displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service

Title: Foreign Quarantine Notices. *OMB Control Number:* 0579–0049.

Summary of Collection: The United States Department of Agriculture is responsible for preventing plant disease or insect pests from entering the United States, preventing the spread of pests and noxious weeds not widely distributed in the United States, and eradicating those imported pests when eradication is feasible. Under the Plant Protection Act (Title IV, Pub. L. 106-224, 114 Stat. 438, 7 U.S.C. 7701-7772), the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to prohibit or restrict the importation, entry, exportation, or movement in interstate commerce of plant pests and other articles to prevent the introduction of plant pests into the United States. Implementing the laws is necessary to prevent injurious plant and insect pest from entering the United States, a situation that could produce serious consequences for U.S. agriculture. The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) is required to collect information from a variety of individuals, both within and outside the United States, who are involved in growing, packing, handling, transporting, and importing foreign plants, roots, bulbs, seeds, importing foreign logs, lumber, other unmanufactured wood articles, and other plant products. APHIS will collect this information using a number of forms.

Need and Use of the Information: APHIS will collect information to ensure that plants, fruits, vegetables, roots, bulbs, seeds, foreign logs, lumber, other unmanufactured wood articles, and other plant products imported into the United States do not harbor plant diseases or insect pests that could cause serious harm to U.S. agriculture.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit; individuals or households; not-for-profit institutions; farms; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 90,781.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 95,641.

Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E6–2502 Filed 2–21–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Docket No. LS-06-02]

Notice of Request for Extension of Approval and Revision to an Information Collection

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Agricultural Marketing Service's (AMS) intention to request from the Office of Management and Budget approval for an extension of and revision to a currently approved information collection "Customer Service Survey (Meat Grading and Certification Services)."

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 24, 2006.

Additional Information or Comments: You may send your comments to Larry R. Meadows. Chief. Meat Grading and Certification Branch, Livestock and Seed Program, AMS, USDA; STOP 0248, Room 2628-S, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0248. Comments will be available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours. Comments may also be submitted by email to *Larry.Meadows@usda.gov* or by facsimile at 202-690-1062. All comments should reference the docket number (LS-06-02), the date, and the page number of this issue of the Federal **Register**. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Customer Service Survey (Meat Grading and Certification Services).

OMB Number: 0581–0193. Expiration Date of Approval: 7/31/

2006.

Type of Request: Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The customer service survey is used to evaluate how well we are meeting customer expectations. The information obtained is used to manage the program in providing cost effective, quality services expected by our customers. *Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.0830 hours per response.

Respondents: Livestock producers, feeders, and owners of meat establishments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 12 respondents.

Êstimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Éstimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1 hour.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: February 15, 2006.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E6–2438 Filed 2–21–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers To Be Used for Publication of Legal Notice of Appealable Decisions Under 36 CFR Part 217, 36 CFR Part 215 and 36 CFR Part 218 for the Southern Region; KY

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice and correction.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2005, the USDA Forest Service published a notice of newspapers to be used for publication of Legal Notices under 36 CFR part 217 and corrections under 36 CFR part 215 and 36 CFR part 218 for the Southern Region. This notice corrects the listed newspapers for Kentucky as a result of the December 27, 2005 decision to reorganize the Daniel Boone National Forest from six (6) to four (4) districts. Deciding Officers in the southern Region will publish notice of decisions subject to administrative appeal under 36 CFR part 217 in the legal notice section of the newspapers listed in the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice. As provided in 36 CFR part 217.5(d), the public shall be advised through Federal Register notice, of the newspaper of record to be utilized for publishing legal notice of decisions. Newspaper publication of notice of decisions is in addition to direct notice of decisions to those who have requested it and to those who have participated in project planning. The Responsible Official gave notice in the Federal Register published on November 1, 2005, of newspapers of record to be utilized for publishing notice of proposed actions and of decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 215.5 and for publishing notice of opportunities to object to proposed authorized hazardous fuel reduction projects under 36 CFR part 218.4. The list of newspapers to be used for 215 notice and decision and 218 notice of objection opportunities is as listed in the SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION section of this notice. **DATES:** Use of these newspapers for purposes of publishing legal notice of decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 217 shall begin on or after the date of this publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Herbster, Regional Appeals Coordinator, Southern Region, Planning, 1720 Peachtree Road, NW., Atlanta, Georgia 30309, Phone: 404-347-5235. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Deciding Officers in the Southern Region, Daniel Boone National Forest will give legal notice of decisions subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 217 in the following newspapers which are listed by Forest Service Administrative unit. The timeframe for appeal shall be based on the date of publication of the legal notice of the decision in the newspaper of record. The following newspapers will be used to provide notice.

