Anthropology, University of Colorado Museum, Henderson Building, Campus Box 218, Boulder, CO 80309-0218, telephone (303) 492-6671, before September 18, 2006. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico: Pueblo of Sandia. New Mexico: Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico: Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico: Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesugue, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The University of Colorado Museum is responsible for notifying the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico & Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico: Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoague, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesugue, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Southern Ute Indian Tribe of the Southern Ute Reservation, Colorado; Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, New Mexico & Utah; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.

Dated: July 24, 2006

Sherry Hutt

Manager, National NAGPRA Program
[FR Doc. 06–13602 Filed 8–16–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4312–50–S

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–706 (Second Review)]

Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 7, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 7, 2006, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (71 FR 16585, April 3, 2006) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy,

and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: August 14, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6–13598 Filed 8–16–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. 731–TA–702 (Second Review); Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: August 4, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Russell Duncan (202-708-4727), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: On August 4, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 25609, May 1, 2006) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.²

Staff report: A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 30, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions: As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before September 5, 2006, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may

submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by September 5, 2006. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: August 14, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–13596 Filed 8–16–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Membership of the Senior Executive Service Standing Performance Review Boards

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Department of Justice's standing members of the Senior Executive Service Performance Review Boards.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the Department of Justice announces the membership of its 2006 Senior Executive service (SES) Standing Performance Review Boards (PRBs). The purpose of the PRBs is to provide fair and impartial review of SES performance appraisals, bonus recommendations and pay adjustments. The PRBs will make recommendations regarding the final performance ratings to be assigned, SES bonuses and/or pay adjustments to be awarded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rod Markham, Deputy Director, Personnel Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530; (202) 514–4350.

Lee J. Lofthus,

Acting Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES

BAILEY, GREGG D	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY/CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER).
BARAN, VIVIAN A	DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT).
BARRERA, HUGO	DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (OFFICE OF STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION).
BELL, WILLIAM L	DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY).
BOUCHARD, MICHAEL R	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (FIELD OPERATIONS).
CARROLL, CARSON W	DEPUTY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (FIELD OPERATIONS CENTRAL).
CARTER, DONNIE A	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (HOUSTON FIELD DIVISION).
CARTER, RONNIE A	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (DALLAS FIELD DIVISION).
CAVANAUGH, JAMES M	DIVISION DIRECTOR/SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (NASHVILLE FIELD DIVISION).
CHASE, RICHARD E	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND SECURITY OPER-
	ATIONS).
DOMENECH, EDGAR AXEL	DEPUTY DIRECTOR.
ETHRIDGE, MICHAEL W	DIRECTOR (LABORATORY SERVICES).
FORD, WILFRED L	ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (PUBLIC AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS).
HARRIS, GREGORY PAUL	CHIEF OF STAFF.
HOOVER, WILLIAM	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE (WASHINGTON FIELD DIVISION DIRECTOR).
KOETT, IMELDA M	DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL.

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Association to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

² Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun concluded that the domestic

group response for this review was adequate and the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted a full review.

³ The Commission has found the responses submitted by Bear Metallurgical Co., Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp., Metallurg Vanadium Corp., and The Vanadium Producers and Reclaimers