

NW., Washington, DC 20210, 202-693-2588.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-270), and consistent with Section B.1 of Attachment A of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76 (revised May 29, 2003), the Department of Labor has concurred with a challenge from directly affected employees regarding 70 full time equivalent (FTE) Whistleblower Investigators, Supervisory Investigators, Program Managers, and Team Leaders in OSHA's National Office (3 FTE) and 45 field locations (67 FTE). The Department has determined that all 70 FTE should be reclassified as "inherently governmental" from their prior classification as commercial in nature (i.e., 3 FTE as Commercial Reason Code A and 67 FTE as Commercial Reason Code B) in the Department of Labor's FY 2005 FAIR Act Inventory for OSHA. An updated 2005 FAIR Act Inventory report is to be prepared and posted to the Department's FAIR Act Inventory Web site within five (5) business days following the next update cycle.

Signed in Washington, DC this 29th day of June, 2006.

**Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.,**

*Assistant Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-10542 Filed 7-5-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Reinstate With Changes an Expired Information Collection

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting that OMB approve clearance of this collection for no longer than 1 year.

**DATES:** Written comments on this notice must be received by September 7, 2006 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292-7556; or sent e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. You may obtain a copy of the data collection instrument and instructions from Ms. Plimpton.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Title of Collection:** Evaluation of the Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program in the NSF Division of Engineering Education and Centers (EEC).

**OMB Number:** 3145-0121.

**Expiration Date of Approval:** One Year.

**Type of request:** Reinstate with changes.

**Abstract:** NSF has supported the REU Program since 1987. The Program was evaluated after three and five years, but not since then. The proposed project will enable NSF's Division of Engineering Education and Centers (EEC) to learn about the activities, outcomes, and impacts of the REU awards made by that Division, as well as lessons learned to improve the results of future REU awards. Two types of REU awards will be studied, REU sites and REU supplements. REU Sites awards fund groups of undergraduates to work with faculty members and graduate students at an institution. Half of the undergraduates in an REU site must come from other institutions. EEC also makes REU Supplement awards to NSF-funded Engineering Research Centers for comparable similar for undergraduates.

The proposed study will be very similar to the two previous evaluations. It will focus on undergraduate REU participants and the faculty members who are responsible for the REU awards during 2003-06, and will examine in detail for the first time the activities, outcomes, and impacts of REU awards made in a single NSF division (EEC). The REU program officers in EEC want to learn in depth about the REU Site and ERC REU Supplements awards from former REU students and awardees, any differences between the Sites and ERC Supplements, and lessons learned for subsequent proposal review and advising prospective PIs. Information will also be used for EEC Program reporting requirements. The study will examine (1) the role of the REU program

in aiding participating undergraduates in a decision to pursue graduate education or careers in engineering; and (2) the relationship between how REU activities are structured and managed and participants' subsequent education and career decisions and actions.

The survey data collection will be done on the World Wide Web.

**Estimate of Burden:** Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response.

**Respondents:** Individuals.

**Estimated Number of Responses per Form:** 4,525.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:** 2,262.5 hours (4,525 respondents at 30 minutes per response).

**Frequency of Response:** One time.

**Comments:** Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 28, 2006.

**Catherine J. Hines,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 06-6007 Filed 7-5-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Reinstate With Changes an Expired Information Collection

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF

will prepare the submission requesting that OMB approve clearance of this collection for no longer than 1 year.

**DATES:** Written comments on this notice must be received by September 7, 2006 to be assured of consideration.

Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292-7556; or send e-mail to [spimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:spimpto@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. You may obtain a copy of the data collection instrument and instructions from Ms. Plimpton.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title of Collection:* Evaluation of the National Science Foundation—National Institutes for Health Bioengineering and Bioinformatics Summer Institutes (BBSI) Program.

*OMB Number:* 3145-0121.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* One year.

*Type of Request:* Reinstate and modify.

*Abstract:* The National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institute of Bioinformatics and Bioengineering (NIBIB), a new component of the National Institutes of Health, established a jointly funded program run by NSF called the Bioengineering and Bioinformatics Summer Institutes (BBSI) Program to begin creating a supply of professionals trained in bioengineering and bioinformatics. This workforce initiative complements research and education efforts in these fields funded by both agencies and constitutes a high profile effort to meet the anticipated human resource needs for bioengineering and bioinformatics.

The program is designed to provide students majoring in the biological sciences, computer sciences, engineering, mathematics, and physical sciences with well planned interdisciplinary experiences in bioengineering or bioinformatics research and education, in very active 'Summer Institutes'; thereby increasing the number of young people considering careers in bioengineering and bioinformatics at the graduate level and beyond.

NIBIB and NSF's Division of Engineering Education and Centers (EEC) wish to learn whether the BBSI

Program as originally conceived is achieving its objectives and program-level outcomes, and to collect lessons learned for improvement of program design and implementation. This short-term evaluation is expected to provide information on what educational and career decisions have been affected by participation in a Summer Institute, what elements of the students' BBSI affect student outcomes, and how the program can be improved, e.g., through changes in specific program-wide design components, expected outcomes, proposal review criteria, etc.

The survey data collection will be done on the World Wide Web.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* Individuals.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Form:* 800.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 400 hours (880 respondents at 30 minutes per response).

*Frequency of Response:* Once.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 30, 2006.

**Catherine J. Hines,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 06-6008 Filed 7-5-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 244, Registration Certificate—Use of Depleted Uranium under General License.

3. *The form number if applicable:* NRC Form 244.

4. *How often the collection is required:* On occasion. NRC Form 244 is submitted when depleted uranium is received or transferred under general license. Information on NRC Form 244 is collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur.

5. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Persons receiving, possessing, using, or transferring depleted uranium under the general license established in 10 CFR 40.25(a).

6. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 5 (2 NRC licensees and 3 Agreement State licensees).

7. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 5 (2 NRC licensees and 3 Agreement State licensees).

8. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 5 (1 hour per response—2 hours for NRC licensees and 3 hours for Agreement State licensees).

9. *An indication of whether section 3507(d), Public Law 104-13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 40 establishes requirements for licenses for the receipt, possession, use and transfer of radioactive source and byproduct material. NRC Form 244 is used to report receipt and transfer of depleted uranium under general license, as required by section 40.25. The registration certification information required by NRC Form 244 is necessary to permit the NRC to make a determination on whether the possession, use, and transfer of depleted uranium source and byproduct material is in conformance with the Commission's regulations for protection of public health and safety.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge