

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE****[Public Notice 4967]****Announcement of Meetings of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee**

**SUMMARY:** The International Telecommunication Advisory Committee will meet in March, April, and May to prepare positions for the next meeting of the ITU Council Working Group on the International Telecommunication Regulations (WGTR). Members of the public will be admitted to the extent that seating is available, and may join in the discussions, subject to the instructions of the Chair.

The International Telecommunication Advisory Committee (ITAC) will meet on the following dates at the offices of Squire Sanders & Dempsey, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC to prepare for the next meeting of the ITU Council Working Group on the International Telecommunication Regulations (WGTR): Thursday, March 24, 9–11 a.m.; Wednesday, April 6 2–4 p.m.; Wednesday, April 20 9–11 a.m.; and Tuesday, May 3, 9–11 a.m. Directions to the meeting location and conference bridge information may be obtained by calling the ITAC Secretariat at (202) 647–2593.

Dated: February 22, 2005.

**Anne Jillson,**

*Foreign Affairs Officer, International Communications & Information Policy, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 05–4459 Filed 3–7–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710–45–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE****[Public Notice 4970]****Announcement of Meetings of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee**

**SUMMARY:** The International Telecommunication Advisory Committee announces additional April meetings to prepare positions for the next meeting of the ITU–T Study Groups 11 (Signalling requirements and protocols), 13 (Next Generation Networks), and 15 (Optical and other transport network infrastructures). Members of the public will be admitted to the extent that seating is available, and may join in the discussions, subject to the instructions of the Chair. Directions to the meeting location are available to the public on the Internet and conference bridge information (if

any) may be obtained from [marcie.g@comcast.com](mailto:marcie.g@comcast.com).

The International Telecommunication Advisory Committee (ITAC) will meet on Friday, April 15, 2005 to prepare U.S. and company contributions to ITU–T Study Groups 11 and 13. The meeting will be held at the Double Tree Hotel Denver North, 8773 Yates Drive, Westminster, CO 80031 starting 30 minutes after the close of the plenary meeting of the Packet Technologies and Systems Committee (PTSC) of the Alliance for Telecommunications Solutions (ATIS), being held at the same venue.

The International Telecommunication Advisory Committee (ITAC) will meet on Friday, April 22, 2005 to prepare U.S. and company contributions to ITU–T Study Group 15. The meeting will be held at the Double Tree Hotel Denver North, 8773 Yates Drive, Westminster, CO 80031 starting 30 minutes after the close of the plenary meeting of the Optical Transport and Synchronization Committee (OTSC) of the Alliance for Telecommunications Solutions (ATIS), being held at the same venue.

Dated: March 1, 2005.

**Anne Jillson,**

*Foreign Affairs Officer, International Communications & Information Policy, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 05–4460 Filed 3–7–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710–45–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****National Highway Traffic Safety Administration****[Docket No. NHTSA 2003–15701; Notice 2]****Bridgestone/Firestone North America Tire, LLC (BFNT); Grant of Application for Decision That a Noncompliance Is Inconsequential to Motor Vehicle Safety**

Bridgestone/Firestone North America Tire, LLC (BFNT) has determined that approximately 1,228 P235/75R15 Peerless AMBASSADOR tires do not meet the labeling requirement mandated by Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 109, “New Pneumatic Tires.”

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), BFNT has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, “Defect and Noncompliance Reports.” Notice of receipt of the application was published, with a 30-day comment period, on August 19, 2003, in the

**Federal Register** (68 FR 49841). NHTSA received no comment on this application.

BFNT’s Oklahoma City, Oklahoma plant produced approximately 1,228 tires with incorrect markings during the U.S. Department of Transportation’s weeks of 17, 18, and 19 in 2003 (from April 20, 2003 through May 10, 2003). The tires were marked: “Tread Plies: 1 Polyester + 2 Steel + 1 Polyamide, Sidewall Plies: 1 Polyester.” The correct marking required by FMVSS No. 109 is “Tread Plies: 2 Polyester + 2 Steel + 1 Polyamide, Sidewall Plies: 2 Polyester.”

The labeling requirements of FMVSS No. 109, New Pneumatic Tires, S4.3, paragraphs (d) and (e), mandate that each tire have permanently molded into or onto both sidewalls the actual number of plies in the sidewall, and the actual number of plies in the tread area, if different. Also, each tire must be labeled with the generic name of each cord material used in the sidewall and tread.

BFNT argues that the noncompliance described herein is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. The noncompliant subject tires were constructed with more tread plies than indicated on the sidewall marking (two instead of one). BFNT states that this noncompliance is unlikely to have an adverse impact on motor vehicle safety since the actual construction of the subject tires is more robust than that identified on the sidewall. The noncompliant tires meet or exceed all performance requirements of FMVSS No. 109 and, the noncompliance will have no impact on the operational performance or safety of vehicles on which these tires are mounted.

The Transportation Recall, Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act (Pub. L. 106–414) required, among other things, that the agency initiate rulemaking to improve tire label information. In response, the agency published an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) in the **Federal Register** on December 1, 2000 (65 FR 75222). The agency received more than 20 comments on the tire labeling information required by 49 CFR Sections 571.109 and 119, part 567, part 574, and part 575. With regard to the tire construction labeling requirements of FMVSS 109, S4.3, paragraphs (d) and (e), most commenters indicated that the information was of little or no safety value to consumers. However, according to the comments, when tires are processed for retreading or repairing, it is important for the retreader or repair technician to understand the make-up of the tires and the types of plies. This

enables them to select the proper repair materials or procedures for retreading or repairing the tires. A steel cord radial tire can experience a circumferential or "zipper" rupture in the upper sidewall when it is operated underinflated or overloaded. If information regarding the number of plies and cord material is removed from the sidewall, technicians cannot determine if the tire has a steel cord sidewall ply. As a result, many light truck tires will be inflated outside a restraining device or safety cage where they represent a substantial threat to the technician. This information is critical when determining if the tire is a candidate for a zipper rupture. In this case, since the steel cord construction is properly identified on the sidewall, the technician will have sufficient notice.

In addition, the agency conducted a series of focus groups, as required by the TREAD Act, to examine consumer perceptions and understanding of tire labeling. Few of the focus group participants had knowledge of tire labeling beyond the tire brand name, tire size, and tire pressure.

The agency believes that the true measure of inconsequentiality to motor vehicle safety, in this case, is the effect of the noncompliance on the operational safety of vehicles on which these tires are mounted. Since the tires had more tread plies than indicated on the sidewall, the labeling noncompliance has no effect on the performance of the subject tires. A tire with more tread plies is likely to be a more robust tire even though it has no additional load-carrying capacity.

In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA has decided that the applicant has met its burden of persuasion that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, its application is granted and the applicant is exempted from providing the notification of the noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and from remedying the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120.

**Authority:** (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8)

Issued on: March 2, 2005.

**Stephen R. Kratzke,**

*Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.*

[FR Doc. 05-4435 Filed 3-7-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2005-20489]

#### Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT Passenger Cars are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is April 7, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.]. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-3151).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA

has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies, LLC, of Baltimore, Maryland ("J.K.") (Registered Importer 90-006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which J.K. believes are substantially similar are 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

J.K. submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2004 and 2005 Porsche Carrera GT passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect*, 103 *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and*