

ERP No. F-AFS-J65422-MT West Side Reservoir Post-Fire Project, Proposed Implementation of Timber Salvage and Access Management Treatments, Flathead National Forest, Hungry Horse and Spotted Bear Ranger Districts, Flathead County, MT.

Summary: EPA supports less damaging logging methods proposed and project modifications to reduce potential adverse effects. However, EPA is still concerned that post-fire logging may impact soils, water quality, and wildlife habitat (particularly habitat of the threatened grizzly bear).

ERP No. F-AFS-L65456-AK Resurrection Creek Stream and Riparian Restoration Project, Proposes to Accelerate the Recovery of Riparian Areas, Fish and Wildlife Habitat, Chugach National Forest, Seward Ranger District, Kenai Peninsula Borough, AK.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65457-OR Crooked River National Grassland Vegetation Management/Grazing, Vegetation Treatments and Grazing Disposition, Ochoco National Forest, Jefferson County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections and supports the USFS efforts to work with watershed councils and ODEQ to develop Water Quality Management Plans for the streams within the Grasslands which do not meet ambient water quality standards.

Dated: January 4, 2005.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05-345 Filed 1-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2005-0002; FRL-7694-3]

Cyprodinil; Notice of Filing a Pesticide Petition to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemical in or on Food

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the initial filing of a pesticide petition proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities.

DATES: Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number [OPP-2005-0002, must be received on or before February 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sidney C. Jackson, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 305-7610; e-mail address: jackson.sidney@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)
- Food manufacturing (NAICS 311)
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS 32532)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket ID number OPP-2005-0002. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 S. Bell St., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday,

excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the “**Federal Register**” listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA’s electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket. EPA’s policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA’s electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA’s electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA’s electronic public docket.

For public commenters, it is important to note that EPA’s policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA’s electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA’s electronic public docket. The

entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket.

Public comments submitted on computer disks that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Public comments that are mailed or delivered to the docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket. Where practical, physical objects will be photographed, and the photograph will be placed in EPA's electronic public docket along with a brief description written by the docket staff.

C. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your comment. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments. If you wish to submit CBI or information that is otherwise protected by statute, please follow the instructions in Unit I.D. Do not use EPA Dockets or e-mail to submit CBI or information protected by statute.

1. *Electronically.* If you submit an electronic comment as prescribed in this unit, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an e-mail address or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. EPA's policy is that EPA will not edit your comment, and any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

i. *EPA Dockets.* Your use of EPA's electronic public docket to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. Go directly to EPA Dockets

at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once in the system, select "search," and then key in docket ID number OPP-2005-0002. The system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

ii. *E-mail.* Comments may be sent by e-mail to opp-docket@epa.gov, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2005-0002. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

iii. *Disk or CD ROM.* You may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the mailing address identified in Unit I.C.2. These electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect or ASCII file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

2. *By mail.* Send your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB) (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2005-0002.

3. *By hand delivery or courier.* Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 S. Bell St., Arlington, VA, Attention: Docket ID Number OPP-2005-0002. Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Unit I.B.1.

D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?

Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI electronically through EPA's electronic public docket or by e-mail. You may claim information that you submit to EPA as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI (if you submit CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be

disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA has received a pesticide petition as follows proposing the establishment and/or amendment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a. EPA has determined that this petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in FFDCA section 408(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on the petition.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Feed additives, Food additives, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 22, 2004.

Betty Shackelford,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Summary of Petition

The petitioner summary of the pesticide petition is printed below as required by FFDCA section 408(d)(3). The summary of the petition was prepared by the petitioner and represents the view of the petitioner. The petition summary announces the availability of a description of the analytical methods available to EPA for the detection and measurement of the pesticide chemical residues or an explanation of why no such method is needed.

