

- including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Registration (DEA Form 363) and Application for Registration Renewal (DEA Form 363a)

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: DEA Form 363 and 363a; Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* *Primary:* Business or other for-profit. *Other:* Not-for-profit institutions; State, local, or tribal government. Practitioners who dispense narcotic drugs to individuals for maintenance or detoxification treatment must register with the DEA under the Narcotic Addiction Treatment Act of 1974. Registration is needed for control measures and is used to prevent diversion.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 130 persons complete DEA Form 363 on paper, at 30 minutes per form, for an annual burden of 65 hours. It is estimated that 25 persons complete DEA Form 363 electronically, at 8 minutes per form, for an annual burden of 3.3 hours. It is estimated that 691 persons complete DEA Form 363a on paper, at 30 minutes per form, for an annual burden of 345.5 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* It is estimated that this collection will create a burden of 414 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building,

Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 5, 2005.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 05-15876 Filed 8-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America and the State of Maryland v. Baltimore County, Maryland*, AMD 05CV2028, was lodged on July 26, 2005, with the United States District Court for the District of Maryland.

In the complaint filed in this matter, the United States alleges violations of section 301 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, and Maryland alleges violations of Sections 9-322 and 9-323 of the Maryland Environment Article, arising from unpermitted discharges of wastewater from Baltimore County's sanitary sewer collection system to various waters of the United States and the State. The proposed Consent Decree would resolve the United States' and Maryland's claims set forth in the complaint through Baltimore County's performance of injunctive measures, the payment of a civil penalty of \$750,000 (shared equally by the United States and Maryland), and the performance of three supplemental Environmental Projects ("SEPs") valued at no less than \$4,500,000. Baltimore County has estimated that the injunctive measures, which include comprehensive inspection, rehabilitation, and repair requirements for sewer lines, pump stations, and other system components, will cost in excess of \$800,000,000 to implement over the 14½ year schedule set forth in the consent decree.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Baltimore County, Maryland*, DJ No. 90-5-1-1-4402/2.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the District of Maryland, 36 S. Charles Street, 4th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21201, and at the

Region 3 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. During the public comment period, the decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$48.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury. The check should refer to *United States et al. v. Baltimore County, Maryland*, DJ No. 90-5-1-1-4402/2.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05-15867 Filed 8-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act and Oil Pollution Act

Consistent with 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on July 27, 2005, a proposed consent decree ("decree") in *United States v. Sunoco, Inc (R&M) and Sun Pipe Line Company* (collectively, "Sunoco"), Civil Action No. 05-3866, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

In this action, the United States seeks civil penalties and natural resource damages against Sunoco for violations under section 311(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(3), and section 1002(b)(2)(A) of the Oil Pollution Act, 33 U.S.C. 2702(b)(2)(A), resulting from a February 2, 2000 oil spill from Sunoco's North Ship Pipeline into a wetland located in the John Heinz National Wildlife Refuge in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The proposed decree provides that Sunoco will pay a civil penalty of \$2,742,600, and natural resource damages in the amount of \$865,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, PO Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, U.S. Department of

Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Sunoco, Inc (R&M) and Sun Pipeline Company*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-07212.

The decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106, and at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-Region 3, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. During the public comment period, the decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05-15866 Filed 8-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Pursuant to section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on July 29, 2005, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. U.S. Sugar, et al.*, 05-61271-CV-Huck/Simonton (S.D. Fl.) was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

In this action the United States sought to require the Defendants to conduct remedial design and remedial action to address releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Florida Petroleum Reprocessors Superfund Site ("Site") in the town of Davie in Broward County, Florida. The United States also sought to recover certain past and future costs incurred and to be incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) during the performance of response actions at the Site.

Under the Decree, a group of 52 parties who sent waste oil to the Site will perform the remedial design and

remedial action at the Site, pursuant to the March 1, 2001 Record of Decision (ROD). These parties will also pay \$96,892.11 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund in reimbursement of EPA's previously unreimbursed response costs at or in connection with the Site. In addition, they will pay EPA's future costs associated with overseeing the remedial design and remedial action and implementing the Consent Decree.

A group of federal agencies who sent waste oil to the Site will pay \$1,289,064 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund in reimbursement of EPA's previously unreimbursed response costs at or in connection with the Site.

A former owner and operator of the Site, Barry Paul, and a current owner of the Site, the Florida Department of Transportation, will pay \$700,000 and \$500,000 respectively to the Hazardous Substances Superfund in reimbursement of EPA's previously unreimbursed response costs at or in connection with the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. U.S. Sugar, et al.*, 05-61271-CV-Huck/Simonton (S.D. Fl.), DOJ Ref. 90-11-2-1069.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 500 East Broward Blvd., 7th Floor, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394 and at EPA Region 4, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, GA 30303. During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined on the Department of Justice Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy, please refer to *United States v. U.S. Sugar, et al.*, 05-61271-CV-Huck/Simonton (S.D. Fl.), DOJ Ref. 90-11-2-1069, and enclose a check in the amount of \$78.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury. To receive the Consent Decree

without Appendix A (Record of Decision), pay \$40.75.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05-15865 Filed 8-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-IS-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America, State of Maryland, Anacostia Watershed Society, Audubon Naturalist Society of the Central Atlantic States, Inc., Friends of Sligo Creek and Natural Resources Defense Council v. Washington Suburban Sanitation Commission*, was lodged on July 26, 2005, with the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, Northern Division.

In the United States' complaint filed in this matter, the United States alleges violations of Sections 301 and 504 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, 1364, and in its complaint in intervention, Maryland alleges violations of Sections 9-322 and 9-323 of the Maryland Environment Article, and other State law, arising from unpermitted discharges of wastewater from WSSC's sanitary sewer collection system to various waters of the United States and the State. Four citizens groups—the Anacostia Watershed Society, the Audubon Naturalist Society of the Central Atlantic States, the Friends of Sligo Creek and the Natural Resources Defense Council also intervened as co-plaintiff, filing a complaint in intervention under the Clean Water Act's citizens suit provision. The proposed Consent Decree would resolve all of the claims alleged in the United States', Maryland's and the Citizens Groups' complaints, and would result in the performance of injunctive measures, the payment of a civil penalty of \$1,100,000 (shared equally by the United States and Maryland), and the performance of three Supplemental Environmental Projects ("SEPs") valued at no less than \$4,400,000. WSSC has estimated that the injunctive measures, which include comprehensive inspection, rehabilitation, and repair requirements for sewer lines, pump stations, and other system components, and comprehensive changes in the operation and maintenance of its collection system will add an additional \$200,000,000 to the funds already budgeted by WSSC for collection system