Consent Decree includes convenants not to sue by the United States: (1) Pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA. 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a), and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973, relating to the Operable Unit 2 portion of the Kennecott South Zone Site or the activities KUCC completes under the Consent Decree; and (b) pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, for all past costs that the United States incurred at or in connection with the Kennecott South Zone Site or Kennecott North Zone Site, including but not limited to the OU2 Site, through November 15, 2005.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United* States and State of Utah v. Kennecott Utah Copper Corporation, D.J. Ref.90-11-2-07195/3. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the vicinity of West Jordan, Utah, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 185 South State Street, Suite 400, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 and at U.S. EPA Region 8, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202-1129. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov, fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy of the consent decree and all appendices from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$69.00 payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address. In requesting a copy exclusive of exhibits, please enclose a check in the amount of \$25.25 payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 07–3495 Filed 7–18–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2)(B) and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on July 3, 2007, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Rexmet Corporation*, Civil Action No. 07–cv–2754, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

In this action the United States is seeking injunctive relief and recovery of response costs incurred by the United States pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., in connection with the J.W. Rex Facility (located at Valley Forge Road & 8th Street, Lansdale, PA 19446) at the North Penn Area Six Superfund Site ("Site"), which consists of a contaminated groundwater plume and a number of separate parcels of property located within and adjacent to the Borough of Lansdale, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. The proposed consent decree will resolve the United States' claims against Rexmet Corporation ("Settling Defendant") in connection with the Site. Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, Settling Defendant will (1) implement the EPA-selected groundwater remedy at the J.W. Rex Facility, and (2) pay the United States \$250,000.00 plus interest (in two payments) in partial reimbursement of the United States' past response costs. Settling Defendant will receive a covenant not to sue by the United States with regard to the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and submitted either by email to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or by U.S. mail to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611. Comments should reference United States v. Rexmet

Corporation, D.J. Ref. 90–11–2–06024/

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106, and at U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$18.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost). Checks should be made payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 07–3493 Filed 7–18–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–15–M**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Digital Body Development System

Notice is hereby given that, on June 14, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Digital Body Development System ("DBDS") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Riviera Tool Company, Grand Rapids, MI has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DBDS intends to file additional written notifications disclosing al changes in membership.

On March 19, 2007, DBDS filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal** Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 7, 2007 (72 FR 25781).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-3514 Filed 7-18-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of June 25 through July 6, 2007.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a)

of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased

absolutely; and

- C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision;
- II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:
- A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or

- subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and
- C. One of the following must be satisfied:
- 1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;
- 2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery
- 3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

- (1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
- (2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification: and
 - (3) Either-
- (A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or
- (B) A loss of business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

- 2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.
- 3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-61,590; Stover Industries, Inc., Point Pleasant, WV: May 25, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-61,560; Meritor Heavy Vehicle Systems LLC, Commercial Vehicle Systems Division, Heath, OH: May 15, 2006.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) of the Trade Act have been met.

None

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative **Trade Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA-W-61,651; Springs Global US, Inc., Sardis Plant, On-Site Leased Workers From Diverso Integated, Sardis, MS: February 17, 2007.
- TA-W-61,655; Westell, Inc., Aurora, IL: June 7, 2006.
- TA-W-61,679; Hartmann Conco, Inc., Rock Hill, SC: May 27, 2007.