U.S.C. 2601 et seq.), the United States entered into a bilateral agreement with the Republic of Nicaragua on October 20, 2000, concerning the imposition of import restrictions on certain categories of archeological material from the Pre-Hispanic cultures of the Republic of Nicaragua. On October 26, 2000, Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published T.D. 00-75 in the Federal Register (65 FR 64140), which amended 19 CFR 12.104g(a) to reflect the imposition of these restrictions and included a list designating the types of articles covered by the restrictions.

Import restrictions listed in 19 CFR 12.104g(a) are "effective for no more than five years beginning on the date on which the agreement enters into force with respect to the United States. This period can be extended for additional periods not to exceed five years if it is determined that the factors which justified the initial agreement still pertain and no cause for suspension of the agreement exists" (19 CFR

12.104g(a)).

After reviewing the findings and recommendations of the Cultural Property Advisory Committee, the Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, United States Department of State, concluding that the cultural heritage of Nicaragua continues to be in jeopardy from pillage of Pre-Hispanic archaeological resources, made the necessary determination to extend the import restrictions for an additional five years on September 1, 2005. Accordingly, CBP is amending 19 CFR 12.104g(a) to reflect the extension of the import restrictions. The Designated List of Pre-Columbian (Pre-Hispanic) Archaeological Materials from Nicaragua covered by these import restrictions is set forth in T.D. 00-75. The Designated List and accompanying image database may also be found at the following Internet Web site address: http://exchanges.state.gov/culprop. The restrictions on the importation of these archaeological materials from the Republic of Nicaragua are to continue in effect for an additional 5 years. Importation of such material continues to be restricted unless:

(1) Accompanied by appropriate export certification issued by the Government of Nicaragua; or

(2) With respect to Pre-Columbian material from archaeological sites throughout Nicaragua, documentation exists that exportation from Nicaragua occurred prior to October 26, 2000.

# Inapplicability of Notice and Delayed **Effective Date**

This amendment involves a foreign affairs function of the United States and

is, therefore, being made without notice or public procedure (5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1)). In addition, CBP has determined that such notice or public procedure would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest because the action being taken is essential to avoid interruption of the application of the existing import restrictions (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B)). For the same reasons, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), a delayed effective date is not required.

# **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required, the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) do not apply.

#### **Executive Order 12866**

This amendment does not meet the criteria of a "significant regulatory action" as described in Executive Order 12866.

# **Signing Authority**

This regulation is being issued in accordance with 19 CFR 0.1(a)(1).

# List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 12

Cultural property, Customs duties and inspection, Imports, Prohibited merchandise.

# **Amendment to CBP Regulations**

■ For the reasons set forth above, part 12 of Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations (19 CFR part 12), is amended as set forth below:

# PART 12—SPECIAL CLASSES OF **MERCHANDISE**

■ 1. The general authority citation for part 12 and the specific authority citation for § 12.104g continue to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Note 3(i), Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)), 1624;

Sections 12.104 through 12.104i also issued under 19 U.S.C. 2612;

# §12.104 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 12.104g(a), the table of the list of agreements imposing import restrictions on described articles of cultural property of State Parties is amended in the entry for Nicaragua by removing the reference to "T.D. 00-75" in the column headed "Decision No." and adding in its place the language

"T.D. 00-75 extended by CBP Dec. 05-

#### Robert C. Bonner,

Commissioner, Bureau of Customs and Border Protection.

Approved: October 17, 2005.

#### Timothy E. Skud,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury. [FR Doc. 05-21049 Filed 10-19-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110-06-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD05-05-098]

RIN 1625-AA08

# **Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Willoughby Bay, Norfolk, VA**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing temporary special local regulations for "Hampton Roads Sailboard Classic", a marine event to be held on the waters of Willoughby Bay, Norfolk, Virginia. These special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in portions of Willoughby Bay during the

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 9 a.m. on October 29, 2005 to 5 p.m. on October 30, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD05-05-098 and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (oax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704-5004, between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis M. Sens, Project Manager, Auxiliary and Recreational Boating Safety Branch, at (757) 398-6204.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Regulatory Information**

On September 2, 2005, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled "Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Willoughby Bay, Norfolk, VA" in the Federal Register (70 FR 52338). We

received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying its effective date would be contrary to public interest, since immediate action is needed to protect event participants, spectator craft and other vessels transiting the event area from the dangers of high-speed power boats racing. However advance notifications will be made to affected waterway users via marine information broadcasts, local radio stations and area newspapers.