Interregional Research Project Number 4 (IR-4)

PP 8E5012

EPA has received a pesticide petition, PP 8E5012, from the IR 4, 681 U.S. Highway #1 South, North Brunswick, NJ 08902 proposing, pursuant to section 408(d) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), to amend 40 CFR 180.532 by extending the time-limited tolerances to December 31, 2007, for residues of the fungicide, cyprodinil, 4-cyclopropyl-6-methyl-N-phenyl-2-pyrimidinamine in or on the raw agricultural commodities onion, dry bulb at 0.60 part per million (ppm); onion, green at 4.0 ppm; and strawberry at 5.0 ppm. EPA has determined that the petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in section 408(d)(2) of the FFDCA; however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on the petition. Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., Greensboro, NC 27409 is the manufacturer of the chemical pesticide, cyprodinil. Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., prepared and submitted the following summary of information, data, and arguments in support of the pesticide petitions. This summary does not necessarily reflect the findings of EPA.

EPA issued a final rule under section 408(d) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), on June 22, 2001, (FRL-6778-7), announcing the establishment of time-limited pesticide tolerances in conjunction to the original pesticide

petition (PP 8E5012) which expired December 31, 2003. These tolerances were time-limited because the Agency lacked residue data on onion, dry bulb, onion, green and strawberry. IR-4 requested an extension of these time limited tolerances to allow extra time to generate the field residue data. In the **Federal Register** of December 31, 2003, (68 FR 75438); OPP-2003-0394; (FRL-7337-5) these time-limited tolerances were extended with an expiration date of December 31, 2004. All residue data have been submitted by IR-4. Further the Agency concluded field accumulation in rotational crops study for the CGA-249287, NO-422054, CGA-263208, and CGA-232449 metabolites; and confirmatory data from an acute oral toxicity study and Ames assay for the CGA-249287 and NO-422054 metabolites were required; all these data have been submitted by Syngenta Crop Protection. EPA has been unable to complete the reviews of these data prior to the December 31, 2004 expiration date. This time-limited tolerance extension will permit the Agency to complete the review of these data. Previously EPA evaluated the available cyprodinil data and considered their validity, completeness, and reliability as well as the relationship of the results of the studies to human risk. EPA also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. The nature of the toxic effects caused by cyprodinil are adequately understood and support the proposed extension of these time-limited tolerances.

A. Residue Chemistry

1. *Plant metabolism.* The metabolism of cyprodinil is adequately understood for the purpose of the proposed tolerances.

2. *Analytical method.* Syngenta Crop Protection has developed and validated analytical methodology for enforcement purposes. This method (Syngenta Crop Protection Method AG-631B) has passed an Agency petition method validation for several commodities and is currently the enforcement method for cyprodinil. An extensive database of method validation data using this method on various crop commodities is available.

3. *Magnitude of residues.* Complete residue data to support the requested tolerances for strawberry; onion, dry bulb; and onion, green have been submitted. The requested tolerances are adequately supported.

B. Toxicological Profile

An assessment of toxic effects caused by cyprodinil is discussed in Unit III.A. and Unit III.B. of the **Federal Register** dated June 22, 2001 (66 FR 33478) (FRL-6778-7).

1. *Animal metabolism.* The metabolism of cyprodinil in rats is adequately understood.

2. *Metabolite toxicology.* The residues of concern for tolerance setting purposes is the parent compound. Based on structural similarities to genotoxic nucleotide analogs, there was concern that the pyrimidine metabolites (CGA-249287, NOA-422054) may be more toxic than the parent compound. However, EPA's review indicates similar results in an acute oral and mutagenicity studies with both the parent compound and the CGA-249287 metabolite. EPA concluded that the toxicity of the CGA-249287 and NOA-422054 metabolites is no greater than that of the parent, conditional on submission and review of confirmatory data of an acute oral toxicity study and bacterial reverse mutation assay for the NOA-422054 metabolite. Although the metabolites CGA-232449 and CGA-263208 were determined to be of potential toxicological concern, there are not expected to be more toxic than cyprodinil *per se*.