Southern Region

Daniel Boone National Forest, Kentucky

- Forest Supervisor Decisions: Lexington Herald-Leader, published
- daily in Lexington, KY District Ranger Decisions: Cumberland Ranger District:
- Lexington Herald-Ledger, published daily in Lexington, KY
- London Ranger District: *The Sentinel-Echo*, published tri-weekly (Monday, Wednesday and Friday) in London, KY
- Redbird Ranger District: *Manchester Enterprise*, published weekly (Thursday) in Manchester, KY
- Stearns Ranger District: *McCreary County Record*, published weekly (Tuesday) in Whitley City, KY

Dated: February 13, 2006. **Melvin R. Booker,** *Deputy Regional Forester.* [FR Doc. 06–1593 Filed 2–21–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3410–11–M**

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

DeBaugan Fuels Reduction EIS, Lolo National Forest, Mineral County, MT

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice; intent to prepare environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal for a hazardous fuel reduction project to reduce the threat of wildfire to the communities of Saltese, Haugan, DeBorgia, and Cabin City in the St. Regis River drainage, Lolo National Forest, Superior Ranger District, Mineral County, Montana.

This EIS will tier to the Lolo Forest Plan Final EIS (April, 1986). DATES: Written comments should be received within 30 days following

publication this notice. **ADDRESSES:** Please submit written comments on the proposed management activities or a request to be placed on the project mailing list to: Rob Harper, District Ranger, Superior Ranger District, Lolo National Forest, P.O. Box

460, Superior, Montana 59872. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Svalberg, EIS Team Leader, (406) 826–4310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DeBaugan analysis area is located between St. Regis, Montana and Lookout Pass along the Interstate 90 corridor, in Mineral County. The project is located in parts of Townships and Ranges: T19N, R29W; T19N, R30W; T19N, R31W; and T20N, R31W; P.M.M., Mineral County, Montana. Within this area, the Lolo National Forest proposes to reduce fuels and reduce the threat of wildlife of up to 5,732. The proposed treatments include: (1) Prescribed burning approximately 1,347 acres; (2) heavy thinning of approximately 504 acres; (3) light thinning approximately 3,402 acres; (4) precommercial thinning of burning piles on approximately 58 acres; (6) constructing approximately 3.2 miles of new permanent roads; (7) constructing and later decommissioning approximately 8 miles of temporary road; (8) reconstruction of approximately 46 miles of existing roads to meet Montana Best Management Practices; (9) decommissioning of

approximately 8.4 miles of existing road; (10) approximately 30 miles of herbicide treatment of noxious weeds along roadsides; (11) application of herbicide on dry sites to minimize spread of noxious weeds approximately 2,000 acres.

The Lolo National Forest Plan, 1986, provides overall guidance for land management activities in the project area. The purposes for these actions are to: (1) Reduce the intensity of wildlife near the communities of Cabin City, DeBorgia, Haugan, and Saltese to improve the chances of protecting these communities in the event of wildfires as defined in the Mineral County Community Protection Plan; (2) bring existing roads that will be utilized up to **"Best Management Practices** Standards"; (3) improve water quality and fish passage on roads that will be used during the fuels reduction project; (4) reduce the potential for the spread of noxious weeds during project implementation.

Issues currently identified for analysis in the EIS include potential effects on wildlife, sensitive plant species, water quality, fisheries, visual quality and forest access.

Under the Healthy Forest Restoration Act, the Forest Service will consider only one action alternative (that was developed during an intensive collaborative effort) and the no action alternative.

The Draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in May, 2007. Comments on the Draft EIS will be considered and responded to in the Final EIS, scheduled to be completed by January, 2008.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental reviews of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be