

Plan. The National Park Service agreed to prepare an ORV management plan as part of a settlement agreement negotiated in 1995 between the Florida Biodiversity Project and several Federal agencies and bureaus. The agreement settled a lawsuit which alleged failure by the agencies to comply with Federal statutes, including the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act.

*The Off-Road Vehicle Management Plan, 2000* (p. 29) states "Under the proposed action, the National Park Service would establish an advisory committee of concerned citizens to examine issues and make recommendations regarding the management of ORVs in the Preserve. The establishment of the committee would meet the legal requirements of the 1972 Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Pub. L. 92-463, 1972, as amended). The advisory committee would provide access to the extensive knowledge available in the public arena and would offer advice to the National Park Service in the decision-making process in a manner consistent with FACA. This committee would be an element of the adaptive management approach that would be used to develop best management practices for ORV use."

As part of the ORV management plan, NPS committed to establishing the ORV Advisory Committee. In addition, the establishment of the Committee fulfills the agency's policy of civic engagement. It is envisioned that this committee will strengthen the relationship that the NPS has with its partners and communities. The Committee will be comprised of individuals that represent (1) Sportsmen/ORV users; (2) landowners; (3) academia; (4) environmental advocates; (5) the state government, and (6) Tribes.

**Certification:** I hereby certify that the administrative establishment of the Big Cypress Off-Road Vehicle Advisory Committee is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by the Act of August 25, 1916, 16 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*, and other statutes relating to the administration of the National Park System.

Dated: June 14, 2007.

**Dirk Kempthorne,**

*Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. E7-14890 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-V6-P

## DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### General Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Saguaro National Park, AZ

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Saguaro National Park.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan for Saguaro National Park, Arizona.

**DATES:** The Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan will remain available for public review for 60 days after publication of this notice by the Environmental Protection Agency. Public meetings will be announced in the local media.

**ADDRESSES:** Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/parkHome.cfm?parkId=96>. Copies of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan are available from the Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Saguaro National Park, Arizona, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601, (520) 733-5101. Public reading copies of the document will be available for review at the following locations:

Office of the Superintendent, Saguaro National Park, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601.

Planning and Environmental Quality, Intermountain Regional Office—Denver, National Park Service, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CO 80225, Telephone: (303) 987-6671.

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 18th and C Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20240, Telephone: (202) 208-6843.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Saguaro National Park, at the above address and telephone number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You may mail comments to Superintendent Sarah Craighead, Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement,

Saguaro National Park, Arizona, 3693 South Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, AZ 85730-5601. You may also comment via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/>. Please include your name and return address in your Internet message. If you do not receive a confirmation from the system that we have received your Internet message, contact us directly by calling Superintendent Sarah Craighead at 520-733-5107. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to the Saguaro National Park visitor center or the Intermountain Region Office—Denver, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CO 80225.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

This general management plan will guide the management of Saguaro National Park for the next 15 to 20 years. The general management plan considers three alternatives—a no-action and two action alternatives, including the National Park Service preferred alternative. Alternative 1, the no-action alternative, is a continuation of current management trends and serves as a basis of comparison with the action alternatives. Alternative 2, the preferred alternative, would emphasize protecting ecological processes and biological diversity by connecting wildlife and plant habitats with habitat corridors. The concept was developed to help protect biological and ecological diversity from being compromised by habitat fragmentation. Alternative 3 would emphasize providing a wider range of opportunities for visitors compatible with the preservation of park resources and wilderness characteristics. The concept was developed because the public wanted the park to expand programs and opportunities for a growing diverse visitor population.

The draft environmental impact statement assesses impacts to cultural resources (archeological resources, historic structures, cultural landscapes, ethnographic resources, and museum collections); natural resources (soils, soundscape, vegetation, wildlife, and threatened, endangered, and candidate species and species of special concern); visitor understanding and experience;

remoteness; the park's socio-economic environment; and park operations.

Dated: July 19, 2007.

**Michael D. Snyder,**

*Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 07-3742 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Ellis Island Development Concept Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island, New York and New Jersey**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision on the Final Environmental Impact Statement for Ellis Island Development Concept Plan, Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Ellis Island Development Concept Plan, Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island, New York and New Jersey. On May 14, 2007, the Director, Northeast Region approved the Record of Decision for this undertaking. As soon as practicable, the National Park Service will begin to implement the selected Alternative (i.e., the preferred alternative in the FEIS issued on April 6, 2007). The National Park Service will work with its nonprofit partner for the project, Save Ellis Island, Inc to develop the Ellis Island Institute as the primary adaptive reuse of the Island's remaining abandoned buildings. The Institute will provide cultural, interpretive, and educational programs and activities related to the park's historic themes. An associated small conference facility and overnight accommodations will be developed, financed and managed by a professional hospitality business partner working with the nonprofit partner. The facility would host meetings, retreats, and workshops that would primarily focus upon issues such as immigration, world migration, public health, culture and ethnic diversity. In accordance with the National Park Service's Partnership Construction Process, additional market analysis and feasibility studies will be completed to test and confirm the economic and programmatic viability of

the project. The primary purpose of this undertaking, having evaluated the full range of foreseeable environmental consequences of three (3) alternative management strategies presented in the FEIS, is to rehabilitate and adaptively reuse 30 deteriorating buildings on Ellis Island, and provide limited vehicular service and emergency access, while preserving cultural resource values and enhancing visitor appreciation of the immigration function and history pertaining to Ellis Island.

The Record of Decision includes a statement of the decision made, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a finding on impairment of park resources and values, a listing of measures to minimize environmental harm, and an overview of public involvement in the decision-making process.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Superintendent, Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island, Ellis Island Receiving Office, Jersey City, NJ 07305. (212) 366-3206 (Ext. 100), *Cynthia\_garrett@nps.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the Record of Decision may be obtained from the contact listed above or online at <http://www.nps.gov/elis/>.

Dated: May 14, 2007.

**Linda Canzanelli,**

*Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 07-3739 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GE-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-873-875, 877-880, and 882 (Review)]

### **Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Belarus, China, Indonesia, Korea, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine**

#### **Determinations**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Belarus,<sup>2</sup> China, Indonesia,

Latvia,<sup>3</sup> Moldova,<sup>4</sup> Poland,<sup>5</sup> and Ukraine<sup>6</sup> would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bar from Korea would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>7</sup>

#### **Background**

The Commission instituted these reviews on August 1, 2006 (71 FR 43523) and determined on November 6, 2006 that it would conduct full reviews (71 FR 66974, November 17, 2006). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2006 (71 FR 70786). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 10, 2007, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on July 26, 2007. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3933 (July 2007), entitled *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Belarus, China, Indonesia, Korea, Latvia, Moldova, Poland, and Ukraine: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-873-875, 877-880, and 882 (Review)*.

Issued: July 26, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-14809 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

<sup>3</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson, Vice Chairman Shara L. Aranoff, and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Latvia.

<sup>4</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Moldova.

<sup>5</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson, Vice Chairman Shara L. Aranoff, and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Poland.

<sup>6</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson dissenting with respect to Ukraine.

<sup>7</sup> Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane and Dean A. Pinkert dissenting with respect to Korea.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in section 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting with respect to Belarus.