3. *Endocrine disruption.* Cyprodinil does not belong to a class of chemicals known or suspected of having adverse effects on the endocrine system. Developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits and a reproduction study in rats gave no indication that cyprodinil might have any effects on endocrine function related to development and reproduction. The chronic studies also showed no evidence of a long-term effect related to the endocrine system.

C. Aggregate Exposure

1. *Dietary exposure.* A Tier III acute and chronic dietary exposure evaluation was made using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEMTM), version 7.87 from Exponent. Empirically derived processing studies for apple juice (0.39X), apple pomace (5.22X), grape juice (0.29X), dried prunes (2.05X) and lychee fruit peeling factor (0.0092X) were used in these assessments. The apple juice processing factor was used as a surrogate for pear juice and all other processing factors used DEEMTM defaults. All consumption data for these assessments were taken from the USDA's Continuing Survey of Food Intake by individuals (CSFII) with the 1994-96 consumption database and the Supplemental CSFII children's survey (1998) consumption database. These

exposure assessments include all registered uses. Secondary residues in animal commodities were estimated based on theoretical worst-case, yet nutritionally adequate animal diets and transfer information from feeding studies.

i. *Food.* For the purposes of assessing the potential dietary exposure under the proposed tolerances, Syngenta Crop Protection has estimated aggregate exposure from all crops for which tolerances are established or proposed. These assessments utilized residue data from field trials where cyprodinil was applied at the maximum intended use rate and samples were harvested at the minimum pre-harvest interval (PHI) to obtain maximum residues. Percent of crop treated values were estimated based upon economic, pest and competitive pressures. The values used in these assessments were: (Almond, pome fruit, stone fruit and grape), 100%; onion, 9%, strawberry, 42%, watercress, 95%; berries, 13%, (pistachio and herbs), 80%; (crop group 5A and 5B; carrot; turnip, greens; lychee; longan; and Spanish lime), 10%.

ii. *Acute exposure.* The acute dietary risk assessment was performed for the females 13–49 years old population subgroup only, since no toxicological endpoint of concern was identified for the other population subgroups. An acute reference dose (aRfD) of 1.5 milligrams/kilograms (mg/kg)-body weight (bw)/day for the females 13–49 years subpopulation only was based on a no observable adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 150 mg/kg-bw/day based on a rabbit developmental study and an uncertainty factor of 100X. No additional FQPA safety factor was applied. For the purpose of the aggregate risk assessment, the exposure value was expressed in terms of a margin of exposure (MOE), which was calculated by dividing the NOAEL by the exposure. In addition, exposure was expressed as a percent of the acute reference dose (%aRfD). Acute exposure to the females 13–49 years subpopulation resulted in a MOE of 899 (1.1% of the acute RfD of 1.5 mg/kg-bw/day). Since the benchmark MOE for this assessment was 100 and since EPA generally has no concern for exposures above the benchmark MOE, Syngenta Crop Protection believes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from the acute dietary (food) exposures arising from the current and proposed uses for cyprodinil.

iii. *Chronic exposure.* The chronic reference dose (cRfD) for cyprodinil is 0.03 mg/kg-bw/day and is based on a chronic rat study with a NOAEL of 2.7 mg/kg-bw/day and an uncertainty factor

of 100X. No additional FQPA safety factor was applied. The cyprodinil Tier III chronic dietary exposure assessment was based upon residue field trial results. For the purpose of the aggregate risk assessment, the exposure values were expressed in terms of margin of exposure (MOE), which was calculated by dividing the NOAEL by the exposure for each population subgroup. In addition, exposure was expressed as a percent of the chronic reference dose (%RfD). Chronic exposure to the most sensitive sub-population (children 1 and 2 years old) resulted in a MOE of 1,074 (8.4% of the chronic RfD of 0.03 mg/kg-bw/day). Since the benchmark MOE for this assessment was 100 and since EPA generally has no concern for exposures resulting in an MOE above the benchmark MOE, Syngenta Crop Protection believes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from the chronic dietary (food) exposures arising from the current and proposed uses for cyprodinil.

