

Lillian Deitzer at [Lillian\\_L\\_Deitzer@HUD.gov](mailto:Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov) or telephone (202) 708-2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Eddins or Ms. Deitzer.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described below. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of

the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This notice also lists the following information:

*Title of Proposal:* FHA Fee Inspector Panel Application Package.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0548.

*Form Numbers:* HUD-92563.

*Description of the Need for the Information and its Proposed Use:* The national FHA Inspector Roster is a listing of FHA approved inspectors. FHA approved mortgagees select inspectors from the roster. The information collection is essential to the Department's efforts to ensure that compliance Inspectors possess the prerequisite knowledge and skills to assess the quality of construction of homes before the homes can be accepted as security for FHA insured loans.

*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion.

*Reporting Burden:*

|             | Number of respondents | x    | Annual responses | x    | Hours per response | = | Burden hours |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|------------------|------|--------------------|---|--------------|
| 1,000 ..... | 1                     | .... | 2.25             | .... | 2,250              |   |              |

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 2,250.

*Status:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: April 28, 2005.

**Wayne Eddins,**

*Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E5-2204 Filed 5-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-27-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4980-N-18]

### Federal Property Suitable as Facilities to Assist the Homeless

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 6, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kathy Ezzell, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Room 7262, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708-1234; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708-2565, (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or

call the toll-free Title V information line at 1-800-927-7588.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the December 12, 1988 court order in *National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration*, No. 88-2503-OG (D.D.C.), HUD publishes a notice, on a weekly basis, identifying unutilized, under utilized, excess and surplus Federal buildings and real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. Today's notice is for the purpose of announcing that no additional properties have been determined suitable or unsuitable this week.

Dated: April 28, 2005.

**Mark R. Johnston,**

*Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs.*

[FR Doc. 05-8835 Filed 5-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-29-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4914-N-05]

### Mortgagee Review Board; Administrative Actions

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with section 202(c) of the National Housing Act, this notice advises of the cause and description of administrative actions taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review

Board against HUD-approved mortgagees.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David E. Hintz, Secretary to the Mortgagee Review Board, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410-8000, telephone: (202) 708-3856, extension 3594. A Telecommunications Device for Hearing- and Speech-Impaired Individuals (TTY) is available at (800) 877-8339 (Federal Information Relay Service).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 202(c)(5) of the National Housing Act (added by section 142 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989, Pub. L. 101-235, approved December 15, 1989), requires that HUD "publish a description of and the cause for administrative action against a HUD-approved mortgagee" by the Department's Mortgagee Review Board (Board). In compliance with the requirements of section 202(c)(5), this notice advises of administrative actions that have been taken by the Board from July 1, 2004, to December 31, 2004.

### 1. Academy Mortgage Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah, [Docket No. 04-4399-MR]

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed March 18, 2005. Without admitting fault or liability, Academy Mortgage Corporation (Academy) agreed to pay HUD a civil money penalty in the amount of \$288,500 and indemnify HUD on four loans. Academy also agreed to reimburse each borrower identified in Attachment B to the Settlement Agreement, fees identified as unallowable by HUD.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Academy: Violated requirements governing conflict of interest by paying commissions to loan officers who had received payment from another source in the same HUD/FHA loan transaction; failed to properly verify the effectiveness, adequacy and/or stability of income used in qualifying borrowers; failed to properly verify the source and adequacy of funds required for closing; failed to ensure outstanding judgments were satisfied prior to closing the loan; failed to document that it reviewed the real estate appraisals for 64 HUD/FHA insured mortgage loans that it underwrote. Specifically, Academy did not document that it analyzed appraisals that showed large increases in value and recent sales; charged borrowers unallowable fees, such as documentation preparation fee, document review fee, funding fee, wire fee, Administrative Compliance fee, Neighborhood Gold fee, and Wire review fee, among others; and failed to retain entire case files for loans it originated.

**2. Chess Financial Services, Inc., Camp Springs, MD [Docket No. 04-4355-MR]**

*Action:* The Board voted to reject Chess Financial Services, Inc.'s (Chess) settlement offer and imposed a civil money penalty of \$43,500.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Chess: permitted its employees to process loans in which they were interested parties; permitted three individuals who were not employees, or who were not exclusive employees, to originate mortgages; failed to maintain a quality control plan for the origination of HUD/FHA insured mortgages for two fiscal years, and failed to complete quality control reviews in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements; and failed to maintain complete loan origination files for review and to comply with HUD's requests for documentation.

**3. First Service Mortgage, Inc., College Park, GA [Docket No. 04-4440-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed December 20, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, First Service Mortgage, Inc. (First Service) agreed to pay an administrative payment of \$16,350 and indemnify HUD for any losses on two loans.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of

HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where First Service: failed to remit Mortgage Insurance Premium (MIP) within 15 days; failed to ensure employees worked exclusively for First Service submitted false and conflicting documentation to obtain HUD/FHA Mortgage Insurance; failed to maintain a Quality Control Plan that complies with HUD/FHA requirements; and originated a second FHA-insured mortgage without obtaining the required information, and without resolving discrepancies.

**4. First Union National Bank d/b/a Wachovia Bank, N.A., Raleigh, NC [Docket No. 04-4230-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed January 6, 2005. Without admitting fault or liability, Wachovia Bank, N.A. (Wachovia) agreed to pay an administrative payment of \$8,500.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where First Union National Bank (First Union): failed to notify HUD of its merger with Wachovia; and First Union failed to timely perform property inspection on one HUD/FHA insured multifamily project.

**5. International Home Mortgage Capital Corporation, Las Vegas, NV [04-4388-MR]**

*Action:* On November 29, 2004, the Board issued a letter to International Home Mortgage Capital Corporation (International Home Mortgage) immediately withdrawing its HUD/FHA approval for five years. The Board also voted to impose a civil money penalty in the amount of \$118,000.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where International Home Mortgage: Failed to implement a Quality Control Plan in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements; failed to verify the source and adequacy of borrowers' funds to close their loans; failed to verify and analyze borrowers' effective income; failed to analyze borrowers' liabilities; failed to ensure compliance with HUD/FHA credit requirements for borrowers; and failed to calculate the maximum mortgage amount.

**6. Mann Financial, Inc., Helena, MT [Docket No. 04-4280-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed March 29, 2005. Without admitting fault or liability, Mann Financial, Inc. (Mann) agreed to pay HUD an administrative payment in the amount of \$46,000 and

indemnify HUD on four loans. Mann also agreed to pay HUD \$3,982 as pay-down of principal on two loans.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Mann: Failed to ensure HUD/FHA loans were originated by Mann employees; submitted false certifications to HUD regarding whether information was obtained directly from the borrowers by full-time employees of the lender; underwrote mortgages for mortgagees that were not approved loan correspondents for Mann; failed to implement fully its quality control plan in that it did not review eight defaulting mortgages; failed to document adequately the borrower's source of funds for the down payment and/or closing costs; failed to ensure that the allowable maximum mortgage amount was not exceeded; admitted underwriter error caused a mortgage amount in excess of the maximum allowed and has offered to pay-down the mortgage in connection with a settlement; failed to document accurately and/or calculate the borrower's effective income. Specifically, Mann failed to document that SSI income would continue for the first three years of a mortgage; failed to document income and Mann did not establish a sufficient employment history; failed to meet the underwriting requirements relative to a temporary interest rate buy down. Specifically, Mann did not demonstrate that borrowers for three mortgages had likelihood for increased income after the buy down period ended; and failed to document the contributory value of sweat equity labor. Mann failed to document the market value of a borrower's roofing, siding, framing, carpentry and painting.

**7. Mirad Financial Group, Inc., San Diego, CA [Docket No. 03-3159-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed October 1, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, Mirad Financial Group, Inc., (Mirad) agreed to pay a civil money penalty of \$46,000. Mirad also agreed to indemnify HUD for any losses incurred on six loans.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Mirad: Failed to ensure that loan officer who originated three loans was not suspended from participating in government programs; used independent contractors to originate 18 FHA-insured loans; underwrote loans for mortgagees that were not on HUD's list of approved loan correspondents for

Mirad; failed to adequately document gift funds used for downpayment and/or closing costs; failed to ensure that the borrower met the 3 percent minimum downpayment requirement; failed to ensure the borrower did not exceed the maximum allowable mortgage; failed to obtain a satisfactory explanation of the borrower's late payments, charge-offs and collection accounts; and failed to adequately document that the seller owned the property.