# **Background and Purpose**

On October 29 and 30, 2005, the Windsurfing Enthusiasts of Tidewater will sponsor the "Hampton Roads Sailboard Classic", on the waters of Willoughby Bay, Norfolk, Virginia. The event will consist of approximately 30 sailboards racing in heats along several courses within Willoughby Bay. Spectator vessels are anticipated to gather near the event site to view the competition. To provide for the safety of event participants, spectators and transiting vessels during the event, the Coast Guard will temporarily restrict vessel movement in the event area during the sailboard races.

# **Discussion of Comments and Changes**

No comments were received in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register**. Accordingly, the Coast Guard is establishing temporary special local regulations on specified waters of Willoughby Bay. Since no comments were received, no changes to this regulation were made.

# Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

We expect the economic impact of this temporary rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary.

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a segment of Willoughby Bay during the event, the impact of this regulation will not be significant due to the limited duration that the regulated area will be in effect and the extensive advance notifications that will be made to the maritime community via marine information broadcasts, local radio stations and area newspapers so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit this section of Willoughby Bay during the event.

This rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. Transiting vessels will be able to safely navigate around the regulated area. Before the enforcement period, we will issue maritime advisories so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the address listed under ADDRESSES. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

# **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

# **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

## **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### **Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Special local regulations issued in conjunction with a regatta or marine event permit are specifically excluded from further

analysis and documentation under those sections. Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

# PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233, Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

 $\blacksquare$  2. Add temporary § 100.35–T05–098 to read as follows:

# § 100.35–T05–098, Willoughby Bay, Norfolk, Virginia.

(a) Regulated area. The regulated area is established for the waters of Willoughby Bay contained within the following coordinates:

Latitude	Longitude
36°58′36.0″ North	076°18'42.0" West
36°58′00.0″ North	076°18′00.0" West
36°57′49.0″ North	076°18′14.0" West
36°57′36.0″ North	076°17′55.0" West
36°57′26.0″ North	076°18′06.0" West
36°58′15.0″ North	076°19′08.0″ West
36°58′36.0″ North	076°18′42.0″ West

All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

- (b) *Definitions:* (1) *Coast Guard Patrol Commander* means a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Sector Hampton Roads.
- (2) Official Patrol means any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Sector Hampton Roads with a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.
- (3) Participant includes all vessels participating in the Hampton Roads Sailboard Classic under the auspices of the Marine Event Permit issued to the event sponsor and approved by Commander, Coast Guard Sector Hampton Roads.
- (c) Special Local Regulations: (1) Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.
- (2) The operator of any vessel in the regulated area must:

- (i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any Official Patrol and then proceed only as directed.
- (ii) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Official Patrol.
- (iii) When authorized to transit the regulated area, all vessels shall proceed at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course that minimizes wake near the race course.
- (d) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on October 29 and 30, 2005.

Dated: October 11, 2005.

#### S. Ratti,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District, Acting.

[FR Doc. 05–21017 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD05-05-104]

RIN 1625-AA08

# Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Spa Creek, Annapolis, MD

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 2005, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register requesting public comments regarding establishment of permanent special local regulations for "Tug-of-War", a marine event conducted over the waters of Spa Creek between Eastport and Annapolis, Maryland. These special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in portions of Spa Creek during the event. DATES: Effective October 20, 2005, For 2005 only, this rule is enforced from 9:30 a.m. to 12 p.m. on October 29, 2005. Thereafter, this rule will be enforced annually from 10:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on the first Saturday in November.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD05–05–104 and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (oax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia