

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**Drug Enforcement Administration****Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated March 20, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 27, 2006, (71 FR 15219), Organichem Corporation, 33 Riverside Avenue, Rensselaer, New York 12144, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in Schedules I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360)	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370)	I
Amphetamine (1100)	II
Methylphenidate (1724)	II
Pentobarbital (2270)	II
Hydrocodone (9193)	II
Meperidine (9230)	II
Dextropropoxyphene (9273)	II
Fentanyl (9801)	II

The company plans to manufacture bulk controlled substances for use in product development and for distribution to its customers. In reference to drug code 7360 (Marihuana), the company plans to bulk manufacture cannabidiol as a synthetic intermediate. This controlled substance will be further synthesized to bulk manufacture a synthetic THC (7370). No other activity for this drug code is authorized for this registration.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Organichem Corporation to manufacture the listed basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Organichem Corporation to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: July 10, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-11690 Filed 7-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**National Institute of Corrections****Advisory Board Meeting**

Time and Date: 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on Monday, September 25, 2006. 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on Tuesday, September 26, 2006.

Place: Courtyard by Marriott Detroit, 333 E. Jefferson Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226, Phone: 313-222-7700.

Status: Open.

Matters to be Considered: Site Visit to Michigan Department of Corrections; Observation of Michigan Prisoner ReEntry Initiative; Faith Based; Evidence-based practices, Institutional culture work; and public/private funding partnerships; PREA Update; Agency Reports.

For Further Information Contact: Larry Solomon, Deputy Director, 202-307-3106, ext. 44254.

Morris L. Thigpen,

Director.

[FR Doc. 06-6427 Filed 7-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-36-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

[Docket No. NRTL3-92]

TUV Rheinland of North America, Inc., Application for Expansion of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the application of TUV Rheinland of North America, Inc., (TUV) for expansion of its recognition to use additional test standards, and presents the Agency's preliminary finding to grant this request for expansion. This preliminary finding does not constitute an interim or temporary approval of this application.

DATES: You must submit information or comments, or any request for extension of the time to comment, by the following dates:

- *Hard copy:* postmarked or sent by August 8, 2006.

- *Electronic transmission or facsimile:* sent by August 8, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may submit information or comments to this notice—identified by docket number NRTL3-92—by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *OSHA Web site:* <http://ecomments.osha.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on OSHA's Web page.

- *Fax:* If your written comments are 10 pages or fewer, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

- *Regular mail, express delivery, hand delivery and courier service:* Submit three copies to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. NRTL3-92, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-2625, Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2350. (OSHA's TTY number is (877) 889-5627). OSHA Docket Office hours of operation are 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., EST.

Instructions: All comments received will be posted without change to <http://dockets.osha.gov>, including any personal information provided. OSHA cautions you about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and birth dates.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to <http://dockets.osha.gov>. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the OSHA Web page and for assistance in using the Web page to locate docket submissions.

Extension of Comment Period: Submit requests for extensions concerning this notice to the Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210. Or, fax to (202) 693-1644.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-3655, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Notice of Application**

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) hereby gives notice that TUV Rheinland of North

America, Inc., (TUV) has applied for expansion of its current recognition as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). TUV's expansion request covers the use of additional test standards. OSHA's current scope of recognition for TUV may be found in the following informational Web page: <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpc/nrtl/tuv.html>.

OSHA recognition of an NRTL signifies that the organization has met the legal requirements in Section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7). Recognition is an acknowledgment that the organization can perform independent safety testing and certification of the specific products covered within its scope of recognition and is not a delegation or grant of government authority. As a result of recognition, employers may use products "properly certified" ¹ by the NRTL to meet OSHA standards that require testing and certification.

The Agency processes applications by an NRTL for initial recognition or for expansion or renewal of this recognition following requirements in Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7. This appendix requires that the Agency publish two notices in the **Federal Register** in processing an application. In the first notice, OSHA announces the application and provides its preliminary finding and, in the second notice, the Agency provides its final decision on the application. These notices set forth the NRTL's scope of recognition or modifications of that scope. We maintain an informational Web page for each NRTL, which details its scope of recognition. These pages can be accessed from our Web site at <http://www.osha-slc.gov/dts/otpc/nrtl/index.html>.

The most recent notice published by OSHA specifically related to TUV's recognition granted an expansion of its NRTL scope, which became effective on June 20, 2003 (68 FR 37030).

The current address of the TUV facility already recognized by OSHA is: TUV Rheinland of North America, Inc., 12 Commerce Road, Newtown, CT 06470.

General Background on the Application

TUV has submitted an application, dated December 20, 2004 (see Exhibit 32-1) to expand its recognition to include 5 additional test standards. TUV then amended its application through

follow-up requests to add 4 more test standards to its request (see Exhibit 32-2). The NRTL Program staff has determined that each of these nine standards is an "appropriate test standard" within the meaning of 29 CFR 1910.7(c). However, one of the standards is already in TUV's scope. Therefore, OSHA would approve eight test standards for the expansion. Following review of the application, OSHA deferred action on this notice pending resolution by the NRTL of certain findings from our on-site visit of the NRTL. These findings have been satisfactorily resolved, permitting this notice to be processed. This notice has also been delayed through no fault of the NRTL.

TUV seeks recognition for testing and certification of products for demonstration of conformance to the following test standards:

- UL 943 Ground-Fault Circuit-Interrupters
- UL 991 Tests for Safety-Related Controls Employing Solid-State Devices
- UL 1047 Isolated Power Systems Equipment
- UL 1363 Relocatable Power Taps
- UL 1662 Electric Chain Saws
- UL 1664 Immersion-Detection Circuit-Interrupters
- UL 1741 Inverters, Converters, Controllers and Interconnection System Equipment for Use With Distributed Energy Resources
- UL 1863 Communications-Circuit Accessories

The designations and titles of the above test standards were current at the time of the preparation of this notice.

OSHA's recognition of TUV, or any NRTL, for a particular test standard is limited to equipment or materials (i.e., products) for which OSHA standards require third party testing and certification before use in the workplace. Consequently, if a test standard also covers any product(s) for which OSHA does not require such testing and certification, an NRTL's scope of recognition does not include that product(s).

Many UL test standards also are approved as American National Standards by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). However, for convenience, we use the designation of the standards developing organization for the standard as opposed to the ANSI designation. Under our procedures, any NRTL recognized for an ANSI-approved test standard may use either the latest proprietary version of the test standard or the latest ANSI version of that standard. You may contact ANSI to find

out whether or not a test standard is currently ANSI-approved.

Preliminary Finding on the Application

TUV has submitted an acceptable request for expansion of its recognition as an NRTL. In connection with this request, NRTL Program assessment staff evaluated information pertinent to the request during an on-site visit of the NRTL and recommended that TUV's recognition be expanded to include the additional test standards (see Exhibit 32-3). Our review of the application file, the staff's recommendation, and other pertinent documents indicate that TUV can meet the requirements, as prescribed by 29 CFR 1910.7, for the expansion for the eight additional test standards listed above. This preliminary finding does not constitute an interim or temporary approval of the application.

OSHA welcomes public comments, in sufficient detail, as to whether TUV has met the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.7 for expansion of its recognition as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory. Your comments should consist of pertinent written documents and exhibits. Should you need more time to comment, you must request it in writing, including reasons for the request. OSHA must receive your written request for extension at the address provided above no later than the last date for comments. OSHA will limit any extension to 30 days, unless the requester justifies a longer period. We may deny a request for extension if it is not adequately justified. You may obtain or review copies of TUV's requests, the staff's recommendation, and all submitted comments, as received, by contacting the Docket Office, Room N2625, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, at the above address. Docket No. NRTL3-92 contains all materials in the record concerning TUV's application.

The NRTL Program staff will review all timely comments and, after resolution of issues raised by these comments, will recommend whether to grant TUV's expansion request. The Assistant Secretary will make the final decision on granting the expansion and, in making this decision, may undertake other proceedings that are prescribed in Appendix A to 29 CFR Section 1910.7. OSHA will publish a public notice of this final decision in the **Federal Register**.

¹ Properly certified means, in part, that the product is labeled or marked with the NRTL's "registered" certification mark (i.e., the mark the NRTL uses for its NRTL work) and that the product certification falls within the scope of recognition of the NRTL.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of June, 2006.

Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-11676 Filed 7-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-271-LR; ASLBP No. 06-849-03-LR]

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; In the Matter of Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station)

July 18, 2006.

Before Administrative Judges: Alex S. Karlin, Chairman, Dr. Richard E. Wardwell, Dr. Thomas S. Elleman.

Order (Setting Oral Argument Schedule and Inviting Written Limited Appearance Statements)

On June 20, 2006, the Board issued an order tentatively scheduling oral argument in this proceeding on Tuesday, August 1, 2006, and Wednesday, August 2, 2006. That order indicated that the time and location of the oral argument would be set forth in a subsequent order.

