

will include operators with LNG inventories such as distribution companies, pipeline companies, liquefaction facilities, LNG wholesalers, and marine terminals providing peaking storage services. The survey coverage will not include LNG inventories held by any industrial, residential, commercial, or power generation operations for ultimate consumption.

Respondents would be required to complete the EIA-913 Annual Schedule at the start of the survey and subsequently once a year in September thereafter and whenever a new facility begins operation or a change in storage operator or storage capacity occurs. The EIA would contact the respondents on the first Monday of the month or the first business day after the first Monday of the month in the event of a holiday.

The aggregate data collected on the Form EIA-913 for the United States and several multi-state regions would be used to develop national and regional level estimates for publication in the NGM, MER, and NGA; no State level data would be published. EIA proposes that monthly respondent data would be treated as sensitive, proprietary information while respondent data from the annual schedule would not be confidential.

III. Request for Comments

Prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on the actions discussed in items II and III. The following guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of comments. Please indicate to which form(s) your comments apply.

General Issues

A. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency and does the information have practical utility? Practical utility is defined as the actual usefulness of information to or for an agency, taking into account its accuracy, adequacy, reliability, timeliness, and the agency's ability to process the information it collects.

B. What enhancements can be made to the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

As a Potential Respondent to the Request for Information

A. Would burden be reduced if applicable data were collected in Btu (heat content) rather than Mcf basis (volumetric, as it is on some surveys)?

B. Would burden be increased if EIA adopted a standard mandatory revision rule for its natural gas surveys requiring

resubmission of data for revisions greater than 4 percent?

C. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions need clarification?

D. Can the information be submitted by the due date?

E. Public reporting burden for the surveys included in the Natural Gas Data Collection Program Package is shown below as an average hour(s) per response. The estimated burden includes the total time necessary to provide the requested information. In your opinion, how accurate is this estimate for the proposed forms?

(1) Form EIA-176, "Annual Report of Natural and Supplemental Gas Supply and Disposition"; 12 hours per response.

(2) Form EIA-191A, "Annual Underground Gas Storage Report"; 1 hour per response.

(3) Form EIA-191M, "Monthly Underground Gas Storage Report"; 2.5 hours per response.

(4) Form EIA-857, "Monthly Report of Natural Gas Purchases and Deliveries to Consumers"; 3.5 hours per response.

(5) Form EIA-895, "Annual Quantity and Value of Natural Gas Production Report"; .5 hours per response.

(6) Form EIA-910, "Monthly Natural Gas Marketer Survey"; 2 hours per response.

(7) Form EIA-912, "Weekly Underground Natural Gas Storage Report"; 0.5 hour per response.

(8) Form EIA-913A, "Annual Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Storage Report"; 1 hour per response.

(9) Form EIA-913M, "Monthly (September-March) Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Storage Report"; 0.5 hour per response.

F. The agency estimates that the only cost to a respondent is for the time it will take to complete the collection. Will a respondent incur any start-up costs for reporting, or any recurring annual costs for operation, maintenance, and purchase of services associated with the information collection?

G. What additional actions could be taken to minimize the burden of this collection of information? Such actions may involve the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

I. Does any other Federal, State, or local agency collect similar information? If so, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the methods of collection.

As a Potential User of the Information To Be Collected

A. Is the information useful at the levels of detail to be collected?

B. For what purpose(s) would the information be used? Be specific.

C. Are there alternate sources for the information and are they useful? If so, what are their weaknesses and/or strengths?

D. Would the information be more useful if published uniformly in Btu rather than volumetrically? Which is the perceived industry standard?

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

Authority: Sec. 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 1, 2005.

Jay Casselberry,

Agency Clearance Officer, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 05-4343 Filed 3-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-72-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application

February 28, 2005.

Take notice that Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), filed on February 16, 2005 an application under Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and part 157 of the Commission's regulations for permission and approval to abandon four storage wells, together with associated pipeline and appurtenances, located in Ashland, Guernsey and Holmes Counties, Ohio, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to counsel for Columbia, Fredric J. George, Senior Attorney, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, P.O. Box 1273, Charleston, West Virginia 25325-1273; telephone (304) 357-2359, fax (304) 357-3206.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to

the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date need not serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: March 21, 2005.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-909 Filed 3-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER04-509-000, ER04-509-001, ER04-509-002, ER04-509-003, ER04-509-004, ER04-1250-000, ER04-1250-001, ER04-1250-002, ER04-1250-003, and EL05-62-000]

Delmarva Power & Light Company; PJM Interconnection, LLC; Notice of Initiation of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

February 28, 2005.

On February 25, 2005, the Commission issued an order in the above-referenced dockets initiating a proceeding in Docket No. EL05-62-000 under section 206 of the Federal Power Act. 110 FERC ¶ 61,186 (2005).

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL05-62-000, established pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act,

will be 60 days following publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-911 Filed 3-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP02-63-002, CP05-75-000]

Tri-State Ethanol Co., LLC; Tri-State Financial Co., LLC d/b/a North Country Ethanol; Notice of Application

February 28, 2005.

Take notice that on February 24, 2005, Tri-State Financial Co., LLC, d/b/a North Country Ethanol, (North Country), 47333 104th Street, P.O. Box 78, Rosholt, South Dakota, 57260, and Tri-State Ethanol Co., LLC, (Tri-State Ethanol) filed with the Commission an application pursuant to section 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to abandon, by sale, Tri-State Ethanol's facilities, located in Roberts County, South Dakota and Richland County, North Dakota, originally authorized under CP02-63-001, to North Country due to bankruptcy proceedings. North Country also requests temporary authorization, pursuant to § 157.17, to operate the subject facilities. Additionally, North Country seeks Commission approval of the permanent transfer of the certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Commission to Tri-State Ethanol in Docket No. CP02-63-001. Also, on February 25, 2005, North Country filed an amendment requesting the Commission to include in any authorizations the ability to transport gas solely on behalf of North Country. Finally, on February 25, 2005, North Country filed a supplement to its application detailing the economic and employment impacts the facilities have on the county in which the facilities are located, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

On February 28, 2002, Tri-State Ethanol was issued a certificate (98

FERC ¶ 61,220 (2002)) to own and the operate subject facilities, consisting of a 10.5-mile, 4.5-inch diameter pipeline, located in Richland County, North Dakota and terminating at Tri-State's ethanol facility near Rosholt, in Roberts County, South Dakota. North Country states that although Tri-State Ethanol received its certificate and approval to place the subject delivery line into service, a fire and explosion at the plant occurred on December 31, 2002, and service on the certificated facilities never commenced. Also, North Country asserts that financial difficulties stemming from the fire and explosion forced Tri-State Ethanol to file on May 23, 2003, with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of South Dakota (Northern Div.) in Case No. 03-10194, for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On July 29, 2004, the proceeding was converted to a liquidation under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court. Subsequently, a court-supervised auction of Tri-State Ethanol's assets, including the Plant and certificated delivery line was conducted. On December 22, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order approving the sale of numerous Tri-State assets to North Country and the transfer of various permits and governmental authorizations related to the acquired assets. The subject application implements the arrangements approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Any questions concerning the application should be directed to Kevin K. Crago, General Manager, Tri-State Financial Co., LLC, 47333 104th Street, P.O. Box 78, Rosholt, South Dakota, 57260, or call (605) 537-4585.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the