

as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a Notice of Intent to Participate, adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party, and lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked are identified in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION** Hilary E. Sadler, Esq., AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On May 2, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from China. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 22632 (May 2, 2005) ("Initiation Notice"). On May 17, 2005, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from Buffalo Color Corporation ("Buffalo Color"), a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in section 315.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. Buffalo Color claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler in the United States of a domestic like product. On June 1, 2005, the Department received a complete substantive response from Buffalo Color within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. We did not receive a response from any respondent interested party to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department determined to conduct an expedited review of this order.

**Scope of the Order**

The products subject to this order are the deep blue synthetic vat dye known as synthetic indigo and those of its derivatives designated commercially as "Vat Blue 1." Included are Vat Blue 1 (synthetic indigo), Color Index No. 73000, and its derivatives, pre-reduced indigo or indigo white (Color Index No.

73001) and solubilized indigo (Color Index No. 73002). The subject merchandise may be sold in any form (e.g., powder, granular, paste, liquid, or solution) and in any strength. Synthetic indigo and its derivatives subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 3204.15.10.00, 3204.15.40.00 or 3204.15.80.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 30, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>, under the heading "September 2005." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from China would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Wonderful Chemical Industrial Ltd./Jiangsu Taifeng Chemical Industry Company, Ltd. ....	129.60
China National Chemical Construction Jiangsu Company ....	79.70
China Jiangsu International Economic Technical Cooperation Corp .....	129.60
Shanghai Yongchen International Trading Company Ltd.	79.70
Hebei Jinzhou Import & Export Corporation .....	79.70

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted Average Margin (percent)
Sinochem Hebei Import & Export Corporation .....	79.70
Chongqing Dyestuff Import & Export United Corporation .....	79.70
Wuhan Tianjin Chemicals Imports & Exports Corp., Ltd. ....	79.70
China-wide Rate .....	129.60

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 30, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4865 Filed 9-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration  
(C-533-821)**

**Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Preeti Tolani or Tipten Troidl, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0395 and (202) 482-1767, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background Information**

On January 31, 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of

initiation of the administrative review on the countervailing duty order of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India, covering the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 4818 (January 31, 2005). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than September 2, 2005.

#### Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by up to 120 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reason. On July 19, 2005, the Department issued a New Subsidy Allegation memorandum, where we initiated on one new program and agreed to examine two additional programs that the Department has investigated in other India CVD proceedings. *See* July 19, 2005, New Subsidy Allegation memorandum from the team to Melissa G. Skinner, Office Director ("New Subsidy Allegation Memorandum"). Conducting the analyses for each program would require the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to these programs. The Department gave respondent parties 37 days to provide the requested information on these programs. The current due date is August 25, 2005, with no extensions. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than December 31, 2005. However, December 31 falls on Saturday and January 2 is a federal holiday, and it is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. *See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for*

*Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for completion of the preliminary results is January 3, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: August 31, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4863 Filed 9-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(C-580-842)

#### Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order: Structural Steel Beams from South Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 2, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing ("CVD") duty order on structural steel beams from South Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 22632 (May 2, 2005). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited sunset review of this CVD order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tipten Troidl or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1767 or (202) 482-4136, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On May 2, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on structural steel beams from South Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 22632 (May 2, 2005). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic interested parties: the Committee for Fair Beam Imports and its individual members including Nucor Corp. ("Nucor"), Nucor-Yamato Steel Co. ("Nucor-Yamato"), Steel Dynamics, Inc. ("SDI"), and TXI-Chaparral Steel, Inc. ("TXI") (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (E) of the Act, as an ad-hoc association which is comprised of domestic producers of the subject merchandise.

The Department received a complete substantive response collectively from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, the Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited review of this CVD order.

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this CVD order are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot- or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated, or clad. These products ("Structural Steel Beams") include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams (W shapes), bearing piles (HP shapes), standard beams (S or I shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this order unless otherwise excluded. The following products are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this order: Structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at