

consumers. Part I.A. of the proposed order prohibits InPhonic from making a claim about the amount of any rebate, unless it discloses, clearly and conspicuously, unavoidably, and prior to consumers incurring any financial obligation: any time period that consumers must wait before submitting a rebate request; that consumers who change their wireless phone numbers after purchase are disqualified from receiving a rebate, if that is the case; that any rebate submission that does not strictly comply with all rebate terms and conditions, or that is deemed in any way illegible, may be rejected with little or no opportunity to resubmit, if that is the case; any requirement for submitting bills, records, or any other documentation, with a rebate request; when consumers can expect to receive their rebates; and that an e-mail address is required to be eligible for the rebate, if that is the case. Part I.B. of the proposed order prohibits InPhonic from making a claim about the amount of any rebate unless it also discloses, clearly and prominently, on any rebate coupon or form, all terms, conditions, or other limitations of the rebate offer.

Part II of the proposed order prevents InPhonic from misrepresenting what documentation consumers must submit with any rebate request and from misrepresenting any material terms of any rebate program.

Part III of the proposed order prohibits InPhonic from representing that consumers will have the opportunity to resubmit deficient rebate requests, unless it gives consumers a reasonable period of time in which to resubmit such requests and notifies them precisely how to correct any deficiencies.

Part IV.A. of the proposed order prohibits InPhonic from failing to provide, or to make reasonably available to consumers, all required rebate documentation. Part IV.B. prohibits InPhonic from making any representation about the time in which any rebate will be mailed, or otherwise provided to purchasers, unless it has a reasonable basis for the representation at the time it is made. Part IV.C. prohibits InPhonic from failing to provide any rebate within the time specified or, if no time is specified, within thirty days.

Part V of the proposed order requires InPhonic to send rebates to eligible purchasers. Eligible purchasers include consumers whose rebate requests were previously denied solely on the basis of one or more of the following reasons: (1) The consumer changed his/her wireless phone number; (2) the signature on the rebate form was illegible; (3) InPhonic

failed to provide the consumer with required information or documents; (4) the e-mail address was missing from the rebate form; or (5) the request was late due to the consumer's submission of a fourth wireless bill. In addition, eligible purchasers include consumers whose requests were denied due to a curable deficiency, but where the consumer was not given at least thirty days to resubmit the request.

Parts VI through IX of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part X of the proposed order is a "sunset" provision, dictating that the order will terminate twenty years from the date it is issued or twenty years after a complaint is filed in Federal court, by either the United States or the FTC, alleging any violation of the order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-8403 Filed 5-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 062 3094]

Soyo, Inc.; Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 29, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments. Comments should refer to "Soyo, Inc., File No. 062 3094," to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room 159-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments

containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with Commission Rule 4.9(c). 16 CFR 4.9(c) (2005).¹ The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments that do not contain any nonpublic information may instead be filed in electronic form as part of or as an attachment to e-mail messages directed to the following e-mail box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda K. Badger, FTC Western Regional Office, 901 Market Street, Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103, (415) 848-5100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for April 27, 2007), on the

¹ The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2007/04/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before the date specified in the **DATES** section.

Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Soyo, Inc. ("Soyo"). Soyo, located in Ontario, California, is a distributor of computer-related hardware and other consumer electronics products.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns cash rebate offers that Soyo advertised to consumers. The complaint alleges that Soyo engaged in deceptive practices relating to these rebate offers. Specifically, the complaint alleges that Soyo falsely represented that: (1) Rebates would be mailed within a reasonable period of time after receipt of a consumer's valid request, (2) within ten to twelve weeks after receipt of a consumer's valid request, and (3) within ten to twelve weeks of the last date on which a valid request could be postmarked. The complaint alleges that thousands of consumers who submitted valid requests for rebates since 2004 experienced substantial, unreasonable delays, including delays of one year or longer. It is further alleged that from October 2004 to March 2006, over 95 percent of respondent's rebate checks were delivered later than twelve weeks after the last date on which a valid request could be postmarked, with an

average delivery time of approximately 24 weeks.

The proposed order contains provisions designed to prevent Soyo from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of the proposed order prohibits Soyo from misrepresenting the time in which any rebate will be mailed and from failing to provide any rebate within the time specified, or if no time is specified, within thirty days. This provision also prohibits the company from misrepresenting any material terms of any rebate program, including the status of or reasons for any delay in providing any rebate. Part II of the proposed order is a redress provision which requires Soyo to pay all valid rebate requests to consumers who purchased Soyo products and whose rebates are past due. This provision also requires Soyo to send a rebate to any eligible purchaser who contacts it or the FTC for a period of seventy-five (75) days after service of the order.

Parts III through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part VII provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-8402 Filed 5-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-07-0580]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C.

Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639-5960 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395-6974. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

National Public Health Performance Standards Program Local Public Health Governance Assessment (OMB 0920-0580)—Reinstatement—Office of the Director (OD), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Office of Chief of Public Health Practice is proposing to revise and reinstate the formal, voluntary data collection that assesses the capacity of local boards of health to deliver the essential services of public health. Electronic data submission will be used when local boards of health complete the public health assessment.

A three-year approval is being sought with the revised data collection instrument. The original data collection instrument has been valuable in assessing performance and capacity and identifying areas for improvement. It is anticipated that the updated data collection instrument will be voluntarily used by local boards of health for similar purposes.

From 1998–2002, the CDC National Public Health Performance Standards Program convened workgroups with the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), The Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO), the National Association of Local Boards of Health (NALBOH), the American Public Health Association (APHA), and the Public Health Foundation (PHF) to develop performance standards for public health systems based on the essential services of public health. In 2005, CDC reconvened workgroups with these same organizations to revise the data collection instruments, in order to ensure the standards remain current and improve user friendliness.

There is no cost to the respondent, other than their time. The total estimated annual burden hours are 875.