

and the **Federal Register** Notice can be found at <http://www.fs.fed.us> via the *Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act* link to the "President's FY 2007 Budget Proposal for the Forest Service—Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act Extension" page.

List of Potentially Eligible Lands

The following table has been corrected since the original notice and provides a current summary of the number of acres (rounded) identified for each State.

State	Region(s)	Acres of potentially eligible lands
Alabama	8	2,823
Alaska	10	97
Arizona	3	1,022
Arkansas	8	3,599
California	5, 6	75,959
Colorado	2, 4	23,248
Florida	8	973
Georgia	8	4,523
Idaho	1, 4, 6	26,023
Illinois	9	206
Indiana	9	878
Kentucky	8	4,540
Louisiana	8	3,895
Michigan	9	5,677
Minnesota	9	2,644
Mississippi	8	7,479
Missouri	9	21,712
Montana	1	12,039
Nebraska	2	883
Nevada	4	1,991
New Mexico	3	7,390
North Carolina ..	8	9,833
Ohio	9	419
Oklahoma	8	3,566
Oregon	6	11,270
South Carolina ..	8	4,656
South Dakota	1, 2	15,107
Tennessee	8	2,996
Texas	3, 8	4,565
Utah	4	5,894
Virginia	8	5,721
Washington	6	7,425
West Virginia	9	4,827
Wisconsin	9	80
Wyoming	2, 4	17,532
Total All States		301,491

Corrections have been made to the potentially eligible lands listing and supporting maps. Interested parties and commenters are encouraged to revisit the Web pages noted above for the most current information related to this proposal.

Dated: March 27, 2006.

Dale N. Bosworth,
Chief.

[FR Doc. 06-3087 Filed 3-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-601, A-351-603, C-351-604]

Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil and Canada

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty ("AD") orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and Canada and the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 16800 (April 1, 2005). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the International Trade Commission ("the ITC") determined that revocation of these orders would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, and Japan*, 71 FR 14719 (March 23, 2006) ("ITC Final"). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the AD orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and Canada and the CVD order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 1, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brandon Farlander (AD orders) or Darla Brown (CVD order), AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0182, (202) 482-2849, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these AD and CVD orders is coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length brass sheet and strip (not leaded or tinned) from Brazil and Canada. The subject merchandise has, regardless of width, a solid rectangular cross section over 0.0006 inches (0.15 millimeters) through 0.1888 inches (4.8 millimeters) in finished thickness or gauge. The chemical composition of the covered products is defined in the Copper Development Association ("C.D.A.") 200 Series or the Unified Numbering

System ("U.N.S.") C2000; these orders do not cover products with chemical compositions that are defined by anything other than C.D.A. or U.N.S. series. The merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Background

On January 12, 1987, the Department issued the AD orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and Canada. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil*, 52 FR 1214 (January 12, 1987) and *Antidumping Duty Order: Brass Sheet and Strip From Canada*, 52 FR 1217 (January 12, 1987). On January 8, 1987, the Department issued the CVD order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil. *See Countervailing Duty Order: Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil*, 52 FR 698 (January 8, 1987).

On April 1, 2005, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, sunset reviews of the AD orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and Canada and the CVD order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 16800 (April 1, 2005).

As a result of its sunset reviews of these orders, the Department found that revocation of the AD orders would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping and that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. *See Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 70 FR 45650 (August 8, 2005); and *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil*, 70 FR 67139 (November 4, 2005). The Department notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the AD orders to be revoked and the level of subsidy likely to prevail were the CVD order to be revoked.

On March 23, 2006, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of these orders would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See ITC Final* and USITC Publication 3842 (March 2006), entitled *Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, and Japan* (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-269 and 731-

TA-311-314, 317 and 379 (Second Review)).

Determination

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of these orders is not likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, is revoking the AD orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil and Canada and the CVD order on brass sheet and strip from Brazil. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is May 1, 2005 (*i.e.*, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notices of continuation of these AD and CVD orders). The Department will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after May 1, 2005, the effective date of revocation of the AD orders and the CVD order. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

These five-year sunset reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(d)(2) and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 23, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-4660 Filed 3-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-899]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 7, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published its preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value ("LTFV") in the antidumping investigation of artist canvas from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of investigation ("POI") is July 1, 2004, through December 31,

2004. The investigation covers two manufacturers/exporters which are mandatory respondents and two separate-rate status applicants. On February 17, 2006, we issued a preliminary scope ruling with regard to cut and stretched artist canvas made in the PRC from bulk roll canvas woven and primed in India. We invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary determination of sales at LTFV and our preliminary scope ruling. Based on our analysis of the comments we received, we have made changes to our calculations for the mandatory respondents. The final dumping margins for this investigation are listed in the "Final Determination Margins" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 30, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Holton or Robert Bolling, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1324 and (202) 482-3434, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

FINAL DETERMINATION

We determine that artist canvas from the PRC is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at LTFV as provided in section 735 of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Final Determination Margins" section of this notice.

Case History

The Department published its preliminary determination of sales at LTFV on November 7, 2005. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 67412 (November 7, 2005) ("Preliminary Determination"). The Department conducted verification of both mandatory respondents in both the PRC and the United States (where applicable), and one separate-rate status applicant. See the "Verification" section below for additional information. On February 9, 2006, the Department solicited comments from all interested parties regarding changes to its calculation of financial ratios and the expected wage rate (*i.e.*, \$0.97) for the PRC which are based on 2003 income data. On February 17, 2006, the Department issued a memorandum finding that primed bulk rolls of artist canvas produced, coated, and shipped from India to the PRC and stretched and framed in the PRC are not substantially transformed in the PRC and, therefore,

not covered by the scope of this investigation. *See Preliminary Decision Regarding the Country of Origin of Artist Canvas Exported by Hangzhou Foreign Economic Relations & Trade Service Co., Ltd., - Certain Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China from Jon Freed to Wendy Frankel*, dated February 17, 2006 ("Scope Memorandum").

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination* and *Scope Memorandum*. We received comments from the Petitioner, the mandatory respondents, the separate-rate status applicant, and other interested parties to this investigation.

On February 27, 2006, parties submitted case briefs. On March 1, 2006, parties submitted rebuttal briefs. On December 7, 2005, Wuxi Phoenix Artist Materials Co., Ltd. ("Phoenix Materials") requested the Department hold a public hearing in this proceeding. On March 1, 2006, Phoenix Materials withdrew its request for a public hearing.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this investigation are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*, dated March 22, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"). A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The *Decision Memorandum* is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, and is accessible on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation for Phoenix Materials. *See Issues and Decision Memorandum* at Comments 3, 4, and 6.

Phoenix Materials

- In the *Preliminary Determination*, the Department used facts available for the distance from Phoenix Material's factory to two of its coal suppliers. As facts available, the Department used the distance to the nearest port as the distance from the factory to the coal suppliers. However, based on information found at verification, for the final determination, we have used the actual distances between the producer and its two coal suppliers.