**8. Realty Mortgage Corporation, Flowood, MS [Docket No. 04-4443-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed November 12, 2004. Without admitting fault or liability, Realty Mortgage Corporation (Realty Mortgage) agreed to pay HUD an administrative payment of \$81,500 and indemnify HUD on three loans.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Realty Mortgage: Accepted loans that were originated by a non-HUD approved lender; underwrote the loans which clearly indicated that a third party was involved in the origination; failed to adequately verify the source and/or adequacy of funds used for earnest money deposits and/or close loan transactions; closed loans in excess of the maximum allowable mortgage amount resulting in over-insured mortgages; and failed to close loans in accordance with the terms of the sales contracts and/or to obtain the required real estate certifications.

**9. Seattle Mortgage Company, Seattle, WA [Docket No. 05-5021-MR]**

*Action:* Settlement Agreement signed March 28, 2005. Without admitting fault or liability, Seattle Mortgage Company (Seattle Mortgage) agreed to pay HUD an administrative payment in the amount of \$21,000.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements where Seattle Mortgage: Violated HUD/FHA conflict of interest provisions by paying commissions to a loan officer who originated HUD/FHA-insured loans and who also received 203(k) consultant fees in connection with those loans.

**10. Tribeca Mortgage Corporation, Lake Success, NY [Docket No. 04-4367-MR]**

*Action:* The Board voted to impose a civil money penalty on Tribeca Mortgage Corporation (Tribeca) in the amount of \$30,000.

*Cause:* The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in origination

of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Tribeca: Originated loans where the borrowers were charged fees in excess of the one percent allowable origination fee for services covered by the origination fee; originated loans without an approved relationship with the HUD-approved sponsor, and/or without being identified as the originating lender when the FHA Case Number was requested; failed to file required annual reports regarding loan origination activity; and failed to maintain and implement an adequate Quality Control Plan in compliance with HUD/FHA requirements.

Dated: April 29, 2005.

**John C. Weicher,**

*Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 05-9142 Filed 5-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-27-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### **Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a meeting of the Aquatic Nuisance Species (ANS) Task Force Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel. The meeting is open to the public. The meeting topics are identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**DATES:** The Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel will meet from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Thursday, May 12, 2005, and 9 a.m. to noon on Friday, May 13, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel meeting will be held at the Wyndham Casa Marine Resort, 1500 Reynolds Street, Key West, Florida 33040; (305) 296-3535 or (800) 626-0777. Minutes of the meeting will be maintained in the office of Division of Environmental Quality, Chief, Branch of Invasive Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Suite 322, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22203-1622.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Lukens, Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel Chair and Assistant Director, Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, at (228) 875-5912.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. I), this notice announces the meeting of the ANS Task Force Gulf and South

Atlantic Regional Panel. The ANS Task Force was established by the Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990. The Gulf of Mexico Regional Panel was established by the ANS Task Force in 1999, and renamed the Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel in 2004. The Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel, comprised of representatives from Federal, State, local agencies and from private environmental and commercial interests, performs the following activities:

a. Identifies priorities for activities in the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic region,

b. Develops and submits recommendations to the national ANS Task Force,

c. Coordinates ANS program activities in the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic region,

d. Advises public and private interests on control efforts, and

e. Submits an annual report to the Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force describing activities within the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic region related to ANS prevention, research, and control.

The Panel membership includes six Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic States: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas. The Gulf and South Atlantic Regional Panel will discuss several topics at this meeting including: an overview from the Exotic Pest Plant Council; participation in the Habitattitude™ initiative; South Carolina's membership in the panel; Status of State plans for member States; the panel's 5-year strategic plan; Risk Assessment training; research on introduced fish in Florida Natural Areas; Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point training; brown treesnake update; Southeast Aquatic Resources Partnership; Rapid Response Plans; ANS Task Force update; National Invasive Species Council/Invasive Species Advisory Committee; recommendations for the ANS Task Force; and updates from Panel members.

Dated: April 21, 2005.

**Mamie A. Parker,**

*Co-Chair, Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force, Assistant Director—Fisheries & Habitat Conservation.*

[FR Doc. 05-9020 Filed 5-2-05; 4:19 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**