

volume has been developed as Volume 21 to address production of radioactive material using an accelerator. This NUREG-1556, Volume 21, is entitled: "Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses—Program-Specific Guidance About Possession Licenses for Production of Radioactive Material Using an Accelerator."

At this time, NRC is announcing the availability for public comment NUREG-1556, Volume 13, Revision 1, "Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses—Program-Specific Guidance About Commercial Radiopharmacy Licenses," Draft Report for Comment. Volume 9, Revision 2, "Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses—Program-Specific Guidance About Medical Use Licenses," will be available for public comment in the near future. NUREG-1556, Volume 21, "Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses—Program-Specific Guidance About Possession Licenses for Production of Radioactive Material Using an Accelerator," was previously noticed for public comment in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 2007 (72 FR 29555), for a 30-day comment period.

NUREG-1556, Volume 13, Revision 1, "Consolidated Guidance About Materials Licenses—Program-Specific Guidance About Commercial Radiopharmacy Licenses," provides guidance for applicants for commercial radiopharmacy licenses in preparing their license applications. Volume 13 is being revised primarily to provide additional guidance related to positron emission tomography (PET) radiopharmaceuticals for medical use. The guidance in Section 8.7.2, "Authorized Nuclear Pharmacist," has been updated to reflect current 10 CFR Part 35 requirements. Additionally, other minor changes are being made that are administrative in nature, such as updating the Agreement State section and updating references. Also, information related to identifying and protecting sensitive information is being updated.

NRC is only requesting comments on the specific changes in this document related to the expanded definition of byproduct material and the NARM rule. The Abstract contains a brief summary of the nature of the changes that were made as well as a list of Sections in which substantial revisions were made or new guidance was provided. NRC will make corrections if any errors or editorial corrections are noted; however, any comments not related to these specific changes will be evaluated during the next routine review of the NUREG.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of June, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Dennis K. Rathbun,**

*Director, Division of Intergovernmental Liaison and Rulemaking, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.*

[FR Doc. E7-12856 Filed 7-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### Generalized System of Preferences (GSP): Notice of the Results of the 2006 Annual Product and Country Practices Reviews

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the disposition of the product petitions accepted for review in the 2006 GSP Annual Product Review, the results of the 2006 Country Practices Review, the results of the 2006 *De Minimis* Waiver and Redesignation Reviews, the 2006 Competitive Need Limitation (CNL) Removals, and certain CNL Waiver Revocations. The disposition of the petitions and other results are available at: [http://www.ustr.gov/Trade\\_Development/Preference\\_Programs/GSP/Section\\_Index.html](http://www.ustr.gov/Trade_Development/Preference_Programs/GSP/Section_Index.html) and as published in Presidential Proclamation 8157 in the June 29, 2007, **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The GSP Subcommittee, Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR), Room F-220, 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The telephone number is (202) 395-6971 and the facsimile number is (202) 395-9481. The e-mail address is [FR0618@USTR.EOP.GOV](mailto:FR0618@USTR.EOP.GOV).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The GSP program provides for the duty-free importation of designated articles when imported from beneficiary developing countries. The GSP program is authorized by Title V of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2461, *et seq.*), as amended (the "Trade Act"), and is implemented in accordance with Executive Order 11888 of November 24, 1975, as modified by subsequent Executive Orders and Presidential Proclamations.

In the 2006 Annual Product Review, the Trade Policy Staff Committee reviewed petitions to change product coverage of the GSP. The disposition of those petitions is described in List I (Decisions on CNL Waiver Petitions in

the 2006 GSP Annual Review) of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review."

The disposition of petitions considered in the 2006 Country Practices Review is described in List II ("Decisions on Country Practice Petitions in the 2006 GSP Annual Review") of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review."

In the 2006 Product Review, the GSP Subcommittee evaluated the appraised import values of each GSP-eligible article in 2006 to determine whether an article from a GSP beneficiary developing country exceeded the GSP CNLs. *De minimis* waivers were granted to certain articles that exceeded the 50 percent import share CNL, but for which the aggregate value of the imports of that article was below the 2006 *de minimis* level of \$18 million. List III of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review" (Products Receiving *De Minimis* Waivers) is the list of the articles and the associated countries granted *de minimis* waivers.

