

Viraj should be revoked. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(f)(3), we are terminating the suspension of liquidation for any of the merchandise in question that is entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 2003, and will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to refund any cash deposits for such entries.

Although the petitioner has requested that the Department not revoke the order with respect to Viraj pending the resolution of outstanding litigation, the evidence currently before the Department shows that Viraj has met each of the criteria set forth in 19 CFR 351.222. See the Decision Memorandum at comment 8 for further discussion of this issue.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003:

Producer or Exporter	Margin
Chandan Steel, Ltd. ....	2.10%
Isibars Limited, Zenstar Impex, and Shaktiman Steel Casting Pvt. Ltd. ....	27.20%
The Viraj Group (Viraj Alloys, Ltd. and VSL Wires, Ltd.) .....	0.00%

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer- or customer-specific assessment rates or amounts, as appropriate, for merchandise subject to this review. We will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSWR from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash-deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above; (2) for merchandise exported by other producers or exporters that were reviewed or investigated previously, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the producer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not

a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash-deposit rate shall be 48.80 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India*, 58 FR 54110 (October 20, 1993). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until the publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the administrative protective order itself. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 6, 2005.  
**Joseph A. Spetrini,**  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

APPENDIX — Issues in the Decision Memorandum

- A. Issue with regard to Chandan  
*Comment 1:* Constructed-Value Profit Rate
- B. Issues with regard to Isibars  
*Comment 2:* U.S. Movement Expenses  
*Comment 3:* Unreconciled Cost Difference
- C. Issues with regard to Viraj  
*Comment 4:* Debt-Restructuring

- Comment 5:* Review of Tax Returns at Verification
- Comment 6:* Collapsing of VAL and VSL
- Comment 7:* Request for Additional Sales and Cost Data
- Comment 8:* Revocation
- Comment 9:* Credit Expenses
- Comment 10:* Indirect Selling Expenses Incurred in the Country of Manufacture
- Comment 11:* Direct Material Costs
- Comment 12:* Costs of Affiliated Power Company
- Comment 13:* VAL's Fixed Overhead Costs
- Comment 14:* Interest Expenses
- Comment 15:* G&A Expenses
- Comment 16:* Duty Drawback
- Comment 17:* Constructed-Value Profit Rate
- Comment 18:* Clerical Error in the CEP-Profit Calculation

[FR Doc. E5-3713 Filed 7-12-05; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the Coyote Creek Watershed Management Plan Feasibility Study, Orange and Los Angeles Counties, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.  
**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Coyote Creek Watershed Study will integrate and balance the physical and biological systems within the watershed to enhance aquatic and terrestrial habitat, improve water quality, enhance water resources, increase trail connections, enhance passive recreation and open space, reduce sediment and erosion, and aid in flood protection. Additionally, the Watershed Management Plan will encourage greater cooperation between public agencies and private organizations to leverage limited resources and improve quality of life within the watershed. It will be a guidance document for watershed stakeholders to better manage watershed resources and land use. This Plan will identify and prioritize projects for maintaining, constructing, restoring, and enhancing resources that contribute to a healthy and sustainable watershed. Policy and management recommendations will result from this plan that will connect existing public

policy and watershed management principles.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the County of Orange, California will cooperate in conducting this feasibility study.

**DATES:** Scoping meetings are scheduled as follows:

1. July 14, 2005, 2–5 p.m., Fullerton City Hall, 303 West Commonwealth, Fullerton, CA 92823.

Additional public scoping meetings will be scheduled approximately every two months during the study. For specific dates, times and locations please contact Eileen Takata, County of Orange, Watershed & Coastal Resources Division, at (714) 834–4786 or E-mail at: [eileen.takata@rdmd.ocgov.com](mailto:eileen.takata@rdmd.ocgov.com).

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, CESPL–PD–RL, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053–2325.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. William O. Butler, at (213) 452–3873 or E-mail at: [william.o.butler@usace.army.mil](mailto:william.o.butler@usace.army.mil).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **1. Authorization**

This study is authorized in response to a House Resolution dated 8 May 1954, which reads as follows: “Resolved by the Committee on Public Works of the House of Representatives, United States, that the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors is hereby requested to review the reports on (a) San Gabriel River and Tributaries, published as House Document No. 838, 76th Congress, 3rd Session; (b) Santa Ana River and Tributaries, published as House Document No. 135, 81st Congress, 1st Session; and (c) the project authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1936 for the protection of the metropolitan area in Orange County, with a view toward determining the advisability of modification of the authorized projects in the interest of flood control and related purposes.”

##### **2. Background**

The Coyote Creek Watershed study includes the Coyote Creek Watershed and the Carbon Canyon Watershed. These watersheds are highly urbanized and drain approximately 165 square miles of densely urbanized residential, commercial and industrial development. The Coyote Creek Watershed is drained by its namesake, Coyote Creek, and two principal tributaries, Fullerton Creek and Brea Creek. Coyote Creek is a concrete-lined trapezoidal channel that ultimately drains into the San Gabriel River. The Carbon Canyon Watershed is drained

principally by Carbon Creek, Fullerton Creek and Brea Creek. These three creeks vary between rectangular and trapezoidal concrete and riprap channels.

The Corps has a total of three flood control dams in the Coyote Creek and Carbon Creek Watersheds: One at the headwaters of Fullerton Creek (Fullerton Dam); one on Brea Creek (Brea Dam); and the other on Carbon Creek (Carbon Canyon Dam). In addition to the flood control dams, there are six detention basins along Carbon Creek that are used for groundwater recharge and flood control.

##### **3. Proposed Action**

Although no specific proposed action has been identified to date, opportunities exist for multipurpose water quality improvements, ecosystem restoration, recreation and education.

##### **4. Alternatives**

Although no specific alternative plans have been identified to date, a full array of alternatives to the proposed action will be developed for analyses, including the no action plan.

**Alex C. Dornstaeder,**

*Colonel, U.S. Army, District Engineer.*

[FR Doc. 05–13778 Filed 7–12–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710–KF–M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### **Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 12, 2005.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its

statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) title; (3) summary of the collection; (4) description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) reporting and/or recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: July 7, 2005.

**Angela C. Arrington,**

*Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### **Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services**

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title:* Report of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention Services and of Program Settings Where Services are Provided in Accordance with Part C, and Report on Infants and Toddlers Exiting Part C.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* State, local, or tribal gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:*

Responses: 56.

Burden Hours: 5,654.

*Abstract:* This package provides instructions and forms necessary for States to report, by race and ethnicity, the number of infants and toddlers with disabilities who: (a) Are served under IDEA, Part C; (b) are served in different program settings; and (c) exit Part C because of program completion and for other reasons. Data are obtained from state and local service agencies and are used to assess and monitor the