2. *Drinking water.* Another potential source of exposure of the general population to residues of cyprodinil are residues in drinking water. The degradation of cyprodinil is microbially mediated with an aerobic soil metabolism half-life of less than 46 days. Cyprodinil Kocs vary from 1550 to 2030 and cyprodinil exhibits a strong binding affinity for soil. Cyprodinil is stable to hydrolysis but degrades rapidly under photolytic conditions. Estimated Environmental Concentrations (EECs) of cyprodinil in drinking water were determined by EPA. The EPA ground water model, Screening Concentrations in Groundwater (SCI-GROW) was used to determine acute and chronic estimated environmental concentrations in ground water and the Agency's surface water model, Pesticide Root Zone Model/Exposure Analysis Modeling System (PRZM/EXAMS), was used to determine acute and chronic estimated environmental concentrations in surface water. Based on the model outputs, the estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) for cyprodinil (plus the CGA-249287 metabolite) are 0.16 parts per billion (ppb) for acute and chronic exposure to ground water and 32.9 ppb and 8.1 ppb for acute and chronic exposure, respectively, to surface water. The Acute Drinking Water Level of Comparison (DWLOC) was calculated based on an acute Population Adjusted Dose (aPAD) of 1.5 mg/kg/day. For the acute assessment, the females (13–49 years) subpopulation generated an acute DWLOC of 44,500 ppb. The acute DWLOC of 44,500 ppb is considerably higher than the acute

EEC of 32.9 ppb. Chronic DWLOCs were calculated based on a chronic Population Adjusted Dose (cPAD) of 0.03 mg/kg/day. The children 1–2 years old subpopulation generated the lowest chronic DWLOC of 275 ppb. Thus, the chronic DWLOC of 275 ppb is considerably higher than the chronic EEC of 8.1 ppb.

3. *Non-dietary exposure.* There is a potential residential post-application exposure to adults and children entering residential areas treated with cyprodinil. Since the Agency did not select a short-term endpoint for dermal exposure, only intermediate dermal exposures were considered. Based on the residential use pattern, no long-term post-application residential exposure is expected.

4. *Chronic aggregate exposure.* Based on the completeness and reliability of the toxicity data supporting these petitions, Syngenta Crop Protection believes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to residues arising from all current and proposed cyprodinil uses, including anticipated dietary exposure from food, water, and all other types of non-occupational exposures.

D. Cumulative Effects

Cumulative exposure to substances with a common mechanism of toxicity. Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity." EPA does not have, at this time, available data to determine whether cyprodinil has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances or how to include this pesticide in a cumulative risk assessment. For the purposes of this tolerance action, the EPA has not assumed that cyprodinil has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances.

E. Safety Determination

The chronic dietary exposure analysis (food only) indicated that exposure from all established and proposed cyprodinil uses is 8.4% of the chronic RfD of 0.03 mg/kg-bw/day for the most sensitive subpopulation, children 1 and 2 years old. Estimated concentrations of cyprodinil residues in surface and ground water are below the calculated acute DWLOC. The children 1 and 2 years old subpopulation has the lowest

chronic DWLOC of approximately 275 ppb, which is considerably higher than the chronic EEC of 8 ppb.

The acute dietary exposure analysis (food only) showed that for female 13–49 years old, exposure from all established and proposed cyprodinil uses would be 1.1% of the acute RfD of 1.5 mg/kg-bw/day. Acute DWLOCs were calculated based on an acute Populated Adjusted Dose (aPAD) of 1.5 mg/kg/day. The females (13–49 years old) subpopulation generated an acute DWLOC of approximately 44,500 ppb. The acute EEC of 33 ppb is considerably less than 44,500 ppb. Therefore, the chronic and aggregate risk from cyprodinil residues in food and drinking water would not be expected to exceed the EPA's level of concern.

Syngenta Crop Protection has considered the potential aggregate exposure from food, water and non-occupational exposure routes and concluded that aggregate exposure is not expected to exceed 100% of the chronic reference dose and that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from the aggregate exposure to cyprodinil.

F. International Tolerances

There are no Codex maximum residue levels established for cyprodinil.