Additionally, certain articles from GSP-eligible countries that had previously exceeded the CNLs, but had fallen below the CNL for total annual trade in 2006 were redesignated for GSP eligibility pursuant to the 2006 review. These articles and countries are listed in List IV (Products Receiving GSP Redesignation) of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review." Articles that exceeded one of the GSP CNLs in 2006, and that are newly excluded from GSP eligibility for a specific country, are listed in List V (Products Newly Subject to CNL Exclusions) of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review."

Certain articles for which a waiver of the application of Section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act was issued at least five years ago, but which are revoked pursuant to Section 503(d)(5) are listed in List VI (Products for which a Waiver of the Application of Section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act is Revoked) of the "Results of the 2006 GSP Annual Review."

**Marideth J. Sandler,**

*Executive Director, Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) Program Chairman, GSP Subcommittee.*

[FR Doc. E7-12887 Filed 7-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3190-W7-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange

Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 206(4)-2; SEC File No. 270-217; OMB Control No. 3235-0241.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Rule 206(4)-2 (17 CFR 275.206(4)-2) under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-1 *et seq.*) governs the custody of funds or securities of clients by Commission-registered investment advisers. Rule 206(4)-2 requires each investment adviser that has custody of client funds or securities to maintain those client funds or securities with a broker-dealer, bank or other "qualified custodian." The rule also requires the adviser to promptly notify the clients as to the place and manner of custody, to send quarterly account statements to each client whose assets are in the adviser's custody, and to have an independent public accountant conduct an annual surprise examination of the custodied assets. If the qualified custodian sends monthly account statements directly to an adviser's clients, however, the adviser is relieved from sending its own account statements and undergoing an annual surprise examination. The rule exempts advisers from the rule with respect to clients that are registered investment companies. The rule also exempts advisers to limited partnerships and limited liability companies from the account statement delivery and annual surprise examination requirements if the limited partnerships or limited liability companies they advise are subject to annual audit by an independent public accountant.

Advisory clients use this information to confirm proper handling of their accounts. The Commission's staff uses the information obtained through this collection in its enforcement, regulatory and examination programs. Without the information collected under the rule, the Commission would be less efficient and effective in its programs and clients would not have information valuable for monitoring an adviser's handling of their accounts.

The respondents to this information collection are investment advisers registered with the Commission and have custody of clients' funds or

securities. We estimate that 3352 advisers would be subject to the information collection burden under rule 206(4)-2. The number of responses under rule 206(4)-2 will vary considerably depending on the number of clients for which an adviser has custody of funds or securities. It is estimated that the average number of responses annually for each respondent would be 247.794, and the average time of .5 hour per response would remain the same. The annual aggregate burden for all respondents to the requirements of rule 206(4)-2 is estimated to be 415,303 hours.

The estimated average burden hours are made solely for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the cost of Commission rules and forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

June 25, 2007.

**Florence E. Harmon,**  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-12781 Filed 7-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Regulation A; OMB Control No.

3235-0286; SEC File No. 270-110 (Forms 1-A and 2-A).

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Regulation A (17 CFR 230.251 through 230.263) provides an exemption from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*) for certain limited securities offerings by issuers who do not otherwise file reports with the Commission. Form 1-A is an offering statement filed under Regulation A. Form 2-A is used to report sales and used of proceeds in Regulation A offerings. We estimate that approximately 100 issuers file Forms 1-A and 2-A annually. We estimated that Form 1-A takes approximately 608 hours to prepare, Form 2-A takes approximately 12 hours to prepare, and Regulation A takes one administrative hour to review for a total of 621 hours per response. We estimate that 75% of the 621 hours per response (465.75 hours) is prepared by the company for a total annual burden of 46,575 hours (465.75 hours per response × 100 responses).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to R. Corey Booth, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

June 25, 2007.

**Florence E. Harmon,**  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-12783 Filed 7-2-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**