

its determination that the requirement has an adverse safety impact, and either a proposal for achieving the same objectives, specified in Attachments 1 and 2, in question, or a schedule for modifying the facilities, to address the adverse safety condition. If neither approach is appropriate, the Licensee must supplement its response to Condition B1 of this Order, to identify the condition as a requirement with which it cannot comply, with attendant justifications, as required in Condition B1.

C. 1. The Licensee shall, within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order, submit to the Commission, a schedule for achieving compliance with each requirement described in the Attachment.

2. The Licensee shall report to the Commission when it has achieved full compliance with the requirements described in the Attachment.

D. Notwithstanding any provision of the Commission's regulations to the contrary, all measures implemented or actions taken in response to this Order shall be maintained until the Commission determines otherwise.

The Licensee's response to Conditions B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2, above, shall be submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 70.5. In addition, the Licensee's submittals that contain Safeguards Information shall be properly marked and handled in accordance with the Order issued on October 4, 2006, requiring a program for protecting Safeguards Information.

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by the Licensee of good cause.

#### IV

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, the Licensee must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order, may submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time in which to submit an answer or request a hearing must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and include a statement of good cause for the extension. The answerer may consent to this Order. Unless the answerer consents to this Order, the answerer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically set forth the matters of fact and law on which the

Licensee or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, and the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement, at the same address; to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Suite 23T85, Atlanta, GA 30303-8931; and to the Licensee, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than the Licensee. Because of possible disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that answers and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission, either by means of facsimile transmission, to 301-415-1101, or by e-mail, to [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov), and also to the Office of the General Counsel either by means of facsimile transmission, to 301-415-3725, or by e-mail, to [OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov](mailto:OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov).

If a person other than the Licensee requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.309.

If a hearing is requested by the Licensee or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), the Licensee may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order, on the grounds that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence, but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section III above shall be final twenty (20) days from the date of this Order, without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section III shall

be final when the extension expires, if a hearing request has not been received.

An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated: July 5, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael F. Weber,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 07-3403 Filed 7-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4590-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-56014; File No. SR-BSE-2007-31]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Extend the Effective Date of a Previous Rule Change Relating to Information Contained in a Directed Order on the Boston Options Exchange

July 5, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 2, 2007, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the BSE. The BSE filed the proposed rule change as a "noncontroversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The BSE proposes to extend the effective date of the amended rule governing the Exchange's Directed Order process on the Boston Options Exchange ("BOX") from July 31, 2007 to January 31, 2008. The text of the proposed rule change is available on BSE's Web site at <http://www.bostonoptions.com>, at BSE's

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the BSE included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The BSE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

On March 20, 2006, the BSE proposed an amendment to its rules governing its Directed Order process on the BOX.<sup>5</sup> The rules were amended to clearly state that the BOX Trading Host identifies to an Executing Participant ("EP") the identity of the firm entering a Directed Order. The amended rule was to be effective until June 30, 2006, while the Commission considered a corresponding Exchange proposal to amend its rules to permit EPs to choose the firms from whom they will accept Directed Orders, while providing complete anonymity of the firm entering a Directed Order.<sup>6</sup>

On June 30, 2006, the Exchange proposed extending the effective date of the rule governing its Directed Order process on the BOX from June 30, 2006 to September 30, 2006 while the Commission continued to consider the corresponding Exchange proposal.<sup>7</sup>

On September 11, 2006, the Exchange proposed extending the effective date of the amended rule governing the Directed Order process on the BOX from September 30, 2006 to January 31, 2007.<sup>8</sup> On January 16, 2007, the Exchange proposed an additional amendment from January 31, 2007 until July 31, 2007, while the Commission considered the corresponding Exchange

proposal to amend its rules to permit EPs to choose the firms from whom they will accept Directed Orders, while providing complete anonymity of the firm entering a Directed Order.<sup>9</sup>