[FR Doc. 05–343 Filed 1–6–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7858–4]

Air Quality Criteria Document for Lead; Draft Project Work Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Research and Development's National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) is reviewing and, as appropriate, revising the EPA document, Air Quality Criteria for Lead, EPA–600/8–83/028aF–dF, published in June 1986, and the associated supplement (EPA–600/8–89/049F) published in 1990. Interested parties are invited to comment on a draft of EPA's Project Work Plan for updating the lead document.

DATES: The 30-day period for submission of public comments on the draft Project Work Plan begins January 7, 2005, and ends February 7, 2005.

ADDRESSES: The draft plan will be available from NCEA by January 7, 2005. Internet users will be able to download a copy of the draft plan from the NCEA home page. The URL is <http://www.epa.gov/ncea/>. Contact Ms. Diane Ray by phone (919) 541–3637, fax (919) 541–1818, or e-mail (ray.diane@epa.gov) to request hard copies of this plan. Please provide the document's title, Project Work Plan for Air Quality Criteria for Lead, as well as your name and address, to facilitate processing of your request. Public comments on the draft plan may be submitted electronically, by mail, by facsimile, or by hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions as provided in the section of this notice entitled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.**

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For details on the period for submission of public comments, contact the Office of Environmental Information Docket; telephone: (202) 566–1752; facsimile: (202) 566–1753; or e-mail: ORD.Docket@epa.gov.

For technical information, contact Robert Elias, Ph.D., NCEA, facsimile: (919) 541–1818 or e-mail: elias.robert@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 108(a) of the Clean Air Act directs the Administrator to identify certain pollutants which “may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and welfare” and to issue air quality criteria for them. These air quality criteria are to “accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge useful in indicating the kind and extent of all identifiable effects on public health or welfare which may be expected from the presence of [a] pollutant in the ambient air * * *.” Under section 109 of the Act, EPA is then to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for each pollutant for which EPA has issued criteria. Section 109(d) of the Act subsequently requires periodic review and, if appropriate, revision of existing air quality criteria to reflect advances in scientific knowledge on the effects of the pollutant on public health and welfare. EPA is also to revise the NAAQS, if appropriate, based on the revised criteria.

Lead is one of six “criteria” pollutants for which EPA has established air quality criteria and NAAQS. On November 9, 2004 (69 FR 64926), EPA formally initiated its current review of the criteria and NAAQS for lead, requesting the submission of recent scientific information on specified topics. One of the next steps in this process is to prepare a project work plan for the review and, if appropriate,

revision of the existing Air Quality Criteria Document (AQCD) for lead and provide for public review of a draft of the plan.

Accordingly, this notice announces the availability of a draft of EPA's Project Work Plan for Revised Air Quality Criteria for Lead, NCEA–R–1465, prepared by NCEA. The purpose of the Project Work Plan is to describe the managerial procedures for reviewing and, as appropriate, revising EPA's Air Quality Criteria for Lead, EPA–600/8–83/028aF–dF, published in June 1986, and the associated supplement (EPA–600/8–89/049F) published in 1990. The draft plan will also be reviewed by the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) of EPA's Science Advisory Board and will be revised in light of CASAC's review and comments received from the general public. Information on the date and location of the CASAC public review meeting will be published in a future **Federal Register** notice. The plan may be modified and amended from time to time, as necessary, to reflect actual project requirements and progress. Accordingly, any proposed schedules and outlines, or any lists of technical coordinator assignments, authors, or reviewers are subject to change. As indicated above, the draft plan will be available by January 7, 2005.

EPA has established an official public docket for information pertaining to the revision of the Lead AQCD, Docket ID No. ORD–2004–0018. The official public docket is the collection of materials, excluding Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, that is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the Headquarters EPA Docket Center, EPA West Building, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566–1752; facsimile: (202) 566–1753; or e-mail: ORD.Docket@epa.gov.

An electronic version of the official public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, E-Docket. You may use E-Docket at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, to access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to view those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in