 2004. Judicial review of this permit decision, to the extent it is available pursuant to section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b)(1), may be sought by filing a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit within 60 days of November 16, 2004.

**ADDRESSES**: The documents relevant to the above action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following address: EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (AR-18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604. To arrange viewing of these documents, call Jennifer Darrow at (312) 886-6315.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: Jennifer Darrow, EPA, Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Boulevard (AR-18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604 or [darrow.jennifer@epa.gov](mailto:darrow.jennifer@epa.gov). Anyone who wishes to review the EAB decision can obtain it at <http://www.epa.gov/eab/disk11/rochester.pdf>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**: This supplemental information is organized as follows:

- A. What Action Is EPA Taking?
- B. What Is the Background Information?
- C. What Did EPA Determine?

#### A. What Action Is EPA Taking?

We are notifying the public of a final decision by EPA's EAB on a permit issued by MPCA.

#### B. What Is The Background Information?

On June 27, 2003, MPCA issued a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit (permit number 10900006-007) to RPU to construct and operate an underground high-pressure steam line from its Silver Lake Plant to the Mayo Clinic's Prospect Utility Plant (Mayo Plant). The permit allows RPU to tap into existing steam lines at the Silver Lake Plant that currently provide steam for four boilers; and to route that steam through a single pipeline to provide steam to the Mayo Plant. This change does not alter the boilers themselves, but results in annual burning of approximately 73,700 additional tons of coal at RPU. MPCA determined that this project would constitute a "major modification" subject to PSD. MPCA did not require the use of BACT, determining that there would not be a modification to an "emissions unit."

The Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy (MCEA) filed a petition for review of this permit with the EAB on July 24, 2003. MCEA argued that the term "emissions unit" encompasses the steam lines as well as the boilers, based on a change to the regulatory definition of "emissions unit" in revisions to the PSD regulations promulgated at 67 FR 80186 (December 31, 2002). MCEA further argued that MPCA erred by not requiring BACT under this revised definition.

#### C. What Did the EAB Determine?

On August 3, 2004, the EAB dismissed the petition for review on the grounds that the revised PSD regulations did not change the meaning of "emissions unit," and therefore did not make it necessary for MPCA to require BACT.

Dated: October 26, 2004.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 04-25399 Filed 11-15-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0033; FRL-7686-9]

### Rodenticides; Availability of Revised Comparative Ecological Risk Assessment; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY**: EPA issued a notice in the *Federal Register* on September 22, 2004, titled "Rodenticides; Availability of Revised Comparative Ecological Risk Assessment." This notice extends the closing date of the comment period announced in that notice by 60 days, from November 22, 2004, to January 21, 2005.

**DATES**: Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number OPP-2004-0033, must be received on or before January 21, 2005.

**ADDRESSES**: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly White, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-

0001; telephone number: (703) 305–8401; e-mail address: [white.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:white.kelly@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

The Agency included in the notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

###### B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket ID number OPP–2004–0033. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 S. Bell St., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “**Federal Register**” listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA’s electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

###### C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

To submit comments, or access the official public docket, please follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** of the September 22, 2004 **Federal Register** document (69 FR 56756) (FRL–7675–4). If you have

questions, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

##### II. What Action is EPA Taking?

This document extends the public comment period established in the **Federal Register** of September 22, 2004 (69 FR 56756) (FRL–7675–4). In that document, EPA announced the availability of the revised comparative ecological risk assessment and related documents for nine rodenticides, and opened a 60–day comment period. EPA received many requests for additional time to comment, and therefore is extending the comment period by an additional 60 days. The comment period, which was originally set to end on November 22, 2004, will now close on January 21, 2005.

##### III. What is the Agency’s Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 4(g)(2) of FIFRA as amended directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration, before calling in product specific data on individual end-use products and either reregistering products or taking other “appropriate regulatory action.”

##### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: November 1, 2004.

**Debra Edwards,**

*Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 04–25400 Filed 11–15–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–S**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may obtain copies of agreements by contacting the Commission’s Office of Agreements at 202–523–5793 or via e-mail at [tradeanalysis@fmc.gov](mailto:tradeanalysis@fmc.gov). Interested parties may submit comments on an agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

*Agreement No.:* 010776–126.

*Title:* Asia North America Eastbound Rate Agreement.

*Parties:* American President Lines, Ltd.; APL Co. Pte Ltd.; Hapag-Lloyd Container Line GmbH; Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd.; Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd.; A. P. Moller-Maersk A/S; Nippon Yusen Kaisha Line; Orient Overseas Container Line Limited; P&O Nedlloyd B.V.; and P&O Nedlloyd Limited.

*Filing Party:* David F. Smith, Esquire; Sher & Blackwell; 1850 M Street, NW., Suite 900; Washington, DC 20036.

*Synopsis:* The modification extends the suspension of the conference through May 1, 2005.

*Agreement No.:* 011695–008.

*Title:* CMA CGM/Norasia Reciprocal Space Charter, Sailing and Cooperative Working Agreement.

*Parties:* CMA CGM, S.A. and Norasia Container Lines Limited.

*Filing Party:* Paul M. Keane, Esq.; Cichanowicz, Callan, Keane, Vengrow & Textor, LLP; 61 Broadway, Suite 3000; New York, NY 10006–2802.

*Synopsis:* The proposed modification would convert the agreement from a cross space charter agreement to a slot charter agreement with CMA CGM giving space to Norasia. The parties request expedited review.

*Agreement No.:* 201163.

*Title:* Port of Portland/Port of Vancouver Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement.

*Parties:* The Port of Portland, an Oregon Port District; and The Port of Vancouver, USA, a Washington Port District.

*Filing Party:* Paul D. Coleman, Esq.; Hoppel, Mayer & Coleman; 1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW.; Washington, DC 20036.

*Synopsis:* The proposed agreement would authorize the parties to engage in joint marketing activities and joint facility development in accordance with a stated cost and revenue sharing formula. The parties request expedited review.

Dated: November 10, 2004.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

**Karen V. Gregory,**  
*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04–25435 Filed 11–15–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730–01–P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part