The Board hereby orders and confirms that it will hear oral argument from representatives of the petitioners, the applicant, and the NRC Staff,¹ commencing at 9 a.m. on Tuesday, August 1, 2006, in the multi-purpose room at Brattleboro Union High School, located at 131 Fairground Road in Brattleboro, Vermont. As necessary, oral argument will continue and recommence at 9 a.m. on Wednesday, August 2, 2006. The Board plans to adjourn each day no later than 6 p.m.

The oral argument will proceed as follows. First, we will hear a short opening statement, limited to ten minutes, from each participant. Second, the Board will hear argument on the individual contentions listed below.² Except where otherwise specified, for each listed contention the petitioner will have a total of twenty minutes, the applicant will have fifteen minutes, and

the NRC Staff will have ten minutes. Five minutes of a petitioner's time will be reserved for rebuttal unless, at the outset of argument on that contention, the petitioner chooses an alternative allocation (up to a maximum of ten minutes rebuttal). All time periods include the time for responding to questions from the Board. For those contentions not listed below, no oral argument is necessary in order for the Board to reach its decision.

In formulating their arguments, participants should keep in mind that the Board will have read their pleadings and should focus solely on the critical points in controversy as those issues have emerged in the pleadings. The main purpose of the oral argument is to allow the Board to clarify its understanding of legal and factual points to assist it in deciding the issues presented by the pleadings. Oral arguments will be conducted in accordance with the following schedule:

1. Call to order, introductory remarks.
2. Opening statement by each participant.
3. State of Massachusetts Contention 1. For this contention the petitioner will have a total of thirty minutes, the applicant will have twenty minutes, and the NRC Staff will have twenty minutes.
4. State of Vermont Contention 2. For this contention the petitioner will have a total of twenty-five minutes, the applicant will have twenty minutes, and the NRC Staff will have ten minutes.
5. State of Vermont Contention 1.
6. State of Vermont Contention 3.
7. NEC Contention 1.
8. NEC Contention 2.
9. NEC Contention 3.
10. NEC Contention 4.
11. NEC Contention 5.
12. NEC Contention 6.³
13. Adjourn.

Given that the purpose of this proceeding is to evaluate the admissibility of the petitioners' contentions and the legal issues presented in the participants' pleadings, oral argument will only be heard from the participants. Members of the public are welcome to attend and observe this proceeding. As this is an adjudicatory proceeding, the Board intends to conduct an orderly hearing and signs, banners, posters, and displays are prohibited in accordance with NRC policy. See Procedures for Providing

Security Support for NRC Public Meetings/Hearings, 66 FR 31,719 (June 12, 2001). All interested persons should arrive early and allow sufficient time to pass through security screening.

Oral limited appearance statements in accord with 10 CFR 2.315(a) will not be heard on August 1 and 2, 2006. If contentions are admitted after the oral argument is complete, then oral limited appearance statements may be heard at a later date. In the interim, interested individuals may submit written limited appearance statements related to the issues in this proceeding. Such written statements may be submitted at any time and should be sent either by (1) mail to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, with a copy to the Chairman of this Licensing Board at Mail Stop T-3F23, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; (2) e-mail to the Office of the Secretary at hearingdocket@nrc.gov, with a copy to the Board Chairman (c/o Marcia Carpentier, mxc7@nrc.gov); or (3) fax to the Office of the Secretary at 301-415-1101 (facsimile verification number: 301-415-1966), with a copy to the Board Chairman at 301-415-5599 (facsimile verification number: 301-415-7550).

It is so ordered.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.⁴

Dated: July 18, 2006 in Rockville, Maryland.

Alex S. Karlin,

Administrative Judge.

[FR Doc. E6-11675 Filed 7-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-483]

Union Electric Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment

¹ The four petitioners are the Vermont Department of Public Service; the Massachusetts Attorney General; the New England Coalition (NEC); and the Town of Marlboro, Vermont. The applicant consists of two entities, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, L.L.C., and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. The petitioners, applicant, and the NRC Staff are sometimes collectively referred to as the "participants."

² The participants are encouraged to enter into stipulations that will serve to reduce or eliminate issues or contentions.

³ The Board will not hear oral argument from any participant on the contention proffered by the Town of Marlboro. However the Town of Marlboro may want to use some of the ten minutes allocated for its opening statement to address the issue as to whether the town is an "interested * * * local governmental body" within the meaning of 10 CFR 2.315(c).

⁴ Copies of this order were sent this date by Internet e-mail transmission to counsel or a representative for (1) applicant Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, L.L.C., and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; (2) petitioners Town of Marlboro, Vermont, the Massachusetts Attorney General, the Vermont Department of Public Service, and the New England Coalition; and (3) the NRC staff.