This filing from the Exchange now proposes another extension of the effective date of the amended rule governing its Directed Order process on BOX from July 31, 2007 to January 31, 2008.<sup>10</sup> In the event the Commission reaches a decision with respect to the corresponding Exchange proposal to amend its rules before January 31, 2008, the amended rule governing the Exchange's Directed Order process on the BOX will cease to be effective at the time of that decision.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The amended rule is designed to clarify the information contained in a Directed Order. This proposed rule filing seeks to extend the amended rule's effectiveness from July 31, 2007 to January 31, 2008. This extension will afford the Commission the necessary time to consider the Exchange's corresponding proposal to amend its rule to permit EPs to choose the firms from whom they will accept Directed Orders while providing complete anonymity of the firm entering a Directed Order. Accordingly, the Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in general, and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>12</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transaction in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (3) by its terms does not become operative for 30 days after the date of this filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) <sup>13</sup> of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>14</sup> As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),<sup>15</sup> the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of the filing of the proposed rule change.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) <sup>16</sup> normally may not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) <sup>17</sup> permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The BSE requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay, as specified in Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),<sup>18</sup> which would make the rule change effective and operative upon filing. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because such waiver would continue to conform the BOX rules to BOX's current practice and clarify that Directed Orders on BOX are not anonymous without interruption.<sup>19</sup> Accordingly, the Commission designates the proposed rule change

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53516 (March 20, 2006), 71 FR 15232 (March 27, 2006) (SR-BSE-2006-14).

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53357 (February 23, 2006), 71 FR 10730 (March 2, 2006) (SR-BSE-2005-52).

<sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54082 (June 30, 2006), 71 FR 38913 (July 10, 2006) (SR-BSE-2006-29).

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54469 (September 19, 2006), 71 FR 56201 (September 26, 2006) (SR-BSE-2006-38).

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55139 (January 19, 2007), 72 FR 3448 (January 25, 2007) (SR-BSE-2007-01).

<sup>10</sup> In the event that the issue of anonymity in the Directed Order process is not resolved by January 31, 2008, the Exchange will consider whether to submit another filing under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) extending this rule and system process.

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>16</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>17</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>18</sup> *Id.*

<sup>19</sup> For purposes only of waiving the operative delay for this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

operative upon filing with the Commission.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-BSE-2007-31 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2007-31. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of BSE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You

should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BSE-2007-31 and should be submitted on or before August 2, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>20</sup>

**Florence E. Harmon,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E7-13491 Filed 7-11-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-56016; File No. SR-CBOE-2007-77]

##### **Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Adopt an Interpretation to CBOE Rule 3.19 Regarding Temporary Membership Status Pending Final Commission Action on a Pending Rule Interpretation Concerning Exercise Right Eligibility**

July 5, 2007.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 2, 2007, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. The Exchange has designated this proposal as one constituting a stated policy, practice, or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration, or enforcement of an existing rule under section 19(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(1) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

##### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

CBOE proposes to adopt Interpretation and Policy .01 under

CBOE Rule 3.19 as follows to address the nature and extent of temporary membership status to be granted to certain persons in the event that the proposed acquisition of The Board of Trade of the City of Chicago, Inc. ("CBOT") by Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings Inc. ("CME Holdings")<sup>5</sup> is consummated before the Commission takes final action on CBOE's pending proposed rule change SR-CBOE-2006-106.<sup>6</sup> The text of the proposed Interpretation and Policy is provided below (the Interpretation and Policy is completely new, and is therefore *italicized*).

Chicago Board Options Exchange,  
Incorporated  
Rules

\* \* \* \* \*

Rule 3.19. Termination from  
Membership

Rule 3.19. No change.

##### **\* \* \* Interpretations and Policies:**

*.01 If the proposed merger between Chicago Mercantile Exchange Holdings, Inc. and CBOT Holdings, Inc. ("CME/CBOT Transaction"), the parent company of the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago, Inc. ("CBOT"), is consummated and if such consummation occurs before the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") takes final action on SR-CBOE-2006-106, a person who is a member of CBOE (an "exerciser member") pursuant to paragraph (b) of Article Fifth of the CBOE Certificate of Incorporation ("Article Fifth(b)") as of July 1, 2007 will be granted temporary membership status at the Exchange, until the Commission takes final action on SR-CBOE-2006-106, if and only if such person (i) Remains an exerciser member in good standing as of the close of business on the trading day immediately before the consummation of the CME/CBOT Transaction, (ii) thereafter remains in good standing and continues to pay all applicable fees, dues, assessments and other like charges that are assessed against CBOE members, and (iii) pays to the Exchange,*

<sup>5</sup> CME Holdings proposes to acquire CBOT by means of a CME Holdings merger with CBOT Holdings, Inc. ("CBOT Holdings"), of which CBOT is a wholly-owned subsidiary (the "CME/CBOT Transaction").

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55190 (January 29, 2007), 72 FR 5472 (February 6, 2007). The Exchange filed this proposed rule change on December 12, 2006. On January 17, 2007, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. Numerous comments were received, and the Exchange responded to those comments on June 15, 2007. On June 29, 2007, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1).